

**Canada**

**General Social Survey, Cycle 18, 2002  
[Canada]: Victimization, Incident File**

**Study Documentation**

March 12, 2014

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Scholars Portal (SP) , OCUL
<b>Production Date</b>	March 13, 2012
<b>Version</b>	Version March 13, 2012  v.2 corrected document description, added additional study description.
<b>Identification</b>	gss_12M0018 _E_2002_c-18_i

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage.....</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Sampling.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Data Collection.....</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">Data Processing &amp; Appraisal.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Accessibility.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Rights &amp; Disclaimer.....</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">Files Description.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">gss_12M0018_E_2002_c-18_i_F1.....</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">Variables Group(s).....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Incident Details.....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Location Of Incident.....</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Offender.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Record Identifier.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Related To Hate Crime.....</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">Weight.....</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">Assault.....</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">Crime.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Most Serious Crime.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Days Spent In Bed OR Difficulty.....</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Discussed With Others.....</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">Police Involved.....</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">Property.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Recieved Medical Attention.....</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">Related to Alcohol OR Substance Problems.....</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Threats.....</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Weapon.....</a>	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">Break and Enter.....</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Did Not Contact.....</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">Damaged Property.....</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">Repaired.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Insurance AND Compensation.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Stolen Property.....</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Attempted To Steal.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Recovered.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Offender AND Victim Meeting.....</a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">Demographics At Time Of Incident.....</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">Variables Description.....</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">gss_12M0018_E_2002_c-18_i_F1.....</a>	<a href="#">30</a>

# General Social Survey, Cycle 18, 2002 [Canada]: Victimization, Incident File (GSS, Cycle 18, 2002: Victimization, Incident File)

*Enquête Sociale Générale, Cycle 18, 2002 [Canada]: Victimisation, Dossier d'Incident*

Overview	
Type	General Social Survey
Identification	gss-12M0018-E-2002-c-18-i
Version	<p>2.0</p> <p><u>Notes</u></p> <p>The documentation for the micro-data files for 18 has been modified. Please be advised that the documentation for the Public Use Microdata File (PUMF) for GSS-17 on Social Engagement and GSS-18 on Victimization has been modified. An error was detected and corrected in the documentation for the derived variable MARSTAT (marital status of respondent). The labels for codes 4 and 5 were incorrectly assigned for these two cycles. Code 4 should be "separated" and code 5 should be "divorced."</p>
Series	The two primary objectives of the General Social Survey (GSS) are: to gather data on social trends in order to monitor changes in the living conditions and well being of Canadians over time; and to provide information on specific social policy issues of current or emerging interest.
<p><b>Abstract</b></p> <p>The General Social Survey (GSS) program gathers data on social subjects in order to monitor changes in the living conditions and well being of Canadians over time and to provide immediate information on specific social policy issues of current or emerging interest. Cycle 18 of the GSS is the fourth cycle dedicated to the topic of victimization; previous cycles were carried out in 1988, 1993, and 1999. Content from Cycle 13 on senior abuse and public perception of alternatives to imprisonment was not repeated. New topics of interest were added including stalking, use of restraining orders and social disorder. Other subjects common to all four cycles include perceptions of crime, police and courts; crime prevention precautions; and accident and crime incident reports.</p>	
Kind of Data	Survey data, microdata file
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
<p><b>Scope</b></p> <p>A supplementary survey was conducted in the three territories. This supplementary survey used the same questionnaire, collection methodology, and interviewers as the main survey, but used a different methodology for sample selection. The data from this supplementary survey are not included in this microdata file, but are available in the RDCs.</p>	
Keywords	Adult offenders, Age, Assault, Break and enter offences, Community services, Crimes and offences, Criminal injuries compensation, Educational attainment, Elder abuse, Emotional abuse, Employment, Ethnic origin, Health, Incidents reported, Income, Justice, Languages, Marital status, Motor vehicle theft, Parole, Personal safety, Police officers, Police services, Property crimes, Public safety, Religion, Sexual assaults, Spousal violence, Suspect victim relationships, Theft, Urban transit, Victimization rates, Violence, Violence against women, Weapons, Young offenders
Topics	Crime, Police, Victims
Time Period(s)	2004
Countries	Canada
<p><b>Geographic Coverage</b></p> <p>Canada, provinces</p>	

**Universe**

Included: All individuals aged 15 and over living in Canada.

Excluded: Residents of the Yukon, Northwest Territories, and Nunavut, and full-time residents of institutions.

**Producers & Sponsors****Other Producer(s)**

Statistics Canada

**Sampling****Sampling Procedure**

Stratified sample Data for Cycle 18 of the GSS were collected in seven waves starting in January 2004 and ending in December 2004. The sample was evenly distributed over the seven waves to counterbalance as much as possible the seasonal variation in the information gathered. Households were selected for the survey by Random Digit Dialing. The telephone numbers in the sample were selected using the Elimination of Non-Working Banks technique. This sampling technique is a method in which an attempt is made to identify all working banks for an area (i.e., to identify all sets of 100 telephone numbers with the same first eight digits containing at least one number that belongs to a household). Thus, all telephone numbers within non working banks are eliminated from the sampling frame. In order to carry out sampling, each of the ten provinces was divided into strata, i.e. geographic areas. Many of the Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) were each considered separate strata. This was the case for St. John's, Halifax, Saint John, Montreal, Quebec City, Toronto, Ottawa, Hamilton, Winnipeg, Regina, Saskatoon, Calgary, Edmonton, Vancouver and Victoria. CMAs not on this list are located in Quebec and Ontario. Two more strata were formed by grouping the remaining CMAs in each of these two provinces. Finally, the non-CMA areas of each of the ten provinces were also grouped to form ten more strata. This resulted in 27 strata in all.

**Response Rate**

The overall response rate during collection for Cycle 18 was 74.5%.

**Weighting**

Three weighting factors were placed on the Main File. They are listed and explained below:

**WGHT\_PER:** This is the basic weighting factor for analysis at the person level, i.e. to calculate estimates of the number of persons (non-institutionalized and aged 15 or over) having one or several given characteristics. WGHT\_PER should be used for all person-level estimates.

**WGHT\_HSD:** This weighting factor can be used to estimate the number of households with a given characteristic. For example, to estimate the number of households that live in low-rise apartments, WGHT\_HSD should be summed over all records with this characteristic.

**WGHT\_ABU:** This weighting factor is required to estimate the number of victimization incidents that occurred over the past 12 months within certain violent relationships, namely those with spousal or ex-spousal violence. It should therefore only be used for estimates involving variables PR\_101\_2004 and PR\_304\_2004.

Note that some series reports involve a large number of similar incidents. Some analysts may feel that leaving them as is will lead to a disproportionate contribution to victimization estimates from this type of incident. Indeed, the series factor was capped at 3 for estimates published in this user's guide. If analysts wish to use the same cap for the series factor, they may use the weighting factor ADJWTVIC, which is WGHT\_VIC multiplied by the capped (at 3) series factor. In the above example, to estimate the total number of break and enter incidents reported to police, with any series reports capped at 3, ADJWTVIC would be summed over all records representing a break and enter incident that was reported to the police.

Note also that violence by a current or ex-spouse is only captured in abuse reports on the Main File. Suppose a given estimate of a number of victimization incidents is to include violence of this type. Then the number of victimization incidents involving this type of violence must be calculated from the Main File separately and then added to the estimate from the Incident File. More information and examples of these kinds of estimates can be found in Section 7.6.

**Data Collection****Data Collection Dates**

start 2004-01  
end 2004-12

<b>Data Collection Mode</b>	Computer assisted telephone interviewing  The samples for most GSS cycles are selected using random digit dialing telephone methods and the interviews are conducted by telephone. Thus persons in households without telephones cannot be interviewed. However, persons living in such households represent less than 2% of the target population. Interviews are not conducted by cellular telephone so persons with only cellular telephone service are also excluded; again, this group makes up a very small proportion of the population, less than 3%.
-----------------------------	--

**Data Collection Notes**

Responding to this survey is voluntary. Data are collected directly from survey respondents. The Main File contains questionnaire responses and associated information from 23,766 respondents. Characteristics on this file concern the person as opposed to information about any individual victimization incidents which he or she may have experienced.

**Data Processing & Appraisal****Other Processing**

Computer assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) was used to collect data for the GSS, using Random Digit Dialing methods. Respondents were interviewed in the official language of their choice. Interviews by proxy were not allowed. Data collection began in January 2004 and continued through to December 2004. The sample was evenly distributed over the seven waves to counterbalance as much as possible the seasonal variation in the information gathered.

**Estimates of Sampling Error**

As the data are based on a sample of persons they are subject to sampling error. That is, estimates based on a sample will vary from sample to sample, and typically they will be different from the results that would have been obtained from a complete census. The potential range of this difference has been estimated for key data and used to produce tables that can be used to estimate the sampling variability of many estimates. These tables and instructions for and examples of their use are found in Appendix A and Section 8 of the Public Use Microdata File Documentation and User's Guide.

**Accessibility**

<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Data Liberation Initiative
-----------------------	----------------------------

**Access Conditions**

DLI License

**Citation Requirements**

The publishing of analysis and results from research using any of the data products is permitted in research communications such as scholarly papers, journals and the like. The authors of these communications are required to cite Statistics Canada as the source of the data, and to indicate that the results or views expressed are those of the author/authorized user and are not those of Statistics Canada. Permission to include extracts of these data in textbooks must be obtained from the Licencing Section of Statistics Canada's Marketing Division.

**Rights & Disclaimer**

<b>Copyright</b>	Copyright(c) Statistics Canada: Social and Aboriginal Statistics Division, 2002
------------------	---

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

gss_12M0018_E_2002_c-18_i_F1	
# Cases	9824
# Variable(s)	416

# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 27 group(s)

Group Incident Details							
Subgroup(s)		Assault , Crime , Days Spent In Bed OR Difficulty , Discussed With Others , Police Involved , Property , Recieved Medical Attention , Related to Alcohol OR Substance Problems , Threats , Weapon , Break and Enter , Demographics At Time Of Incident					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q090	Were you present at any time during the incident?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8499	1325	Were you present at any time during the incident?
2	CIR_Q210	Was only one person involved in committing the act?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2422	7402	Was only one person involved in committing the act?
3	CIR_Q335	Was anyone (else) harmed or threatened during this incident?	discrete	numeric-1.0	9402	422	Was anyone (else) harmed or threatened during this incident?
4	CIR_Q336_C	01:07	continuous	numeric-2.0	440	9384	How many people?
5	CIR_Q340	Were any of these people under 15 years of age?	discrete	numeric-1.0	439	9385	Were any of these people under 15 years of age?
6	CIR_Q341_C	How many people?	continuous	numeric-1.0	65	9759	How many people?
7	NUMINC	Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each inc	continuous	numeric-2.0	9824	0	Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each incident report.
8	NUMINCCA	Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each inc	continuous	numeric-1.0	9824	0	Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each incident report capped at 3.
9	REP_STAT	Status of the crime incident report.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9824	0	Status of the crime incident report.
10	REPNO	Crime incident ID number.	continuous	numeric-2.0	9824	0	Crime incident ID number.
11	CVS_CNT	An indicator showing the actual CVS count.	continuous	numeric-2.0	9824	0	An indicator showing the actual CVS count.

Group Location Of Incident							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q020	Where did this incident take place? (For example, was it at	discrete	numeric-1.0	9416	408	Where did this incident take place? (For example, was it at home, on the street, at work or at school?)
2	CIR_Q020A	place? (Respondent's home and	discrete	numeric-1.0	5100	4724	Where did this incident take place? (Respondent's home and surrounding area)
3	CIR_Q020B	Where did this incident take place? (Other private residence	discrete	numeric-1.0	448	9376	Where did this incident take place? (Other private residences or other farms)
4	CIR_Q020C	Where did this incident take place? (Commercial or institut	discrete	numeric-1.0	1983	7841	Where did this incident take place? (Commercial or institutional establishment)
5	CIR_Q020D	Where did this incident take place? (Street or other public	discrete	numeric-1.0	1592	8232	Where did this incident take place? (Street or other public place)
6	CIR_Q024	Was this your place of work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1902	7922	Was this your place of work?



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	DWELINC_C	Victim's type of dwelling at the time of the incident collap	discrete	numeric-1.0	1054	8770	What type of dwelling were you living in at the time of this incident? Was it a:
8	CIR_Q050	At the time of the incident, did the person(s) who committed	discrete	numeric-1.0	1484	8340	At the time of the incident, did the person(s) who committed the act live with you?

### Group Offender

Subgroup(s)		Offender AND Victim Meeting					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q100	Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such	discrete	numeric-1.0	2386	7438	Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such as a gun or knife or something he/she was using as a weapon, such as a rock or bottle?
2	SXOFFND	Sex of the offender(only one offender).	discrete	numeric-1.0	1728	8096	Was the person male or female?
3	AGEOFNDC	Collapsed age of the offender in groupings(only one offender	discrete	numeric-2.0	1694	8130	How old would you say the person was?
4	RLOFNDC	Relationship of the offender to victim(only one offender) co	discrete	numeric-1.0	1794	8030	What was the person's relationship to you?
5	NUMOFFND	Number of offenders (collapsed at 4).	continuous	numeric-1.0	2390	7434	How many people were involved?
6	SXOFNDSC	Sex of the offenders (more than one offender) collapsed.	discrete	numeric-1.0	570	9254	Were they male or female?
7	YNGOFNDC	Age of the youngest offender in groupings(more than one offe	discrete	numeric-1.0	571	9253	How old would you say the youngest was?
8	OLDOFNDC	Age of the oldest offender in groupings(more than one offend	discrete	numeric-1.0	557	9267	How old would you say the oldest was?
9	RLOFNDC	Relationship of the offender to victim(more than one offende	discrete	numeric-1.0	580	9244	What was their relationship to you?
10	OFFENDRC	Relationship of the offender to victim (one or more than one	discrete	numeric-1.0	2374	7450	Relationship of the offender to victim (one or more than one offender).

### Group Record Identifier

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	RECID	Record identification.	discrete	numeric-5.0	9824	0	Record identification.
2	SCREEN_INC_TYP	Variable screening question from section 2.	discrete	numeric-4.0	0	9824	Variable screening question from section 2.

### Group Related To Hate Crime

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q310	Do you believe that this incident committed against you coul	discrete	numeric-1.0	9238	586	Do you believe that this incident committed against you could be considered a hate crime?
2	CIR_Q321	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... sex?	discrete	numeric-1.0	282	9542	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... sex?
3	CIR_Q322	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... race/et	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	9538	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... race/ethnicity?
4	CIR_Q323	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... religio	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	9538	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... religion?
5	CIR_Q324	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... sexual	discrete	numeric-1.0	288	9536	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... sexual orientation?
6	CIR_Q325	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... age?	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	9538	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... age?
7	CIR_Q326	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... disabil	discrete	numeric-1.0	288	9536	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... disability?
8	CIR_Q328	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... languag	discrete	numeric-1.0	286	9538	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... language?
9	CIR_Q329	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... other?	discrete	numeric-1.0	287	9537	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... other?
10	CIR_Q329A	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... Other--	discrete	numeric-1.0	396	9428	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:
11	CIR_Q329B	Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... Other--	discrete	numeric-1.0	396	9428	Was this because of the person's hatred of your:
12	HATECRIM	Respondent believed the incident could be a hate crime defin	discrete	numeric-1.0	9220	604	Respondent believed the incident could be a hate crime defined as offender's hatred of a person's sex, ethnicity, race, religion, sexual orientation, age, disability, culture or language.

## Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	WGHT_VIC	Victimization incident weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	Victimization incident weight.
2	ADJWTVIC	Victimization weight incorporating series factor, capped at	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	Victimization weight incorporating series factor, capped at 3 incidents.
3	WVCBS001	Bootstrap weight # 1 for victimization weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	Bootstrap weight # 1 for victimization weight.
4	WVCBS002	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
5	WVCBS003	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
6	WVCBS004	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
7	WVCBS005	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	WVCBS006	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
9	WVCBS007	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
10	WVCBS008	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
11	WVCBS009	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
12	WVCBS010	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
13	WVCBS011	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
14	WVCBS012	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
15	WVCBS013	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
16	WVCBS014	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
17	WVCBS015	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
18	WVCBS016	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
19	WVCBS017	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
20	WVCBS018	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
21	WVCBS019	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
22	WVCBS020	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
23	WVCBS021	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
24	WVCBS022	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
25	WVCBS023	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
26	WVCBS024	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
27	WVCBS025	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
28	WVCBS026	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
29	WVCBS027	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
30	WVCBS028	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
31	WVCBS029	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
32	WVCBS030	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
33	WVCBS031	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
34	WVCBS032	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
35	WVCBS033	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
36	WVCBS034	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
37	WVCBS035	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
38	WVCBS036	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
39	WVCBS037	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
40	WVCBS038	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
41	WVCBS039	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
42	WVCBS040	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
43	WVCBS041	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
44	WVCBS042	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
45	WVCBS043	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
46	WVCBS044	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
47	WVCBS045	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
48	WVCBS046	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
49	WVCBS047	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
50	WVCBS048	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
51	WVCBS049	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
52	WVCBS050	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
53	WVCBS051	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
54	WVCBS052	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
55	WVCBS053	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
56	WVCBS054	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
57	WVCBS055	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
58	WVCBS056	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
59	WVCBS057	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
60	WVCBS058	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
61	WVCBS059	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
62	WVCBS060	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
63	WVCBS061	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
64	WVCBS062	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
65	WVCBS063	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
66	WVCBS064	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
67	WVCBS065	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
68	WVCBS066	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
69	WVCBS067	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
70	WVCBS068	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
71	WVCBS069	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
72	WVCBS070	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
73	WVCBS071	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
74	WVCBS072	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
75	WVCBS073	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
76	WVCBS074	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
77	WVCBS075	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
78	WVCBS076	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
79	WVCBS077	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
80	WVCBS078	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
81	WVCBS079	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
82	WVCBS080	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
83	WVCBS081	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
84	WVCBS082	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
85	WVCBS083	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
86	WVCBS084	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
87	WVCBS085	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
88	WVCBS086	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
89	WVCBS087	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
90	WVCBS088	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
91	WVCBS089	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
92	WVCBS090	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
93	WVCBS091	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
94	WVCBS092	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
95	WVCBS093	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
96	WVCBS094	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
97	WVCBS095	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
98	WVCBS096	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
99	WVCBS097	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
100	WVCBS098	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
101	WVCBS099	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
102	WVCBS100	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
103	WVCBS101	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
104	WVCBS102	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
105	WVCBS103	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
106	WVCBS104	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
107	WVCBS105	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
108	WVCBS106	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
109	WVCBS107	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
110	WVCBS108	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
111	WVCBS109	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
112	WVCBS110	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
113	WVCBS111	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
114	WVCBS112	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
115	WVCBS113	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
116	WVCBS114	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
117	WVCBS115	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
118	WVCBS116	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
119	WVCBS117	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
120	WVCBS118	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
121	WVCBS119	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
122	WVCBS120	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
123	WVCBS121	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
124	WVCBS122	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
125	WVCBS123	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
126	WVCBS124	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
127	WVCBS125	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
128	WVCBS126	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
129	WVCBS127	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
130	WVCBS128	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
131	WVCBS129	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
132	WVCBS130	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
133	WVCBS131	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
134	WVCBS132	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
135	WVCBS133	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
136	WVCBS134	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
137	WVCBS135	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
138	WVCBS136	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
139	WVCBS137	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
140	WVCBS138	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
141	WVCBS139	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
142	WVCBS140	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
143	WVCBS141	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
144	WVCBS142	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
145	WVCBS143	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
146	WVCBS144	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
147	WVCBS145	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
148	WVCBS146	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
149	WVCBS147	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
150	WVCBS148	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
151	WVCBS149	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
152	WVCBS150	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
153	WVCBS151	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
154	WVCBS152	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
155	WVCBS153	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
156	WVCBS154	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
157	WVCBS155	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
158	WVCBS156	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
159	WVCBS157	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
160	WVCBS158	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
161	WVCBS159	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
162	WVCBS160	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
163	WVCBS161	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
164	WVCBS162	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
165	WVCBS163	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
166	WVCBS164	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
167	WVCBS165	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
168	WVCBS166	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
169	WVCBS167	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
170	WVCBS168	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
171	WVCBS169	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
172	WVCBS170	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
173	WVCBS171	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
174	WVCBS172	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
175	WVCBS173	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
176	WVCBS174	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
177	WVCBS175	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
178	WVCBS176	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
179	WVCBS177	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
180	WVCBS178	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
181	WVCBS179	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
182	WVCBS180	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
183	WVCBS181	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
184	WVCBS182	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
185	WVCBS183	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
186	WVCBS184	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
187	WVCBS185	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
188	WVCBS186	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
189	WVCBS187	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
190	WVCBS188	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
191	WVCBS189	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
192	WVCBS190	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
193	WVCBS191	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
194	WVCBS192	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
195	WVCBS193	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
196	WVCBS194	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
197	WVCBS195	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
198	WVCBS196	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
199	WVCBS197	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
200	WVCBS198	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-
201	WVCBS199	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
202	WVCBS200	-	continuous	numeric-11.4	9824	0	-

**Group Assault**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q110	In this incident, were you assaulted in any physical or sexual	discrete	numeric-1.0	1796	8028	An assault can be anything from being hit, slapped, grabbed or knocked down, to being shot, or beaten. This can also include forced sexual activity and unwanted sexual touching or grabbing. In this incident, were you assaulted in any physical or sexual way?
2	CIR_Q150_C01	How were you assaulted? Forced or attempted forced sexual as	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Forced or attempted forced sexual assault by being threatened, held down or hurt in some way
3	SEXATTK	Crime: Sexual attack/attempt	discrete	numeric-1.0	9821	3	Crime: Sexual attack/attempt
4	CIR_Q150_C02	How were you assaulted? Unwanted sexual touching, grabbing,	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Unwanted sexual touching, grabbing, kissing or fondling
5	SEXTOUCH	Crime: Unwanted sexual touching.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9821	3	Crime: Unwanted sexual touching.
6	CIR_Q150_C03	How were you assaulted? Shot, knifed or hit with object held	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Shot, knifed or hit with object held in hand
7	CIR_Q150_C04	How were you assaulted? Hit, kicked, slapped, knocked down.	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Hit, kicked, slapped, knocked down
8	CIR_Q150_C05	How were you assaulted? Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, push	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, pushed
9	CIR_Q150_C06	How were you assaulted? Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Other
10	PHYSATTK	Crime:Physical attack.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9821	3	Crime:Physical attack.
11	CIR_Q150_C07	How were you assaulted? Not attacked/assaulted.	discrete	numeric-1.0	741	9083	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Not attacked/assaulted

## Group Crime

Subgroup(s)		Most Serious Crime					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	SEXASSLT	Crime: Sexual assault.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9821	3	Crime: Sexual assault.
2	ROBBRY	Crime: Robbery.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9720	104	Crime: Robbery.
3	A_ROBBRY	Crime: Attempted robbery.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9802	22	Crime: Attempted robbery.
4	ASSAULT	Crime: Assault.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9603	221	Crime: Assault.
5	THREAT	Crime: Threat.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9817	7	Crime: Threat.
6	BREAK	Crime: Break and enter.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9706	118	Crime: Break and enter.
7	A_BREAK	Crime: Attempted break and enter.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9788	36	Crime: Attempted break and enter.
8	MVTHFT	Crime: Motor vehicle theft.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9796	28	Crime: Motor vehicle theft.
9	A_MVTHFT	Crime: Attempt of motor vehicle theft.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9762	62	Crime: Attempt of motor vehicle theft.
10	THFTPP	Crime: Theft of personal property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9796	28	Crime: Theft of personal property.
11	A_THFTPP	Crime: Attempt of theft of personal property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9762	62	Crime: Attempt of theft of personal property.
12	THFTHP	Crime: Theft of household property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9796	28	Crime: Theft of household property.
13	A_THFTHP	Crime: Attempt of theft of household property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9762	62	Crime: Attempt of theft of household property.
14	VANDALSM	Crime: Vandalism.	discrete	numeric-1.0	9821	3	Crime: Vandalism.

## Group Most Serious Crime

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MSCRIME	Most serious crime.	discrete	numeric-3.0	8987	837	Most serious crime.

## Group Days Spent In Bed OR Difficulty

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q190	As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spe	discrete	numeric-1.0	2710	7114	As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spent in the hospital), did you have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	BED_DAYS	Collapsed days spent in bed as a result of the incident.	discrete	numeric-1.0	133	9691	For how many days?
3	CIR_Q510	As a result of this incident, did you find it difficult or i	discrete	numeric-1.0	9398	426	As a result of this incident, did you find it difficult or impossible to carry out your everyday activities for all or most of a day? (Reasons could range from requiring medical treatment to having to replace or repair property or visit insurance agents.)
4	LOSTDAYS_C	Number of days respondent found it difficult or impossible t	continuous	numeric-2.0	1748	8076	For how many days?

### Group Discussed With Others

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q601	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone abou	discrete	numeric-1.0	9345	479	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... family?
2	CIR_Q602	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone abou	discrete	numeric-1.0	9337	487	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... friend or neighbour?
3	CIR_Q603	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone abou	discrete	numeric-1.0	9311	513	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... co-worker?
4	CIR_Q604	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone abou	discrete	numeric-1.0	1633	8191	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... doctor or nurse?
5	CIR_Q605	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone abou	discrete	numeric-1.0	9350	474	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... lawyer?
6	CIR_Q606	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone abou	discrete	numeric-1.0	1634	8190	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... minister, priest, clergy, or another spiritual advisor?
7	CIR_Q608	Did the police or anyone else provide you with information a	discrete	numeric-1.0	1623	8201	Did the police or anyone else provide you with information about services for victims in your community?
8	CIR_Q611	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	discrete	numeric-1.0	1634	8190	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... crisis centre or crisis line?
9	CIR_Q612	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	discrete	numeric-1.0	1634	8190	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... a counsellor or psychologist?
10	CIR_Q613	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	discrete	numeric-1.0	1633	8191	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... community centre/family centre?
11	CIR_Q615	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	discrete	numeric-1.0	816	9008	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... women's centre?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	CIR_Q616	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	discrete	numeric-1.0	812	9012	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... men's centre/men's support group?
13	CIR_Q617	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	discrete	numeric-1.0	31	9793	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... senior's centre?
14	CIR_Q620	Did you ever contact or use victim services or victim witnes	discrete	numeric-1.0	9367	457	Did you ever contact or use victim services or victim witness assistance programs because of this incident?

## Group Police Involved

Subgroup(s)		Did Not Contact					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q520	Did the police find out about this incident in any way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	9285	539	Did the police find out about this incident in any way?
2	CIR_Q530	How did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other	discrete	numeric-1.0	3358	6466	How did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?
3	CIR_Q541	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the	discrete	numeric-1.0	2393	7431	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... to stop the incident or receive protection?
4	CIR_Q542	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the	discrete	numeric-1.0	2389	7435	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... to arrest and punish the offender?
5	CIR_Q543	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the	discrete	numeric-1.0	2402	7422	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... to file a report to claim compensation or insurance?
6	CIR_Q544	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the	discrete	numeric-1.0	2396	7428	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?
7	CIR_Q545	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the	discrete	numeric-1.0	2394	7430	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... on the recommendation of someone else?
8	CIR_Q550A	What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the sce	discrete	numeric-1.0	3246	6578	What action did the police take? Did they:  ... visit the scene?
9	CIR_Q550B	What action did the police take? Did they: ... make a report	discrete	numeric-1.0	3111	6713	What action did the police take? Did they:  ... make a report and/or conduct an investigation?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	CIR_Q550C	What action did the police take? Did they: ...give a warning	discrete	numeric-1.0	2884	6940	What action did the police take? Did they:  ... give a warning to the offender?
11	CIR_Q550D	What action did the police take? Did they: ... take the offe	discrete	numeric-1.0	3013	6811	What action did the police take? Did they:  ... take the offender away?
12	CIR_Q550_EF	What action did the police take? Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2911	6913	What action did the police take? Did they:  Take any other action?
13	CIR_Q560	How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took	discrete	numeric-1.0	3257	6567	How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took? Were you:
14	CIR_Q570_C01	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Take the person out of the house
15	CIR_Q570_C02	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Charge/arrest the person
16	CIR_Q570_C03	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Respond more quickly
17	CIR_Q570_C04	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Refer/take you to a support service
18	CIR_Q570_C05	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Relocate you
19	CIR_Q570_C06	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Take you to hospital
20	CIR_Q570_C07	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Be more supportive/sympathetic
21	CIR_Q570_C08	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Other
22	CIR_Q570_C09	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3268	6556	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  No/nothing

### Group Property

<b>Subgroup(s)</b>	Damaged Property , Insurance AND Compensation , Stolen Property
--------------------	---

### Group Recieved Medical Attention

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	INJURY	Crime: Physically injured during the incident.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3115	6709	Were you physically injured in any way, for example bruises, cuts, broken bones, etc.?
2	CIR_Q170	Did you receive any medical attention at a hospital as a res	discrete	numeric-1.0	371	9453	Did you receive any medical attention at a hospital as a result of this incident?
3	CIR_Q175	Did you stay in hospital overnight?	discrete	numeric-1.0	77	9747	Did you stay in hospital overnight?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	CIR_Q176_C	For how many nights?	continuous	numeric-1.0	14	9810	For how many nights?
5	CIR_Q180	Did you receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nur	discrete	numeric-1.0	294	9530	Did you receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nurse?
6	MEDAT	Received medical attention as a result of the incident.	discrete	numeric-1.0	371	9453	Received medical attention as a result of the incident.
7	CIR_Q190	As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spe	discrete	numeric-1.0	2710	7114	As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spent in the hospital), did you have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?

### Group Related to Alcohol OR Substance Problems

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q205	In your opinion, was this incident related to the person's a	discrete	numeric-1.0	2328	7496	In your opinion, was this incident related to the person's alcohol or drug use?

### Group Threats

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q120	Did the person(s) threaten you with physical harm in any way	discrete	numeric-1.0	8326	1498	Did the person(s) threaten you with physical harm in any way?
2	CIR_Q131	How were you threatened? Was it: ... face-to-face?	discrete	numeric-1.0	580	9244	How were you threatened? Was it: ... face-to-face?
3	CIR_Q132	How were you threatened? Was it: ... by mail?	discrete	numeric-1.0	580	9244	How were you threatened? Was it: ... by mail?
4	CIR_Q133	How were you threatened? Was it: ... by e-mail?	discrete	numeric-1.0	580	9244	How were you threatened? Was it: ... by e-mail?
5	CIR_Q134	How were you threatened? Was it: ... over the telephone?	discrete	numeric-1.0	580	9244	How were you threatened? Was it: ... over the telephone?
6	CIR_Q135	How were you threatened? Was it: ... other?	discrete	numeric-1.0	580	9244	How were you threatened? Was it: ... other?
7	CIR_Q140	Did you think the threat was going to be carried out?	discrete	numeric-1.0	571	9253	Did you think the threat was going to be carried out?

### Group Weapon

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q100	Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such	discrete	numeric-1.0	2386	7438	Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such as a gun or knife or something he/she was using as a weapon, such as a rock or bottle?
2	CIR_Q105_C01	What type of weapon? Gun.	discrete	numeric-1.0	370	9454	What type of weapon? Gun
3	CIR_Q105_C02	What type of weapon? Knife.	discrete	numeric-1.0	370	9454	What type of weapon? Knife
4	CIR_Q105_C03	What type of weapon? Bottle.	discrete	numeric-1.0	370	9454	What type of weapon? Bottle
5	CIR_Q105_C04	What type of weapon? Bat/Stick.	discrete	numeric-1.0	370	9454	What type of weapon? Bat/Stick

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	CIR_Q105_C05	What type of weapon? Rock.	discrete	numeric-1.0	370	9454	What type of weapon? Rock
7	CIR_Q105_C06	What type of weapon? Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	370	9454	What type of weapon? Other

### Group Break and Enter

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q060	Did someone let them in? (Example: guests, workmen)	discrete	numeric-1.0	1294	8530	Did someone let them in? (Example: guests, workmen)
2	CIR_Q070	Did the person(s) who committed the act actually get in or j	discrete	numeric-1.0	983	8841	Did the person(s) who committed the act actually get in or just try to get in?
3	CIR_Q080	Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or window, tha	discrete	numeric-1.0	1037	8787	Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or window, that the person(s) forced/tried to force his/her way in?
4	CIR_Q085_C01	What was the evidence? Broken lock or forced door.	discrete	numeric-1.0	561	9263	What was the evidence? Broken lock or forced door
5	CIR_Q085_C02	What was the evidence? Broken or forced window.	discrete	numeric-1.0	561	9263	What was the evidence? Broken or forced window
6	CIR_Q085_C03	What was the evidence? Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	561	9263	What was the evidence? Other

### Group Did Not Contact

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q581	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5899	3925	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because it was dealt with another way? (e.g. reported to another official, landlord, manager, school official, or private matter that took care of myself, etc.)
2	CIR_Q582	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5895	3929	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because of fear of revenge by the offender?
3	CIR_Q583	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5890	3934	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the police couldn't do anything about it? (e.g. didn't find out until too late, couldn't recover or identify property, couldn't find or identify offender, lack of proof, etc.)
4	CIR_Q584	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5884	3940	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the police wouldn't help? (e.g. wouldn't think it was important enough, wouldn't want to be bothered or get involved, police would be inefficient or ineffective, would harass/insult respondent, offender was police officer)

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
5	CIR_Q585	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5888	3936	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because you did not want to get involved with police?
6	CIR_Q586	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5886	3938	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because it was not important enough to you? (e.g. minor crime, small loss, child offender, no intended harm, etc.)
7	CIR_Q587	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5881	3943	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the incident was a personal matter and did not concern the police?
8	CIR_Q588	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5878	3946	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the police would be biased?
9	CIR_Q590	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	4300	5524	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because your insurance wouldn't cover it? (e.g. no insurance, loss less than deductible, etc.)
10	CIR_Q591	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	4303	5521	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because nothing was taken or the items were recovered?
11	CIR_Q589_592	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa	discrete	numeric-1.0	5886	3938	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?
12	CIR_MAIN_REASON	Some reason for NOT reporting to the police collapsed.	discrete	numeric-2.0	5887	3937	What was the main reason?

## Group Damaged Property

Subgroup(s)		Repaired					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q420_C01	What was damaged? Respondent's personal property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3405	6419	What was damaged?  Respondent's personal property
2	CIR_Q420_C02	What was damaged? Personal property of someone else.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3405	6419	What was damaged?  Personal property of someone else

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	CIR_Q420_C03	What was damaged? Motor vehicle or part of a motor vehicle.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3405	6419	What was damaged?  Motor vehicle or part of a motor vehicle
4	CIR_Q420_C04	What was damaged? Dwelling or other building on property	discrete	numeric-1.0	3405	6419	What was damaged?  Dwelling or other building on property
5	CIR_Q420_C05	What was damaged? Household property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3405	6419	What was damaged?  Household property
6	CIR_Q420_C06	What was damaged? Nothing.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3405	6419	What was damaged?  Nothing
7	VALDAMGE_C	0001:4999	continuous	numeric-4.0	2950	6874	What is your estimate of the value of all damage done in this incident?
8	TOTCOST	Total economic cost(not solely out-of-pocket expenses) of st	discrete	numeric-2.0	9172	652	Total economic cost(not solely out-of-pocket expenses) of stolen/damaged property.

### Group Repaired

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q440	Have any of the damaged items been repaired or replaced?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3390	6434	Have any of the damaged items been repaired or replaced?
2	CIR_Q450	Will they be repaired or replaced?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1073	8751	Will they be repaired or replaced?

### Group Insurance AND Compensation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q460	At the time of the incident, did you have any insurance?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7092	2732	At the time of the incident, did you have any insurance?
2	CIR_Q470	Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident thr	discrete	numeric-1.0	4908	4916	Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident through an insurance company?
3	CIR_Q475	Did you obtain any compensation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	910	8914	Did you obtain any compensation?
4	CIR_Q480	Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident thr	discrete	numeric-1.0	9376	448	Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program?
5	CIR_Q485	Did you obtain any compensation	discrete	numeric-1.0	130	9694	Did you obtain any compensation?
6	CIR_Q490_C	0001:4999	continuous	numeric-4.0	8932	892	For this incident, what is your estimate of your out-of-pocket expenses, that is, expenses for which you do not expect to be reimbursed?

### Group Stolen Property

Subgroup(s)		Attempted To Steal , Recovered					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question



#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q350	Was anything that belonged to you or your household stolen d	discrete	numeric-1.0	6444	3380	Was anything that belonged to you or your household stolen during this incident? Do not include property belonging to a business.
2	CIR_Q360_C01	What was stolen during the incident? Anything else? Cash.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident? Anything else?  Cash
3	CIR_Q360_C02	What was stolen during the incident? Anything else? Purse,	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident? Anything else?  Purse, wallet, credit cards, cheques, personal cards or papers
4	CIR_Q360_C03	What was stolen during the incident? Clothing, jewellery.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Clothing, jewellery
5	CIR_Q360_C04	What was stolen during the incident? Other personal property	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Other personal property
6	CIR_Q360_C05	What was stolen during the incident? Personal property of so	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Personal property of someone else
7	CIR_Q360_C06	What was stolen during the incident? Car.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Car
8	CIR_Q360_C07	What was stolen during the incident? Truck or van.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Truck or van
9	CIR_Q360_C08	What was stolen during the incident? Motorcycle or moped.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Motorcycle or moped
10	CIR_Q360_C09	What was stolen during the incident? Other motor vehicle.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Other motor vehicle
11	CIR_Q360_C789	What was stolen during the incident? Truck or van, motorcycl	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident? Truck or van, motorcycle, moped or other motor vehicle.
12	CIR_Q360_C10	What was stolen during the incident? Part of a motor vehicle	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Part of a motor vehicle (for example battery, hubcap, radio, etc.)
13	CIR_Q360_C11	What was stolen during the incident? Food, drink, liquor.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Food, drink, liquor
14	CIR_Q360_C12	What was stolen during the incident? Electronic equipment, i	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Electronic equipment, including T.V. stereo, video recorder, CD's
15	CIR_Q360_C13	What was stolen during the incident? Household articles, inc	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Household articles, including tools, appliances, furniture, carpets
16	CIR_Q360_C14	What was stolen during the incident? Boat.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Boat
17	CIR_Q360_C15	What was stolen during the incident? Bicycle.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Bicycle
18	CIR_Q360_C16	What was stolen during the incident? Other household propert	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?  Other household property
19	CIR_Q360_C1416	What was stolen during the incident? Boat or other household	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident? Boat or other household property.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
20	CIR_Q360_C17	What was stolen during the incident? Nothing.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4850	4974	What was stolen during the incident?   Nothing
21	CIR_Q370_C	What is your estimate of the value of all property and cash	continuous	numeric-5.0	4670	5154	What is your estimate of the value of all property and cash stolen in this incident?
22	CIR_Q380	Was any of the stolen money and/or property recovered, not c	discrete	numeric-1.0	4857	4967	Was any of the stolen money and/or property recovered, not counting anything received from insurance?

### Group Attempted To Steal

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q390	Excluding property belonging to a business, did this person	discrete	numeric-1.0	3929	5895	Excluding property belonging to a business, did this person @Uattempt@U to take anything that belonged to you or your household?
2	CIR_Q400_C01	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Cash.	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Cash
3	CIR_Q400_C02	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Respond	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Respondent's personal property
4	CIR_Q400_C03	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Persona	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Personal property of someone else
5	CIR_Q400_C04	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Motor v	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Motor vehicle
6	CIR_Q400_C05	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Part of	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Part of a motor vehicle
7	CIR_Q400_C06	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Househo	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Household property
8	CIR_Q400_C07	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Nothing	discrete	numeric-1.0	849	8975	What did they attempt to steal during this incident?  Nothing
9	CIR_Q410	Was anything that belonged to you or a member of your househ	discrete	numeric-1.0	7113	2711	Was anything that belonged to you or a member of your household damaged but not taken in this incident?

### Group Recovered

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q380	Was any of the stolen money and/or property recovered, not c	discrete	numeric-1.0	4857	4967	Was any of the stolen money and/or property recovered, not counting anything received from insurance?
2	CIR_Q385	Was it all recovered?	discrete	numeric-1.0	606	9218	Was it all recovered?

### Group Offender AND Victim Meeting

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q630	Thinking about this incident, how interested would you have	discrete	numeric-1.0	9268	556	Would you have been (be):
2	CIR_Q640_C01	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Angry
3	CIR_Q640_C02	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Upset/confused/frustrated
4	CIR_Q640_C03	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Not much
5	CIR_Q640_C04	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Fearful
6	CIR_Q640_C05	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  More cautious/aware
7	CIR_Q640_C06	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Shock/disbelief
8	CIR_Q640_C07	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Hurt/disappointment
9	CIR_Q640_C08	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Victimized
10	CIR_Q640_C09	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Sleeping problems
11	CIR_Q640_C10	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Depression/anxiety attacks
12	CIR_Q640_C11	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Ashamed/guilty
13	CIR_Q640_C12	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Afraid for children
14	CIR_Q640_C13	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Annoyed
15	CIR_Q640_C14	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Lowered self esteem
16	CIR_Q640_C15	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Increased self-reliance
17	CIR_Q640_C16	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Problems relating to men/women

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
18	CIR_Q640_C17	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect	discrete	numeric-1.0	9323	501	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Other

### Group Demographics At Time Of Incident

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	CIR_Q500	During the week of the incident, was your main activity work	discrete	numeric-2.0	9376	448	During the week of the incident, was your main activity working at a paid job or business, looking for paid work, going to school, caring for children, household work, retired, on vacation or something else?

# Variables Description

**Dataset contains 416 variable(s)**

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # RECID: Record identification.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-25059] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12490.67 /-] [StdDev=7206.39 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Record identification.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. This variable is found on both the Cycle 18 Main file and the incident file.

## # CIR\_Q020: Where did this incident take place? (For example, was it at

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9416 / 8280853.196 ] [Invalid=408 / 386248.832 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010 = 01-12 or CIR_Q015 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Where did this incident take place? (For example, was it at home, on the street, at work or at school?)
<b>Post-question</b>	Other private residences or other farms Go to CIR_Q020B  Commercial or institutional establishment Go to CIR_Q020C  Street or other public place Go to CIR_Q020D  Other Go to CIR_Q022 Refusal Go to CIR_C030
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Probe to know precisely where the incident took place, in order to select appropriate category. If work, ask: Where was your place of work at the time? For example, was it an office building, factory or school?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Respondent's home and surrounding area	5100	3573395.4	43.2%
2	Other private residences or other farms	448	448179.4	5.4%
3	Commercial or institutional establishment	1983	2409116.5	29.1%
4	Street or other public place	1592	1531316.6	18.5%
5	Other	293	318845.3	3.9%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	34	32001.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q020A: Where did this incident take place? (Respondent's home and

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=5100 / 3573395.368 ] [Invalid=4724 / 5093706.66 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q020 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Where did this incident take place? (Respondent's home and surrounding area)
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Probe to know precisely where the incident took place, in order to select appropriate category. If work, ask: Where was your place of work at the time? For example, was it an office building, factory or school?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Inside respondent's own home/apartment	1075	823195.9	23.0%
2	Inside a vacation property (includes surrounding areas)	39	29047.2	0.8%
3	Inside garage or other building on respondent's property	400	223725.2	6.3%
4	home such as apartment hallway or laundry room	3586	2497427.0	69.9%

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q020A: Where did this incident take place? (Respondent's home and

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	4724	5093706.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q020B: Where did this incident take place? (Other private residenc

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=448 / 448179.375 ] [Invalid=9376 / 8218922.653 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q020 = 2.
<b>Literal question</b>	Where did this incident take place? (Other private residences or other farms)
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Probe to know precisely where the incident took place, in order to select appropriate category. If work, ask: Where was your place of work at the time? For example, was it an office building, factory or school?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Offender's home (in or around)	74	82840.0	18.5%
2	Other Private Residence or Farm (in or around)	374	365339.3	81.5%
7	Not asked	9376	8218922.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q020C: Where did this incident take place? (Commercial or institut

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1983 / 2409116.537 ] [Invalid=7841 / 6257985.491 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q020 = 3.
<b>Literal question</b>	Where did this incident take place? (Commercial or institutional establishment)
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Probe to know precisely where the incident took place, in order to select appropriate category. If work, ask: Where was your place of work at the time? For example, was it an office building, factory or school?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	In a restaurant or bar	346	444729.6	18.5%
2	Inside school or on school grounds	402	568822.8	23.6%
3	store, or a shopping mall	1109	1215895.6	50.5%
4	In a hospital, prison or rehabilitation centre	126	179668.6	7.5%
7	Not asked	7841	6257985.5	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q020D: Where did this incident take place? (Street or other public

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/7]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1592 / 1531316.617 ] [Invalid=8232 / 7135785.411 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q020 = 4.
<b>Literal question</b>	Where did this incident take place? (Street or other public place)
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Probe to know precisely where the incident took place, in order to select appropriate category. If work, ask: Where was your place of work at the time? For example, was it an office building, factory or school?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q020D: Where did this incident take place? (Street or other public

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	On public transportation	65	83614.9	5.5%
2	respondent's	577	477391.1	31.2%
3	On sidewalk/street/highway in respondent's neighbourhood	426	404281.8	26.4%
4	On any other sidewalk/street/highway	404	426843.7	27.9%
5	provincial or local park, or conservation area)	120	139185.0	9.1%
7	Not asked	8232	7135785.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q024: Was this your place of work?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1902 / 2317944.213 ] [Invalid=7922 / 6349157.815 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q020 = 3.
<b>Literal question</b>	Was this your place of work?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	906	1119686.3	48.3%
2	No	996	1198258.0	51.7%
7	Not asked	7841	6257985.5	
8	Not stated	81	91172.3	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # DWELINC\_C: Victim's type of dwelling at the time of the incident collap

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1054 / 806267.385 ] [Invalid=8770 / 7860834.643 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of dwelling were you living in at the time of this incident? Was it a:
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q020A, CIR_Q030, CIR_Q040 and DOR_Q110.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Single detached house	576	401099.7	49.7%
2	Low-rise apartment (less than 5 stories)	197	154871.0	19.2%
3	High-rise apartment (5 or more stories)	45	36807.2	4.6%
4	Other	236	213489.4	26.5%
7	Not asked	8750	7844103.8	
8	Not stated	20	16730.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q050: At the time of the incident, did the person(s) who committed



<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1484 / 1053265.366 ] [Invalid=8340 / 7613836.662 ]



# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q050: At the time of the incident, did the person(s) who committed



<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q020A = 1, 2 or 3.
<b>Literal question</b>	At the time of the incident, did the person(s) who committed the act live with you?
<b>Post-question</b>	Yes Go to CIR_C090  Don't know Go to CIR_C090  Refusal Go to CIR_C090
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	If more than one person and at least one lived with respondent select <yes>.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	155	178748.5	 17.0%
2	No	1329	874516.9	 83.0%
7	Not asked	8310	7591133.6	
8	Not stated	18	11756.2	
9	Don't know	12	10946.8	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q060: Did someone let them in? (Example: guests, workmen)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1294 / 844878.331 ] [Invalid=8530 / 7822223.697 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q050 = 2.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did someone let them in? (Example: guests, workmen)
<b>Post-question</b>	Yes Go to CIR_C090  Don't know Go to CIR_C090  Refusal Go to CIR_C090
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	If respondent advises you that the incident was a threat by telephone or mail, select <No> at this item and option 3 at item CIR_Q070.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	227	212114.3	 25.1%
2	No	1067	632764.0	 74.9%
7	Not asked	8495	7792585.1	
8	Not stated	2	3251.5	
9	Don't know	33	26387.1	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q070: Did the person(s) who committed the act actually get in or j

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=983 / 574687.765 ] [Invalid=8841 / 8092414.263 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q060 = 2.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did the person(s) who committed the act actually get in or just try to get in?
<b>Post-question</b>	Threat received by telephone, mail, or e-mail Go to CIR_C090
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	For apartments and multi-unit structures, determine if there was an actual or attempted entry of the respondent's unit. Do not include entry to other parts of the building, such as the lobby.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q070: Did the person(s) who committed the act actually get in or j

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Actually got in	692	392325.8	68.3%
2	Tried to get in	268	156245.2	27.2%
3	Threat received by telephone, mail, or e-mail	23	26116.7	4.5%
7	Not asked	8757	8034338.0	
8	Not stated	48	31111.5	
9	Don't know	36	26964.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q080: Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or window, tha

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1037 / 601800.337 ] [Invalid=8787 / 8065301.691 ]
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q070 = 1, 2, 8 or
Literal question	Was there any evidence, such as a broken lock or window, that the person(s) forced/tried to force his/her way in?
Post-question	No Go to CIR_C090  Don't know Go to CIR_C090  Refusal Go to CIR_C090
Notes	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	561	313147.2	52.0%
2	No	476	288653.1	48.0%
7	Not asked	8780	8060454.8	
8	Not stated	2	1434.6	
9	Don't know	5	3412.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q085\_C01: What was the evidence? Broken lock or forced door.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=561 / 313147.195 ] [Invalid=9263 / 8353954.833 ]
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q080 = 1.
Literal question	What was the evidence? Broken lock or forced door
Notes	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	342	192301.8	61.4%
2	No	219	120845.4	38.6%
7	Not asked	9263	8353954.8	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



## # CIR\_Q085\_C02: What was the evidence? Broken or forced window.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=561 / 313147.195 ] [Invalid=9263 / 8353954.833 ]
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q080 = 1.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q085\_C02: What was the evidence? Broken or forced window.



<b>Literal question</b>	What was the evidence? Broken or forced window
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	190	104308.8	 33.3%
2	No	371	208838.4	 66.7%
7	Not asked	9263	8353954.8	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q085\_C03: What was the evidence? Other.



<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=561 / 313147.195 ] [Invalid=9263 / 8353954.833 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q080 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What was the evidence? Other
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	56	32431.0	 10.4%
2	No	505	280716.2	 89.6%
7	Not asked	9263	8353954.8	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q090: Were you present at any time during the incident?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8499 / 6984734.717 ] [Invalid=1325 / 1682367.311 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q125, Q135, Q145, Q155, Q165, Q215, Q225, Q235, Q325 and CIR_Q070 = 1,2,8,9.
<b>Literal question</b>	Were you present at any time during the incident?
<b>Post-question</b>	Yes Go to CIR_Q100  Refusal Go to CIR_Q100
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1792	1982987.2	 28.4%
2	No	6707	5001747.5	 71.6%
7	Not asked	1303	1666410.2	
8	Not stated	22	15957.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q100: Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2386 / 2995946.226 ] [Invalid=7438 / 5671155.802 ]
<b>Universe</b>	

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q100: Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such

	Respondents who answered CIR_Q090 = 1, 8 or (CIR_Q090 = 2 and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1))).
<b>Literal question</b>	Did the person(s) who committed the act have a weapon, such as a gun or knife or something he/she was using as a weapon, such as a rock or bottle?
<b>Post-question</b>	No Go to CIR_C110  Refusal Go to CIR_C110
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	376	519154.6	17.3%
2	No	2010	2476791.7	82.7%
7	Not asked	7182	5465427.1	
8	Not stated	20	14349.1	
9	Don't know	236	191379.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q105\_C01: What type of weapon? Gun.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=370 / 513370.89 ] [Invalid=9454 / 8153731.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q100 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of weapon? Gun
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	34	54278.3	10.6%
2	No	336	459092.6	89.4%
7	Not asked	9448	8147947.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	6	5783.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q105\_C02: What type of weapon? Knife.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=370 / 513370.89 ] [Invalid=9454 / 8153731.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q100 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of weapon? Knife
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	97	133986.7	26.1%
2	No	273	379384.2	73.9%
7	Not asked	9448	8147947.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	6	5783.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q105\_C03: What type of weapon? Bottle.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=370 / 513370.89 ] [Invalid=9454 / 8153731.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q100 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of weapon? Bottle
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	43	69702.0	13.6%
2	No	327	443668.9	86.4%
7	Not asked	9448	8147947.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	6	5783.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q105\_C04: What type of weapon? Bat/Stick.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=370 / 513370.89 ] [Invalid=9454 / 8153731.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q100 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of weapon? Bat/Stick
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	69	88036.0	17.1%
2	No	301	425334.9	82.9%
7	Not asked	9448	8147947.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	6	5783.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q105\_C05: What type of weapon? Rock.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=370 / 513370.89 ] [Invalid=9454 / 8153731.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q100 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of weapon? Rock
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	35	49842.6	9.7%
2	No	335	463528.3	90.3%
7	Not asked	9448	8147947.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	6	5783.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q105\_C06: What type of weapon? Other.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=370 / 513370.89 ] [Invalid=9454 / 8153731.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q100 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	What type of weapon? Other
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	115	160209.1	31.2%
2	No	255	353161.8	68.8%
7	Not asked	9448	8147947.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	6	5783.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q110: In this incident, were you assaulted in any physical or sexu

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1796 / 1985925.185 ] [Invalid=8028 / 6681176.843 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q090 = 1 or 8.
<b>Literal question</b>	An assault can be anything from being hit, slapped, grabbed or knocked down, to being shot, or beaten. This can also include forced sexual activity and unwanted sexual touching or grabbing. In this incident, were you assaulted in any physical or sexual way?
<b>Post-question</b>	Yes Go to CIR_Q150
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Consider respondents as having been assaulted if something was thrown at them or if they were shot at but not hit.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	220	325030.3	16.4%
2	No	1576	1660894.8	83.6%
7	Not asked	8010	6668157.7	
8	Not stated	18	13019.1	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q120: Did the person(s) threaten you with physical harm in any way

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8326 / 6689648.411 ] [Invalid=1498 / 1977453.617 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q110 = 2,8 or ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)) and CIR_Q070 = 1,2,8,9 and SCREEN_INC_TYPE not equal to Q315, Q325, Q335 or Q345 and CIR_Q110 = 2,8).
<b>Literal question</b>	Did the person(s) threaten you with physical harm in any way?
<b>Post-question</b>	No Go to CIR_C181  Refusal Go to CIR_C181
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Physical threats may have been uttered even if the respondent was not present when the incident occurred. For example, personal belongings may have been burned, destroyed or damaged in order to threaten respondent; or animals belonging to respondent have may been killed, poisoned or injured in order to threaten respondent.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q120: Did the person(s) threaten you with physical harm in any way

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	524	775863.2	11.6%
2	No	7802	5913785.2	88.4%
7	Not asked	1477	1961733.2	
8	Not stated	21	15720.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q131: How were you threatened? Was it: ... face-to-face?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=580 / 856012.071 ] [Invalid=9244 / 7811089.957 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q120 = 1 or CIR_Q070 = 3 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q090 = 2).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you threatened? Was it:  ... face-to-face?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	497	754320.1	88.1%
2	No	83	101691.9	11.9%
7	Not asked	9244	7811090.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q132: How were you threatened? Was it: ... by mail?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=580 / 856012.071 ] [Invalid=9244 / 7811089.957 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q120 = 1 or CIR_Q070 = 3 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q090 = 2).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you threatened? Was it:  ... by mail?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	18	22563.5	2.6%
2	No	562	833448.5	97.4%
7	Not asked	9244	7811090.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q133: How were you threatened? Was it: ... by e-mail?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=580 / 856012.071 ] [Invalid=9244 / 7811089.957 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q120 = 1 or CIR_Q070 = 3 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q090 = 2).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you threatened? Was it:  ... by e-mail?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-------	-------	-------	----------	-----------------------

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q133: How were you threatened? Was it: ... by e-mail?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	6	10527.1	1.2%
2	No	574	845485.0	98.8%
7	Not asked	9244	7811090.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q134: How were you threatened? Was it: ... over the telephone?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=580 / 856012.071 ] [Invalid=9244 / 7811089.957 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q120 = 1 or CIR_Q070 = 3 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q090 = 2).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you threatened? Was it:  ... ... over the telephone?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	67	97932.3	11.4%
2	No	513	758079.8	88.6%
7	Not asked	9244	7811090.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q135: How were you threatened? Was it: ... other?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=580 / 856012.071 ] [Invalid=9244 / 7811089.957 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q120 = 1 or CIR_Q070 = 3 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q090 = 2).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you threatened? Was it:  ... ... other?
<b>Post-question</b>	No Go to CIR_Q140  Refusal Go to CIR_Q140
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	40	44354.5	5.2%
2	No	540	811657.6	94.8%
7	Not asked	9244	7811090.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q140: Did you think the threat was going to be carried out?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=571 / 847981.259 ] [Invalid=9253 / 7819120.769 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q120 = 1 or CIR_Q070 = 3 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q090 = 2).
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you think the threat was going to be carried out?
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.



# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q140: Did you think the threat was going to be carried out?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	311	404464.3	47.7%
2	No	260	443517.0	52.3%
7	Not asked	9244	7811090.0	
8	Not stated	9	8030.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C01: How were you assaulted? Forced or attempted forced sexual as

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Forced or attempted forced sexual assault by being threatened, held down or hurt in some way
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	23	24578.2	2.2%
2	No	718	1068083.1	97.8%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # SEXATTK: Crime: Sexual attack/attempt

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9821 / 8663440.89 ] [Invalid=3 / 3661.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Crime: Sexual attack/attempt
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from SCREEN_INC_TYPE and CIR_Q150_C01.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	95	95846.2	1.1%
2	No	9726	8567594.6	98.9%
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C02: How were you assaulted? Unwanted sexual touching, grabbing,

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Unwanted sexual touching, grabbing, kissing or fondling

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q150\_C02: How were you assaulted? Unwanted sexual touching, grabbing,

<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	24	37300.3	3.4%
2	No	717	1055361.1	96.6%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # SEXTOUCH: Crime: Unwanted sexual touching.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9821 / 8663440.89 ] [Invalid=3 / 3661.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Crime: Unwanted sexual touching.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from SCREEN_INC_TYPE and CIR_Q150_C02.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	282	419567.3	4.8%
2	No	9539	8243873.6	95.2%
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C03: How were you assaulted? Shot, knifed or hit with object held

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Shot, knifed or hit with object held in hand
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	27	32415.4	3.0%
2	No	714	1060246.0	97.0%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C04: How were you assaulted? Hit, kicked, slapped, knocked down.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q150\_C04: How were you assaulted? Hit, kicked, slapped, knocked down.

	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Hit, kicked, slapped, knocked down
--	--

<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	376	586034.8	53.6%
2	No	365	506626.5	46.4%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C05: How were you assaulted? Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, push

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
---------------------------	--

<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
-----------------	---

<b>Literal question</b>	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Grabbed, held, tripped, jumped, pushed
-------------------------	--

<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	344	487116.8	44.6%
2	No	397	605544.6	55.4%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C06: How were you assaulted? Other.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
--------------------	--

<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
---------------------------	--

<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
-----------------	---

<b>Literal question</b>	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Other
-------------------------	---

<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.
--------------	---

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	89	127959.6	11.7%
2	No	652	964701.7	88.3%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # PHYSATTK: Crime:Physical attack.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9821 / 8663440.89 ] [Invalid=3 / 3661.138 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Crime:Physical attack.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q150_C03, CIR_Q150_C04, CIR_Q150_C05 and CIR_Q150_C06.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	696	1026356.5	11.8%
2	No	9125	7637084.4	88.2%
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q150\_C07: How were you assaulted? Not attacked/assaulted.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=741 / 1092661.337 ] [Invalid=9083 / 7574440.691 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q315 or CIR_Q110 = 1) and ((CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	How were you assaulted? Again, remember that an assault can include any type of physical or sexual assault, such as being slapped, beaten or being forced into sexual activity or being touched or grabbed in a sexual way.  Not attacked/assaulted
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	17	18046.8	1.7%
2	No	724	1074614.5	98.3%
7	Not asked	9080	7570779.6	
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # INJURY: Crime: Physically injured during the incident.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3115 / 3664239.88 ] [Invalid=6709 / 5002862.148 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Were you physically injured in any way, for example bruises, cuts, broken bones, etc.?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q090, CIR_Q160 and CIR_Q150_C03.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	371	534471.6	14.6%
2	No	2744	3129768.3	85.4%
7	Not asked	6707	5001747.5	
8	Not stated	2	1114.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q170: Did you receive any medical attention at a hospital as a res

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=371 / 534471.601 ] [Invalid=9453 / 8132630.427 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q160 = 1 or CIR_Q150_C03 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you receive any medical attention at a hospital as a result of this incident?
<b>Post-question</b>	No Go to CIR_Q180  Refusal Go to CIR_Q180
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Include treatment received at emergency or as an out-patient.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	77	100681.6	18.8%
2	No	294	433790.0	81.2%
7	Not asked	9453	8132630.4	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q175: Did you stay in hospital overnight?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=77 / 100681.619 ] [Invalid=9747 / 8566420.409 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q170 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you stay in hospital overnight?
<b>Post-question</b>	No Go to CIR_C181  Refusal Go to CIR_C181
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	15	25472.8	25.3%
2	No	62	75208.8	74.7%
7	Not asked	9747	8566420.4	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # CIR\_Q176\_C: For how many nights?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=14 / 24596.653 ] [Invalid=9810 / 8642505.375 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q175 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	For how many nights?
<b>Post-question</b>	1..500 Go to CIR_C181  Refusal Go to CIR_C181
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One night	9	13604.6	55.3%
2	More than one night	5	10992.0	44.7%
7	Not asked	9809	8641629.3	

# File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

## # CIR\_Q176\_C: For how many nights?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	1	876.1	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q180: Did you receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nur

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=294 / 433789.982 ] [Invalid=9530 / 8233312.046 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q170 = 2 or 8.
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nurse?
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Include medical attention received immediately after the attack as well as any follow-up medical attention received as a result of the injuries.
<b>Notes</b>	SOURCE: GENERAL SOCIAL SURVEY, 2004  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	28	27668.6	6.4%
2	No	266	406121.4	93.6%
7	Not asked	9530	8233312.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # MEDAT: Received medical attention as a result of the incident.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=371 / 534471.601 ] [Invalid=9453 / 8132630.427 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Received medical attention as a result of the incident.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q150_C03, CIR_Q160, CIR_Q170 and CIR_Q180.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	105	128350.2	24.0%
2	No	266	406121.4	76.0%
7	Not asked	9453	8132630.4	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q190: As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spe

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2710 / 3311743.873 ] [Invalid=7114 / 5355358.156 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or (CIR_Q010 = 98, 99 and CIR_Q015 = 1) and ((CIR_Q090 = 1, 8 and CIR_Q120 = 2, 8, 7) or (CIR_Q090 = 2 and CIR_Q120 = 7 and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325) or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q325 and CIR_Q070 = 3) or (CIR_Q090 = 7 and CIR_Q120 = 7 and SCREEN_INC_TYPE =Q315, Q335, Q345)).
<b>Literal question</b>	As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spent in the hospital), did you have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

## File : gss\_12M0018\_E\_2002\_c-18\_i\_F1

### # CIR\_Q190: As a result of this incident (and excluding any time you spe

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	137	176615.3	5.3%
2	No	2573	3135128.5	94.7%
7	Not asked	7093	5339016.8	
8	Not stated	21	16341.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # BED\_DAYS: Collapsed days spent in bed as a result of the incident.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=133 / 168484.534 ] [Invalid=9691 / 8498617.494 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q190 = 1.
<b>Literal question</b>	For how many days?
<b>Post-question</b>	Consider one day to be 6 hours over and above the respondent's normal hours of sleeping.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q191.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One day	58	66261.9	39.3%
2	Two days	27	29944.5	17.8%
3	Three or more days	48	72278.2	42.9%
7	Not asked	9687	8490486.7	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	4	8130.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # CIR\_Q205: In your opinion, was this incident related to the person's a

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2328 / 2956980.475 ] [Invalid=7496 / 5710121.553 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q190 = 1, 2 or 8.
<b>Literal question</b>	In your opinion, was this incident related to the person's alcohol or drug use?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1174	1491413.3	50.4%
2	No	1154	1465567.1	49.6%
7	Not asked	7093	5339016.8	
8	Not stated	25	18334.2	
9	Don't know	378	352770.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# CIR_Q210: Was only one person involved in committing the act?	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2422 / 3077871.333 ] [Invalid=7402 / 5589230.695 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q190 = 1, 2 or 8.
<b>Literal question</b>	Was only one person involved in committing the act?
<b>Post-question</b>	No Go to CIR_Q250  Don't know Go to CIR_D250  Refusal Go to CIR_D250
<b>Interviewer's instructions</b>	Select <Don't know> if the respondent is unsure or guesses about the number of offenders.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1801	2376451.0	<div></div> 77.2%
2	No	621	701420.3	<div></div> 22.8%
7	Not asked	7093	5339016.8	
8	Not stated	23	17587.2	
9	Don't know	286	232626.7	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# SXOFFND: Sex of the offender(only one offender).	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1728 / 2299935.032 ] [Invalid=8096 / 6367166.996 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Was the person male or female?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q220.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	1460	1981717.1	<div></div> 86.2%
2	Female	268	318218.0	<div></div> 13.8%
7	Not asked	8023	6290651.0	
8	Not stated	5	6712.1	
9	Don't know	68	69803.9	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# AGEOFNDC: Collapsed age of the offender in groupings(only one offender)	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/97/98/99]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1694 / 2253783.465 ] [Invalid=8130 / 6413318.563 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	How old would you say the person was?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q230.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Under 17 years old	258	384353.0	<div></div> 17.1%
2	18 to 24 years old	433	625634.2	<div></div> 27.8%
3	25 to 34 years old	415	520553.2	<div></div> 23.1%
4	35 to 44 years old	323	396188.6	<div></div> 17.6%
5	45 to 54 years old	171	217558.2	<div></div> 9.7%



# AGEOFNDC: Collapsed age of the offender in groupings(only one offender)				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
6	55 years old and more	94	109496.3	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
97	Not asked	8023	6290651.0	
98	Not stated	6	7174.1	
99	Don't know	101	115493.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# RLOFFNDC: Relationship of the offender to victim(only one offender) co				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1794 / 2364891.388 ] [Invalid=8030 / 6302210.64 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	What was the person's relationship to you?			
Interviewer's instructions	Record relationship at time of incident (not necessarily current relationship).			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q240.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Relative	116	132738.2	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
2	Friend/neighbour/acquaintance	686	884287.0	<div><div></div></div> 37.4%
3	Stranger	799	1058855.2	<div><div></div></div> 44.8%
4	Other	193	289011.0	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
7	Not asked	8023	6290651.0	
8	Not stated	7	11559.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NUMOFFND: Number of offenders (collapsed at 4).				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2390 / 3047587.932 ] [Invalid=7434 / 5619514.096 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	How many people were involved?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q210 and CIR_Q250.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1 offender	1801	2376451.0	<div><div></div></div> 78.0%
2	2 offenders	270	270790.6	<div><div></div></div> 8.9%
3	3 offenders	138	162111.4	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
4	4 or more offenders	181	238234.9	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
7	Not asked	7402	5589230.7	
8	Not stated	1	363.7	
9	Don't know	31	29919.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SXOFNDSC: Sex of the offenders (more than one offender) collapsed.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=570 / 658185.078 ] [Invalid=9254 / 8008916.95 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			

# SXOFNDSC: Sex of the offenders (more than one offender) collapsed.				
Literal question		Were they male or female?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q250, CIR_Q260 and CIR_Q270.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	All male	422	449535.8	<div><div></div></div> 68.3%
2	All female or mostly female	52	84393.4	<div><div></div></div> 12.8%
3	Mostly male	42	65254.7	<div><div></div></div> 9.9%
4	Evenly divided	54	59001.2	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
7	Not asked	9242	7999132.4	
8	Not stated	1	4421.3	
9	Don't know	11	5363.3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# YNGOFNDC: Age of the youngest offender in groupings(more than one offe				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=571 / 653019.982 ] [Invalid=9253 / 8014082.046 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		How old would you say the youngest was?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q280.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Under 17 years old	251	264188.9	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
2	18 to 24 years old	206	264108.8	<div><div></div></div> 40.4%
3	25 to 34 years old	66	82301.7	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
4	35 years old and more	48	42420.5	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
7	Not applicable	9235	7995965.1	
8	Not stated	1	4421.3	
9	Don't know	17	13695.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# OLDOFNDC: Age of the oldest offender in groupings(more than one offend				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=557 / 638404.084 ] [Invalid=9267 / 8028697.944 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		How old would you say the oldest was?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q290.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Under 17 years old	131	119803.2	<div><div></div></div> 18.8%
2	18 to 24 years old	201	263022.9	<div><div></div></div> 41.2%
3	25 to 34 years old	117	139383.6	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
4	35 to 44 years old	59	62861.1	<div><div></div></div> 9.8%
5	45 years old and more	49	53333.3	<div><div></div></div> 8.4%
7	Not applicable	9235	7995965.1	
8	Not stated	2	5282.7	

# OLDOFNDC: Age of the oldest offender in groupings(more than one offend				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	30	27450.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# RLOFNDC: Relationship of the offender to victim(more than one offende				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=580 / 665784.049 ] [Invalid=9244 / 8001317.979 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	What was their relationship to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q300_C01 - CIR_Q300_C23.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Relative/Friend/neighbour/acquaintance	187	215018.2	<div></div> 32.3%
2	Stranger	373	422490.5	<div></div> 63.5%
3	Other	20	28275.4	<div></div> 4.2%
7	Not asked	9235	7995965.1	
8	Not stated	8	4794.6	
9	Don't know	1	558.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OFFENDRC: Relationship of the offender to victim (one or more than one				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2374 / 3030675.437 ] [Invalid=7450 / 5636426.591 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Relationship of the offender to victim (one or more than one offender).			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q300_C01 - CIR_Q300_C23 and CIR_Q240.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. When there is more than one offender the closest relationship was coded in the derived variable.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Relative	141	175303.5	<div></div> 5.8%
2	Friend/neighbour/acquaintance	848	1056739.9	<div></div> 34.9%
3	Stranger	1172	1481345.6	<div></div> 48.9%
4	Other	213	317286.3	<div></div> 10.5%
7	Not asked	7434	5619514.1	
8	Not stated	15	16354.2	
9	Don't know	1	558.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q310: Do you believe that this incident committed against you coul				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9238 / 8151834.925 ] [Invalid=586 / 515267.103 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or CIR_Q015 = 1.			
Pre-question	There is a growing concern in Canada about hate crimes. By this I mean crimes motivated by the offender's hatred of a person's sex, ethnicity, race, religion, sexual orientation, age, disability or language.			
Literal question	Do you believe that this incident committed against you could be considered a hate crime?			
Post-question	No Go to CIR_D331  Don't know Go to CIR_D331  Refusal Go to CIR_D331			

# CIR_Q310: Do you believe that this incident committed against you coul					
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	298	346931.4	<div></div>	4.3%
2	No	8940	7804903.5	<div></div>	95.7%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	26	18285.4		
9	Don't know	186	142734.7		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q321: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... sex?					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=282 / 336649.501 ] [Invalid=9542 / 8330452.527 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... sex?			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	81	86039.8	<div></div>	25.6%
2	No	201	250609.7	<div></div>	74.4%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6		
8	Not stated	0	0.0		
9	Don't know	16	10281.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q322: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... race/et					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=286 / 336200.272 ] [Invalid=9538 / 8330901.756 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... race/ethnicity?			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	175	214525.4	<div></div>	63.8%
2	No	111	121674.9	<div></div>	36.2%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6		
8	Not stated	0	0.0		
9	Don't know	12	10731.1		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q323: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... religio					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=286 / 332271.227 ] [Invalid=9538 / 8334830.801 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... religion?			

# CIR_Q323: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... religio				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	41	45968.0	<div><div></div></div> 13.8%
2	No	245	286303.3	<div><div></div></div> 86.2%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	12	14660.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q324: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... sexual				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=288 / 335681.863 ] [Invalid=9536 / 8331420.165 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.		
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... sexual orientation?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	33	41042.3	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
2	No	255	294639.6	<div><div></div></div> 87.8%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	10	11249.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q325: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... age?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=286 / 337461.756 ] [Invalid=9538 / 8329640.272 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.		
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... age?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	23	27920.3	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
2	No	263	309541.4	<div><div></div></div> 91.7%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	12	9469.6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q326: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... disabil				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=288 / 337883.284 ] [Invalid=9536 / 8329218.744 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.		
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... disability?		

# CIR_Q326: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... disabil					
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	18	17577.5	<div><div></div></div>	5.2%
2	No	270	320305.7	<div><div></div></div>	94.8%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6		
8	Not stated	0	0.0		
9	Don't know	10	9048.1		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q328: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... language					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=286 / 337208.458 ] [Invalid=9538 / 8329893.57 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... language?			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	26	24117.0	<div><div></div></div>	7.2%
2	No	260	313091.5	<div><div></div></div>	92.8%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6		
8	Not stated	0	0.0		
9	Don't know	12	9722.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q329: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... other?					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=287 / 332943.721 ] [Invalid=9537 / 8334158.307 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:  ... other?			
Post-question		No Go to CIR_D331  Don't know Go to CIR_D331  Refusal Go to CIR_D331			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	26	24251.3	<div><div></div></div>	7.3%
2	No	261	308692.4	<div><div></div></div>	92.7%
7	Not asked	9526	8320170.6		
8	Not stated	0	0.0		
9	Don't know	11	13987.7		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q329A: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... Other--					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=396 / 456768.324 ] [Invalid=9428 / 8210333.704 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q329 = 1.			

# CIR_Q329A: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... Other--				
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	10	11880.1	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
2	No	386	444888.2	<div><div></div></div> 97.4%
7	Not asked	9415	8195257.4	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	13	15076.3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q329B: Was this because of the person's hatred of your: ... Other--				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=396 / 456768.324 ] [Invalid=9428 / 8210333.704 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q329 = 1.		
Literal question		Was this because of the person's hatred of your:		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	100	109831.4	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
2	No	296	346936.9	<div><div></div></div> 76.0%
7	Not asked	9415	8195257.4	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	13	15076.3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# HATECRIM: Respondent believed the incident could be a hate crime defin				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9220 / 8134092.002 ] [Invalid=604 / 533010.026 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Respondent believed the incident could be a hate crime defined as offender's hatred of a person's sex, ethnicity, race, religion, sexual orientation, age, disability, culture or language.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q310, CIR_Q321 to CIR_Q326, CIR_Q328, CIR_Q329 and CIR_Q331.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Excludes respondents who answered YES only to CIR_Q329 (Other)- the reason specified does not fall within the criminal code definition of hate crime.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	280	329188.5	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	No	8940	7804903.5	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
8	Not stated	400	372532.4	
9	Don't know	204	160477.6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q335: Was anyone (else) harmed or threatened during this incident?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9402 / 8274932.45 ] [Invalid=422 / 392169.578 ]		

# CIR_Q335: Was anyone (else) harmed or threatened during this incident?					
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010 = 1-12 or CIR_Q015 = 1.				
Literal question	Was anyone (else) harmed or threatened during this incident?				
Post-question	No Go to CIR_C350  Don't know Go to CIR_C350  Refusal Go to CIR_C350				
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	445	622090.5	<div></div> 7.5%	
2	No	8957	7652842.0	<div></div> 92.5%	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	24	17215.4		
9	Don't know	24	20707.1		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q336_C: 01:07					
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*/97/98/99]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=440 / 617797.302 ] [Invalid=9384 / 8049304.726 ]				
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q335 = 1.				
Literal question	How many people?				
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q336.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
8	Eight and more	16	21614.9	<div></div> 100.0%	
97	Not asked	9379	8045011.6		
98	Not stated	1	1431.6		
99	Don't know	4	2861.6		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q340: Were any of these people under 15 years of age?					
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=439 / 616939.592 ] [Invalid=9385 / 8050162.436 ]				
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q335=1.				
Literal question	Were any of these people under 15 years of age?				
Post-question	No Go to CIR_C350  Don't know Go to CIR_C350  Refusal Go to CIR_C350				
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	65	81908.4	<div></div> 13.3%	
2	No	374	535031.2	<div></div> 86.7%	
7	Not asked	9379	8045011.6		
8	Not stated	0	0.0		
9	Don't know	6	5150.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q341_C: How many people?					
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*/7]				



# CIR_Q341_C: How many people?				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=65 / 81908.399 ] [Invalid=9759 / 8585193.629 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q340 = 1.			
Literal question	How many people?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q341.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One person	40	43140.8	<div></div> 52.7%
2	Two persons	16	23999.2	<div></div> 29.3%
3	Three persons and more	9	14768.3	<div></div> 18.0%
7	Not asked	9759	8585193.6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q350: Was anything that belonged to you or your household stolen d				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6444 / 5646808.975 ] [Invalid=3380 / 3020293.053 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE not equal to Q145, Q155 or Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).			
Literal question	Was anything that belonged to you or your household stolen during this incident? Do not include property belonging to a business.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1921	1474981.9	<div></div> 26.1%
2	No	4523	4171827.0	<div></div> 73.9%
7	Not asked	3331	2977831.8	
8	Not stated	18	16477.8	
9	Don't know	31	25983.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q360_C01: What was stolen during the incident? Anything else? Cash.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question	What was stolen during the incident? Anything else?  Cash			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	693	801202.9	<div></div> 19.7%
2	No	4157	3275253.1	<div></div> 80.3%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q360_C02: What was stolen during the incident? Anything else? Purse,	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident? Anything else?  Purse, wallet, credit cards, cheques, personal cards or papers
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	418	474516.4	11.6%
2	No	4432	3601939.7	88.4%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C03: What was stolen during the incident? Clothing, jewellery.	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Clothing, jewellery
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	464	498275.0	12.2%
2	No	4386	3578181.1	87.8%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C04: What was stolen during the incident? Other personal property	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Other personal property
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	794	957706.6	23.5%
2	No	4056	3118749.5	76.5%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C05: What was stolen during the incident? Personal property of so	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Personal property of someone else
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	112	155515.9	<div><div></div></div> 3.8%
2	No	4738	3920940.2	<div><div></div></div> 96.2%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C06: What was stolen during the incident? Car.	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Car
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	101	59468.4	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
2	No	4749	4016987.7	<div><div></div></div> 98.5%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C07: What was stolen during the incident? Truck or van.	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Truck or van
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	33	18226.4	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
2	No	4817	4058229.7	<div><div></div></div> 99.6%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C08: What was stolen during the incident? Motorcycle or moped.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).		
Literal question		What was stolen during the incident?   Motorcycle or moped		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	20	13659.6	0.3%
2	No	4830	4062796.4	99.7%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q360_C09: What was stolen during the incident? Other motor vehicle.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).		
Literal question		What was stolen during the incident?   Other motor vehicle		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	36	16289.0	0.4%
2	No	4814	4060167.0	99.6%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q360_C789: What was stolen during the incident? Truck or van, motorcycle				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).		
Literal question		What was stolen during the incident? Truck or van, motorcycle, moped or other motor vehicle.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	86	46563.6	1.1%
2	No	4764	4029892.5	98.9%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q360_C10: What was stolen during the incident? Part of a motor vehicle				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question	What was stolen during the incident?   Part of a motor vehicle (for example battery, hubcap, radio, etc.)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	427	242163.8	5.9%
2	No	4423	3834292.3	94.1%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q360_C11: What was stolen during the incident? Food, drink, liquor.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question	What was stolen during the incident?   Food, drink, liquor			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	107	87959.4	2.2%
2	No	4743	3988496.7	97.8%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q360_C12: What was stolen during the incident? Electronic equipment, i				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question	What was stolen during the incident?   Electronic equipment, including T.V. stereo, video recorder, CD's			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	877	666840.4	16.4%
2	No	3973	3409615.7	83.6%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q360_C13: What was stolen during the incident? Household articles, inc					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question		What was stolen during the incident?   Household articles, including tools, appliances, furniture, carpets			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	612	407007.1	<div><div></div></div>	10.0%
2	No	4238	3669448.9	<div><div></div></div>	90.0%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4		
8	Not stated	10	5282.1		
9	Don't know	18	16828.4		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q360_C14: What was stolen during the incident? Boat.					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question		What was stolen during the incident?   Boat			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	15	9338.2	<div><div></div></div>	0.2%
2	No	4835	4067117.9	<div><div></div></div>	99.8%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4		
8	Not stated	10	5282.1		
9	Don't know	18	16828.4		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q360_C15: What was stolen during the incident? Bicycle.					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).			
Literal question		What was stolen during the incident?   Bicycle			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	491	300731.0	<div><div></div></div>	7.4%
2	No	4359	3775725.0	<div><div></div></div>	92.6%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4		
8	Not stated	10	5282.1		
9	Don't know	18	16828.4		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

# CIR_Q360_C16: What was stolen during the incident? Other household propert	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Other household property
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	784	480162.5	<div><div></div></div> 11.8%
2	No	4066	3596293.5	<div><div></div></div> 88.2%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C1416: What was stolen during the incident? Boat or other household	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident? Boat or other household property.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	799	489500.7	<div><div></div></div> 12.0%
2	No	4051	3586955.3	<div><div></div></div> 88.0%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q360_C17: What was stolen during the incident? Nothing.	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=4850 / 4076456.075 ] [Invalid=4974 / 4590645.953 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).
<b>Literal question</b>	What was stolen during the incident?   Nothing
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	33	26557.9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	No	4817	4049898.2	<div><div></div></div> 99.3%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q370_C: What is your estimate of the value of all property and cash				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4670 / 3946891.413 ] [Invalid=5154 / 4720210.615 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).		
Literal question		What is your estimate of the value of all property and cash stolen in this incident?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q370  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No Value	64	56290.0	<div><div></div></div> 39.6%
10000	Ten thousand and more	118	85993.0	<div><div></div></div> 60.4%
99997	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
99998	Not stated	15	9097.2	
99999	Don't know	193	142578.0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q380: Was any of the stolen money and/or property recovered, not c				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4857 / 4078533.09 ] [Invalid=4967 / 4588568.938 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q350=1 or (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q145, Q155, Q165 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1)).		
Literal question		Was any of the stolen money and/or property recovered, not counting anything received from insurance?		
Post-question		No Go to CIR_C390  Don't know Go to CIR_C390  Refusal Go to CIR_C390		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	607	524209.3	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
2	No	4250	3554323.8	<div><div></div></div> 87.1%
7	Not asked	4946	4568535.4	
8	Not stated	10	6008.5	
9	Don't know	11	14025.1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q385: Was it all recovered?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=606 / 523217.206 ] [Invalid=9218 / 8143884.822 ]		
Universe		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Literal question		Was it all recovered?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	349	282748.5	<div><div></div></div> 54.0%
2	No	257	240468.7	<div><div></div></div> 46.0%
7	Not asked	9217	8142892.7	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	1	992.1	





## # CIR\_Q385: Was it all recovered?

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## # CIR\_Q390: Excluding property belonging to a business, did this person

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=3929 / 3772974.895 ] [Invalid=5895 / 4894127.133 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and ((SCREEN_INC_TYPE not equal Q215, Q235) and CIR_Q350=2 or CIR_Q350=8,9 or CIR_Q360=8,9).
<b>Literal question</b>	Excluding property belonging to a business, did this person @Uattempt@U to take anything that belonged to you or your household?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	338	325597.9	 8.6%
2	No	3591	3447377.0	 91.4%
7	Not asked	5797	4829845.6	
8	Not stated	24	18811.6	
9	Don't know	74	45469.9	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q400_C01: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Cash.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.		
Literal question		What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Cash		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	105	141895.7	<div></div> 20.8%
2	No	744	541651.5	<div></div> 79.2%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q400_C02: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Respond				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.		
Literal question		What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Respondent's personal property		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	200	210076.2	<div></div> 30.7%
2	No	649	473471.0	<div></div> 69.3%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q400_C03: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Persona				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.		
Literal question		What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Personal property of someone else		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	50	56769.1	<div></div> 8.3%
2	No	799	626778.1	<div></div> 91.7%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q400_C04: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Motor v				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.		
Literal question		What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Motor vehicle		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	319	184466.8	<div><div></div></div> 27.0%
2	No	530	499080.4	<div><div></div></div> 73.0%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q400_C05: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Part of				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.		
Literal question		What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Part of a motor vehicle		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	165	98801.0	<div><div></div></div> 14.5%
2	No	684	584746.2	<div><div></div></div> 85.5%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q400_C06: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Househo				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.		
Literal question		What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Household property		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	86	58173.8	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
2	No	763	625373.4	<div><div></div></div> 91.5%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q400_C07: What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Nothing				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=849 / 683547.19 ] [Invalid=8975 / 7983554.838 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered (SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q215, Q235 and (CIR_Q350=2,8,9 or CIR_Q360_C01 = 8,9)) or CIR_Q390 = 1.			
Literal question	What did they attempt to steal during this incident? Nothing			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	849	683547.2	100.0%
7	Not asked	8913	7942361.6	
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q410: Was anything that belonged to you or a member of your househ				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7113 / 6812371.333 ] [Invalid=2711 / 1854730.695 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE not equal Q115, Q225 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).			
Literal question	Was anything that belonged to you or a member of your household damaged but not taken in this incident?			
Interviewer's instructions	Include damage resulting from vandalism.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1114	875825.6	12.9%
2	No	5999	5936545.8	87.1%
7	Not asked	2680	1821184.8	
8	Not stated	20	18411.8	
9	Don't know	11	15134.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q420_C01: What was damaged? Respondent's personal property.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3405 / 2334663.771 ] [Invalid=6419 / 6332438.257 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	What was damaged? Respondent's personal property			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	342	303536.4	13.0%
2	No	3063	2031127.3	87.0%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
8	Not stated	12	6163.7	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	

# CIR_Q420_C01: What was damaged? Respondent's personal property.				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q420_C02: What was damaged? Personal property of someone else.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3405 / 2334663.771 ] [Invalid=6419 / 6332438.257 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	What was damaged?  Personal property of someone else			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	77	54005.1	2.3%
2	No	3328	2280658.7	97.7%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
8	Not stated	12	6163.7	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q420_C03: What was damaged? Motor vehicle or part of a motor vehicle.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3405 / 2334663.771 ] [Invalid=6419 / 6332438.257 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	What was damaged?  Motor vehicle or part of a motor vehicle			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1834	1228828.3	52.6%
2	No	1571	1105835.5	47.4%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
8	Not stated	12	6163.7	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q420_C04: What was damaged? Dwelling or other building on property				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3405 / 2334663.771 ] [Invalid=6419 / 6332438.257 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	What was damaged?  Dwelling or other building on property			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	622	403921.9	17.3%
2	No	2783	1930741.9	82.7%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	

# CIR_Q420_C04: What was damaged? Dwelling or other building on property				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	12	6163.7	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q420_C05: What was damaged? Household property.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3405 / 2334663.771 ] [Invalid=6419 / 6332438.257 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	What was damaged? Household property			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	578	388204.0	<div><div></div></div> 16.6%
2	No	2827	1946459.7	<div><div></div></div> 83.4%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
8	Not stated	12	6163.7	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q420_C06: What was damaged? Nothing.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3405 / 2334663.771 ] [Invalid=6419 / 6332438.257 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	What was damaged? Nothing			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	58	47116.3	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	No	3347	2287547.5	<div><div></div></div> 98.0%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
8	Not stated	12	6163.7	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# VALDAMGE_C: 0001:4999				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2950 / 2028007.008 ] [Invalid=6874 / 6639095.02 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	What is your estimate of the value of all damage done in this incident?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q430. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No damages	209	135643.9	<div><div></div></div> 80.7%

# VALDAMGE_C: 0001:4999				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5000	Five thousand and more	45	32412.3	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
9997	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
9998	Not stated	25	15662.7	
9999	Don't know	445	299093.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# TOTCOST: Total economic cost(not solely out-of-pocket expenses) of st				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*/98/99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9172 / 8217064.463 ] [Invalid=652 / 450037.565 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Total economic cost(not solely out-of-pocket expenses) of stolen/damaged property.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q370 and CIR_Q430.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Nothing stolen/damaged	2761	3187226.6	<div><div></div></div> 38.8%
2	Something stolen/damaged < \$100	2023	1721218.2	<div><div></div></div> 20.9%
3	Something stolen/damaged \$100 - \$199	938	705331.5	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
4	Something stolen/damaged \$200 - \$499	1510	1172502.5	<div><div></div></div> 14.3%
5	Something stolen/damaged \$500 - \$999	769	548228.0	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
6	Something stolen/damaged >= \$1000	1171	882557.6	<div><div></div></div> 10.7%
98	Not stated	37	22139.5	
99	Don't know	615	427898.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q440: Have any of the damaged items been repaired or replaced?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3390 / 2320711.866 ] [Invalid=6434 / 6346390.162 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q410=1 or ((CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1) and SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q115, Q225).			
Literal question	Have any of the damaged items been repaired or replaced?			
Post-question	Yes Go to CIR_C460			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2251	1540484.8	<div><div></div></div> 66.4%
2	No	1139	780227.1	<div><div></div></div> 33.6%
7	Not asked	6404	6324338.8	
8	Not stated	16	11890.2	
9	Don't know	14	10161.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q450: Will they be repaired or replaced?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1073 / 739025.776 ] [Invalid=8751 / 7928076.252 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q440=2,8,9.			

# CIR_Q450: Will they be repaired or replaced?				
Literal question		Will they be repaired or replaced?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	516	327455.4	<div><div></div></div> 44.3%
2	No	557	411570.4	<div><div></div></div> 55.7%
7	Not asked	8655	7864823.5	
8	Not stated	16	11890.2	
9	Don't know	80	51362.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q460: At the time of the incident, did you have any insurance?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7092 / 5496056.619 ] [Invalid=2732 / 3171045.409 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q360_C17 = 2 and CIR_Q420_C06 = 2 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).		
Literal question		At the time of the incident, did you have any insurance?		
Post-question		No Go to CIR_Q480   Don't know Go to CIR_Q480  Refusal Go to CIR_Q480 		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4956	3518834.8	<div><div></div></div> 64.0%
2	No	2136	1977221.8	<div><div></div></div> 36.0%
7	Not asked	2605	3092914.5	
8	Not stated	28	16857.5	
9	Don't know	99	61273.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q470: Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident thr				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4908 / 3485151.14 ] [Invalid=4916 / 5181950.888 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q460=1.		
Literal question		Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident through an insurance company?		
Post-question		No Go to CIR_Q480  Don't know Go to CIR_Q480  Refusal Go to CIR_Q480		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	929	671390.6	<div><div></div></div> 19.3%
2	No	3979	2813760.6	<div><div></div></div> 80.7%
7	Not asked	4868	5148267.2	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	48	33683.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q475: Did you obtain any compensation?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		



# CIR_Q475: Did you obtain any compensation?				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=910 / 649964.491 ] [Invalid=8914 / 8017137.537 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q470=1.		
Literal question		Did you obtain any compensation?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	679	479644.8	<div><div></div></div> 73.8%
2	No	144	115351.9	<div><div></div></div> 17.7%
3	Not yet resolved	87	54967.8	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
7	Not asked	8895	7995711.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	19	21426.1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q480: Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident thr				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9376 / 8250876.513 ] [Invalid=448 / 416225.515 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		Did you attempt to obtain compensation for this incident through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program?		
Post-question		No Go to CIR_Q490  Don't know Go to CIR_Q490  Refusal Go to CIR_Q490		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	130	144241.2	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
2	No	9246	8106635.3	<div><div></div></div> 98.3%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	31	25501.3	
9	Don't know	43	36477.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q485: Did you obtain any compensation				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=130 / 144241.22 ] [Invalid=9694 / 8522860.808 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q480=1.		
Literal question		Did you obtain any compensation?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	32	34683.5	<div><div></div></div> 24.0%
2	No	65	86820.5	<div><div></div></div> 60.2%
3	Not yet resolved	33	22737.2	<div><div></div></div> 15.8%
7	Not asked	9694	8522860.8	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

# CIR_Q485: Did you obtain any compensation				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q490_C: 0001:4999				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9999] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8932 / 7931943.777 ] [Invalid=892 / 735158.251 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	For this incident, what is your estimate of your out-of-pocket expenses, that is, expenses for which you do not expect to be reimbursed?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q490.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No Value	2928	3224164.8	<div></div> 98.1%
5000	Five thousand and more	96	63130.9	<div></div> 1.9%
9997	Not asked	374	354247.1	
9998	Not stated	52	43592.0	
9999	Don't know	466	337319.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q500: During the week of the incident, was your main activity work				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*/97/98/99]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9376 / 8259866.795 ] [Invalid=448 / 407235.233 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	During the week of the incident, was your main activity working at a paid job or business, looking for paid work, going to school, caring for children, household work, retired, on vacation or something else?			
Interviewer's instructions	Accept one response only. If respondent indicates more than one activity then probe for main activity. If respondent insists on more than one then select <Other> and specify.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Working at a paid job or business	5946	5240277.3	<div></div> 63.4%
2	On vacation	675	570291.8	<div></div> 6.9%
3	Looking for paid work	171	172641.6	<div></div> 2.1%
4	Going to school	1096	1235898.2	<div></div> 15.0%
5	Caring for children	349	235177.5	<div></div> 2.8%
6	Household work	202	144718.4	<div></div> 1.8%
7	Retired	587	411776.8	<div></div> 5.0%
8	Maternity/paternity leave	28	15995.9	<div></div> 0.2%
9	Long term illness	163	108228.3	<div></div> 1.3%
10	Other	159	124860.9	<div></div> 1.5%
97	Not asked	374	354247.1	
98	Not stated	36	27003.3	
99	Don't know	38	25984.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# CIR_Q510: As a result of this incident, did you find it difficult or i				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9398 / 8273262.097 ] [Invalid=426 / 393839.931 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	As a result of this incident, did you find it difficult or impossible to carry out your everyday activities for all or most of a day? (Reasons could range from requiring medical treatment to having to replace or repair property or visit insurance agents.)			
Post-question	No Go to CIR_Q520  Don't know Go to CIR_Q520  Refusal Go to CIR_Q520			
Interviewer's instructions	Select <yes> if the respondent's main activity was disrupted for at least 6 hours during a day.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1766	1589391.6	<div></div> 19.2%
2	No	7632	6683870.5	<div></div> 80.8%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	40	29653.0	
9	Don't know	12	9939.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# LOSTDAYS_C: Number of days respondent found it difficult or impossible t				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*/97/98]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1748 / 1569717.424 ] [Invalid=8076 / 7097384.604 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q510 = 1.			
Literal question	For how many days?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q511.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
60	Sixty or more	72	67886.6	<div></div> 100.0%
97	Not asked	8058	7077710.5	
98	Not stated	18	19674.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q520: Did the police find out about this incident in any way?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9285 / 8168471.744 ] [Invalid=539 / 498630.284 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	Did the police find out about this incident in any way?			
Post-question	No Go to CIR_Q581  Don't know Go to CIR_Q601  Refusal Go to CIR_Q601			
Interviewer's instructions	If the respondent or a member of the household is a police officer, select <yes> only if it was reported to the police.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3368	2728456.7	<div></div> 33.4%
2	No	5917	5440015.0	<div></div> 66.6%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	

# CIR_Q520: Did the police find out about this incident in any way?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	30	24741.4	
9	Don't know	135	119641.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q530: How did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3358 / 2721349.082 ] [Invalid=6466 / 5945752.946 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.			
Literal question	How did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?			
Post-question	Some other way Go to CIR_Q550A  Don't know Go to CIR_Q560  Refusal Go to CIR_Q560			
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent answers both himself/herself and some other way - enter <Respondent>.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Respondent	2418	1913272.3	<div></div> 70.3%
2	Some other way	940	808076.8	<div></div> 29.7%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	2	623.4	
9	Don't know	8	6484.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q541: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2393 / 1890842.524 ] [Invalid=7431 / 6776259.504 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q530=1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... to stop the incident or receive protection?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1073	933732.9	<div></div> 49.4%
2	No	1320	957109.6	<div></div> 50.6%
7	Not asked	7406	6753829.7	
8	Not stated	8	9190.8	
9	Don't know	17	13239.0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q542: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2389 / 1887262.075 ] [Invalid=7435 / 6779839.953 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q530=1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... to arrest and punish the offender?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			

# CIR_Q542: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1533	1229374.6	65.1%
2	No	856	657887.5	34.9%
7	Not asked	7406	6753829.7	
8	Not stated	9	8472.4	
9	Don't know	20	17537.8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q543: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2402 / 1899879.03 ] [Invalid=7422 / 6767222.998 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q530=1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:   ... to file a report to claim compensation or insurance?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1082	824732.4	43.4%
2	No	1320	1075146.7	56.6%
7	Not asked	7406	6753829.7	
8	Not stated	9	8472.4	
9	Don't know	7	4920.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q544: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2396 / 1895319.135 ] [Invalid=7428 / 6771782.893 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q530=1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2028	1584039.0	83.6%
2	No	368	311280.1	16.4%
7	Not asked	7406	6753829.7	
8	Not stated	11	9773.5	
9	Don't know	11	8179.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q545: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2394 / 1893458.635 ] [Invalid=7430 / 6773643.393 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q530=1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this incident? Was it:  ... on the recommendation of someone else?			

# CIR_Q545: People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	346	323024.6	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
2	No	2048	1570434.0	<div><div></div></div> 82.9%
7	Not asked	7406	6753829.7	
8	Not stated	12	10293.7	
9	Don't know	12	9519.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q550A: What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the sce				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3246 / 2623802.726 ] [Invalid=6578 / 6043299.303 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1 or CIR_Q530=2.		
Literal question		What action did the police take? Did they:  ... visit the scene?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1889	1591479.6	<div><div></div></div> 60.7%
2	No	1357	1032323.2	<div><div></div></div> 39.3%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	18	14025.5	
9	Don't know	104	90628.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q550B: What action did the police take? Did they: ... make a report				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3111 / 2524582.532 ] [Invalid=6713 / 6142519.496 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1 or CIR_Q530=2.		
Literal question		What action did the police take? Did they:  ... make a report and/or conduct an investigation?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2502	2019675.6	<div><div></div></div> 80.0%
2	No	609	504906.9	<div><div></div></div> 20.0%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	15	13090.3	
9	Don't know	242	190783.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q550C: What action did the police take? Did they: ...give a warning				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2884 / 2374160.4 ] [Invalid=6940 / 6292941.629 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1 or CIR_Q530=2.		
Literal question		What action did the police take? Did they:  ... give a warning to the offender?		

# CIR_Q550C: What action did the police take? Did they: ...give a warning					
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	459	455267.8	<div></div>	19.2%
2	No	2425	1918892.6	<div></div>	80.8%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3		
8	Not stated	19	14901.6		
9	Don't know	465	339394.7		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q550D: What action did the police take? Did they: ... take the offe					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3013 / 2455525.488 ] [Invalid=6811 / 6211576.54 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1 or CIR_Q530=2.			
Literal question		What action did the police take? Did they:  ... take the offender away?			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	294	319221.1	<div></div>	13.0%
2	No	2719	2136304.4	<div></div>	87.0%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3		
8	Not stated	19	14901.6		
9	Don't know	336	258029.6		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q550_EF: What action did the police take? Other.					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2911 / 2374820.651 ] [Invalid=6913 / 6292281.377 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1 or CIR_Q530=2.			
Literal question		What action did the police take? Did they:  Take any other action?			
Post-question		No Go to CIR_Q560  Don't know Go to CIR_Q560  Refusal Go to CIR_Q560			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	607	549502.3	<div></div>	23.1%
2	No	2304	1825318.4	<div></div>	76.9%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3		
8	Not stated	20	13356.0		
9	Don't know	437	340280.1		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q560: How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3257 / 2640539.917 ] [Invalid=6567 / 6026562.111 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1 or CIR_Q530=8,9 or CIR_Q550F=2,8,9.			

# CIR_Q560: How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took				
Literal question		How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took? Were you:		
Interviewer's instructions		Read categories to respondent.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	very satisfied?	1018	821459.3	<div></div> 31.1%
2	somewhat satisfied?	1219	951276.4	<div></div> 36.0%
3	somewhat dissatisfied?	482	381138.9	<div></div> 14.4%
4	very dissatisfied?	538	486665.4	<div></div> 18.4%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	17	13419.9	
9	Don't know	94	74496.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q570_C01: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.		
Literal question		Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Take the person out of the house		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	12	18515.8	<div></div> 0.7%
2	No	3256	2625745.9	<div></div> 99.3%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q570_C02: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.		
Literal question		Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Charge/arrest the person		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	199	177308.4	<div></div> 6.7%
2	No	3069	2466953.3	<div></div> 93.3%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				



# CIR_Q570_C03: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.		
Literal question		Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Respond more quickly		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	157	130375.2	<div><div></div></div> 4.9%
2	No	3111	2513886.5	<div><div></div></div> 95.1%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q570_C04: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.		
Literal question		Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Refer/take you to a support service		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	18	12321.0	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
2	No	3250	2631940.6	<div><div></div></div> 99.5%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q570_C05: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.		
Literal question		Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Relocate you		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1	136.8	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
2	No	3267	2644124.8	<div><div></div></div> 100.0%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q570_C06: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Take you to hospital			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3	2312.8	0.1%
2	No	3265	2641948.9	99.9%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q570_C07: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Be more supportive/sympathetic			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	174	143061.1	5.4%
2	No	3094	2501200.5	94.6%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q570_C08: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?  Other			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	707	547234.4	20.7%
2	No	2561	2097027.3	79.3%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q570_C09: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3268 / 2644261.657 ] [Invalid=6556 / 6022840.371 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=1.		
Literal question		Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? No/nothing		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2207	1782316.4	67.4%
2	No	1061	861945.3	32.6%
7	Not asked	6456	5938645.3	
8	Not stated	16	12116.6	
9	Don't know	84	72078.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q581: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5899 / 5422251.631 ] [Invalid=3925 / 3244850.397 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.		
Literal question		I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way? (e.g. reported to another official, landlord, manager, school official, or private matter that took care of myself, etc.)		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2132	2182858.6	40.3%
2	No	3767	3239393.1	59.7%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	18	17763.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q582: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5895 / 5417362.878 ] [Invalid=3929 / 3249739.15 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because of fear of revenge by the offender?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	324	316090.7	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
2	No	5571	5101272.2	<div><div></div></div> 94.2%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	22	22652.1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q583: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5890 / 5413376.512 ] [Invalid=3934 / 3253725.516 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the police couldn't do anything about it? (e.g. didn't find out until too late, couldn't recover or identify property, couldn't find or identify offender, lack of proof, etc.)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3145	2619409.7	<div><div></div></div> 48.4%
2	No	2745	2793966.8	<div><div></div></div> 51.6%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	27	26638.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q584: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5884 / 5411712.309 ] [Invalid=3940 / 3255389.719 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the police wouldn't help? (e.g. wouldn't think it was important enough, wouldn't want to be bothered or get involved, police would be inefficient or ineffective, would harass/insult respondent, offender was police officer)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1082	973715.5	<div><div></div></div> 18.0%
2	No	4802	4437996.8	<div><div></div></div> 82.0%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	33	28302.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# CIR_Q585: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5888 / 5411798.403 ] [Invalid=3936 / 3255303.625 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because you did not want to get involved with police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1535	1599219.4	<div></div> 29.6%
2	No	4353	3812579.0	<div></div> 70.4%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	29	28216.6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q586: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5886 / 5411544.063 ] [Invalid=3938 / 3255557.965 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because it was not important enough to you? (e.g. minor crime, small loss, child offender, no intended harm, etc.)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3666	3317496.4	<div></div> 61.3%
2	No	2220	2094047.7	<div></div> 38.7%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	31	28470.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q587: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5881 / 5406059.738 ] [Invalid=3943 / 3261042.29 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the incident was a personal matter and did not concern the police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1436	1446283.9	<div></div> 26.8%
2	No	4445	3959775.8	<div></div> 73.2%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	36	33955.3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q588: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]			

# CIR_Q588: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5878 / 5402045.077 ] [Invalid=3946 / 3265056.951 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.		
Literal question		I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because the police would be biased?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	252	254338.5	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
2	No	5626	5147706.6	<div><div></div></div> 95.3%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	39	37969.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q590: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4300 / 3384817.27 ] [Invalid=5524 / 5282284.758 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to at least one of the questions (CIR_Q360_C01 to CIR_Q360_C16 or CIR_Q420_C01 to CIR_Q420_C05) or CIR_Q520=2 and SCREEN_INC_TYPE not equal Q335, Q345.		
Literal question		I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  .. ... because your insurance wouldn't cover it? (e.g. no insurance, loss less than deductible, etc.)		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	502	397362.7	<div><div></div></div> 11.7%
2	No	3798	2987454.6	<div><div></div></div> 88.3%
7	Not asked	5495	5263154.9	
8	Not stated	29	19129.8	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q591: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4303 / 3387697.9 ] [Invalid=5521 / 5279404.128 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to at least one of the questions (CIR_Q360_C01 to CIR_Q360_C16 or CIR_Q420_C01 to CIR_Q420_C05) or CIR_Q520=2 and SCREEN_INC_TYPE not equal Q335, Q345.		
Literal question		I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... because nothing was taken or the items were recovered?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	519	354506.8	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
2	No	3784	3033191.1	<div><div></div></div> 89.5%
7	Not asked	5495	5263154.9	
8	Not stated	26	16249.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q589_592: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8]		

# CIR_Q589_592: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Wa				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5886 / 5411758.317 ] [Invalid=3938 / 3255343.711 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q520=2.		
Literal question		I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to this incident. Was it:  ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	635	676854.8	<div><div></div></div> 12.5%
2	No	5251	4734903.6	<div><div></div></div> 87.5%
7	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
8	Not stated	31	28256.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_MAIN_REASONC: Main reason for NOT reporting to the police collapsed.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*/97/98]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=5887 / 5412433.441 ] [Invalid=3937 / 3254668.587 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		What was the main reason?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q581 - CIR_Q592, CIR_Q595.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Dealt with another way	825	883210.0	<div><div></div></div> 16.3%
2	Fear of revenge by the offender	104	115475.4	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
3	Police couldn't do anything	1218	944825.4	<div><div></div></div> 17.5%
4	Police wouldn't help	177	156301.1	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
5	Did not want to get involved with the police	191	196800.0	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
6	Not important enough to respondent	2314	2067540.5	<div><div></div></div> 38.2%
7	Incident was a personal matter and did not concern the polic	176	211694.4	<div><div></div></div> 3.9%
8	Police would be biased	21	25320.9	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
9	Insurance would not cover	83	58164.9	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
10	Nothing was taken or the items were recovered	96	73269.1	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
11	Fear of publicity/news coverage/Other reason	682	679831.5	<div><div></div></div> 12.6%
97	Not asked	3907	3227087.0	
98	Not stated	30	27581.6	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q601: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9345 / 8233890.564 ] [Invalid=479 / 433211.464 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... family?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

# CIR_Q601: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	7163	6080388.4	73.8%
2	No	2182	2153502.2	26.2%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	70	55909.7	
9	Don't know	35	23054.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q602: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9337 / 8225359.937 ] [Invalid=487 / 441742.091 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... friend or neighbour?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	7044	6098226.4	74.1%
2	No	2293	2127133.6	25.9%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	69	55221.1	
9	Don't know	44	32274.0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q603: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9311 / 8213360.735 ] [Invalid=513 / 453741.293 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... co-worker?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4494	4006665.8	48.8%
2	No	4817	4206694.9	51.2%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	76	57778.1	
9	Don't know	63	41716.1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q604: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1633 / 2397866.925 ] [Invalid=8191 / 6269235.103 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).			
Literal question	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... doctor or nurse?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			



# CIR_Q604: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	218	266755.1	<div><div></div></div> 11.1%
2	No	1415	2131111.9	<div><div></div></div> 88.9%
7	Not asked	8160	6230887.0	
8	Not stated	28	36271.0	
9	Don't know	3	2077.1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q605: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9350 / 8238531.428 ] [Invalid=474 / 428570.6 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... lawyer?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	292	259975.9	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2	No	9058	7978555.6	<div><div></div></div> 96.8%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	71	56136.3	
9	Don't know	29	18187.3	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q606: (Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1634 / 2397925.623 ] [Invalid=8190 / 6269176.405 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).			
Literal question	(Other than to the police,) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as:  ... minister, priest, clergy, or another spiritual advisor?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	74	95793.0	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	No	1560	2302132.7	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
7	Not asked	8160	6230887.0	
8	Not stated	28	36271.0	
9	Don't know	2	2018.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q608: Did the police or anyone else provide you with information a				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1623 / 2382379.274 ] [Invalid=8201 / 6284722.754 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).			
Literal question	Did the police or anyone else provide you with information about services for victims in your community?			

# CIR_Q608: Did the police or anyone else provide you with information a					
Post-question	Yes, the police Go to CIR_Q611  No Go to CIR_Q611  Don't know Go to CIR_Q611  Refusal Go to CIR_Q611				
Interviewer's instructions	Probe respondent to find out who provided them with the information.				
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes, the police	81	120176.0	<div></div> 5.0%	
2	Yes, someone else	54	69409.8	<div></div> 2.9%	
3	No	1488	2192793.5	<div></div> 92.0%	
7	Not asked	8160	6230887.0		
8	Not stated	29	39219.7		
9	Don't know	12	14616.0		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q611: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo					
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1634 / 2397007.1 ] [Invalid=8190 / 6270094.928 ]				
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).				
Literal question	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... crisis centre or crisis line?				
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	39	52789.0	<div></div> 2.2%	
2	No	1595	2344218.1	<div></div> 97.8%	
7	Not asked	8160	6230887.0		
8	Not stated	28	37189.5		
9	Don't know	2	2018.4		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q612: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo					
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1634 / 2397007.1 ] [Invalid=8190 / 6270094.928 ]				
Universe	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).				
Literal question	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... a counsellor or psychologist?				
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	131	184323.7	<div></div> 7.7%	
2	No	1503	2212683.4	<div></div> 92.3%	
7	Not asked	8160	6230887.0		
8	Not stated	28	37189.5		
9	Don't know	2	2018.4		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

# CIR_Q613: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=1633 / 2396238.268 ] [Invalid=8191 / 6270863.76 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... community centre/family centre?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	41	64986.0	2.7%
2	No	1592	2331252.3	97.3%
7	Not asked	8160	6230887.0	
8	Not stated	29	37958.3	
9	Don't know	2	2018.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q615: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=816 / 1071718.239 ] [Invalid=9008 / 7595383.789 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Female respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... women's centre?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	22	23041.1	2.1%
2	No	794	1048677.2	97.9%
7	Not asked	8986	7564539.5	
8	Not stated	20	28825.8	
9	Don't know	2	2018.4	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

# CIR_Q616: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=812 / 1316253.479 ] [Invalid=9012 / 7350848.549 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Female respondents who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).
<b>Literal question</b>	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... men's centre/men's support group?
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4	9717.3	0.7%
2	No	808	1306536.1	99.3%
7	Not asked	8998	7333449.5	
8	Not stated	14	17399.0	

# CIR_Q616: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q617: Did you ever contact or use any of the following services fo				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=31 / 38222.764 ] [Invalid=9793 / 8628879.264 ]			
Universe	Respondents aged 65 and over who answered SCREEN_INC_TYPE = Q125, Q315, Q325, Q335, Q345 and (CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1).			
Literal question	Did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of this incident, such as:  ... senior's centre?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	31	38222.8	100.0%
7	Not asked	9791	8627388.1	
8	Not stated	2	1491.2	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q620: Did you ever contact or use victim services or victim witnes				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9367 / 8251557.604 ] [Invalid=457 / 415544.424 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	Did you ever contact or use victim services or victim witness assistance programs because of this incident?			
Interviewer's instructions	Victim Services vary depending on which system offers it (police/justice and community). In general, police services help victims while in touch with the police. Justice or police system services help victims as their cases proceed through the justice system (police, courts and corrections). Services include providing general information about the justice system, referrals, assistance with court, help preparing victim impact statements, offering casespecific information, emotional support and providing information to help victims recover financial losses resulting from the crime. Assistance to victims and witnesses can also be available through the prosecution phase. Support is also available in certain provinces to victims who decide not to enter into the criminal justice system. Community-based services provide assistance to victims of crime.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	73	43102.8	0.5%
2	No	9294	8208454.8	99.5%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	63	49969.3	
9	Don't know	20	11328.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# CIR_Q630: Thinking about this incident, how interested would you have				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9268 / 8156143.474 ] [Invalid=556 / 510958.554 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	Would you have been (be):			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.			

# CIR_Q630: Thinking about this incident, how interested would you have				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very interested?	2068	1681093.6	<div><div></div></div> 20.6%
2	...somewhat interested?	1643	1297482.0	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
3	...slightly interested?	1155	1000899.2	<div><div></div></div> 12.3%
4	...not at all interested?	4402	4176668.6	<div><div></div></div> 51.2%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	182	156711.5	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C01: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Angry		
Interviewer's instructions		Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3717	3129339.4	<div><div></div></div> 38.1%
2	No	5606	5080890.6	<div><div></div></div> 61.9%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C02: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Upset/confused/frustrated		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2109	1767702.3	<div><div></div></div> 21.5%
2	No	7214	6442527.7	<div><div></div></div> 78.5%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C03: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		

# CIR_Q640_C03: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Not much			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	1824	1797328.7	<div></div>	21.9%
2	No	7499	6412901.3	<div></div>	78.1%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C04: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Fearful			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	983	870645.1	<div></div>	10.6%
2	No	8340	7339584.9	<div></div>	89.4%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C05: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? More cautious/aware			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	896	766954.9	<div></div>	9.3%
2	No	8427	7443275.1	<div></div>	90.7%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C06: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			

# CIR_Q640_C06: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Shock/disbelief		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	748	617364.1	<div><div></div></div> 7.5%
2	No	8575	7592865.9	<div><div></div></div> 92.5%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C07: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Hurt/disappointment		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	608	484746.8	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
2	No	8715	7725483.2	<div><div></div></div> 94.1%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C08: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]		
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Victimized		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	626	488588.4	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
2	No	8697	7721641.6	<div><div></div></div> 94.0%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C09: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]		

# CIR_Q640_C09: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Sleeping problems			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	148	132007.1	<div><div></div>1.6%</div>	
2	No	9175	8078222.9	<div><div></div>98.4%</div>	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C10: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Depression/anxiety attacks			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	199	173551.6	<div><div></div>2.1%</div>	
2	No	9124	8036678.4	<div><div></div>97.9%</div>	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C11: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Ashamed/guilty			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	65	64778.5	<div><div></div>0.8%</div>	
2	No	9258	8145451.5	<div><div></div>99.2%</div>	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C12: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			



# CIR_Q640_C12: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Afraid for children			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	77	66555.9	0.8%	
2	No	9246	8143674.1	99.2%	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C13: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Annoyed			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	1124	933865.6	11.4%	
2	No	8199	7276364.4	88.6%	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C14: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe		Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question		At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you? Lowered self esteem			
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)	
1	Yes	57	58150.3	0.7%	
2	No	9266	8152079.7	99.3%	
7	Not asked	374	354247.1		
8	Not stated	74	58103.1		
9	Don't know	53	44521.9		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					
# CIR_Q640_C15: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			

# CIR_Q640_C15: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Increased self-reliance			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	42	40664.8	0.5%
2	No	9281	8169565.2	99.5%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C16: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Problems relating to men/women			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	22	24575.7	0.3%
2	No	9301	8185654.3	99.7%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# CIR_Q640_C17: At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9323 / 8210229.998 ] [Invalid=501 / 456872.03 ]			
Universe	Respondents who answered CIR_Q010=1-12 or CIR_Q015=1.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident, how did this experience affect you?  Other			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	714	649800.1	7.9%
2	No	8609	7560429.9	92.1%
7	Not asked	374	354247.1	
8	Not stated	74	58103.1	
9	Don't know	53	44521.9	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# NUMINC: Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each inc				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]			

# NUMINC: Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each inc				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9824 / 8667102.028 ] [Invalid=0 / 0 ] [Mean=1.274 / 1.851 ] [StdDev=1.202 / 2.066 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each incident report.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q650.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
# NUMINCCA: Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each inc				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9824 / 8667102.028 ] [Invalid=0 / 0 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Number of separate crime incident(s) represented by each incident report capped at 3.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q650.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One crime incident	9111	6875863.5	79.3%
2	Two crime incidents	64	88939.1	1.0%
3	Three crime incidents and more	649	1702299.5	19.6%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# REP_STAT: Status of the crime incident report.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9824 / 8667102.028 ] [Invalid=0 / 0 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Status of the crime incident report.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q670B.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. If the respondent is unable to recall any important details that allow him/her to distinguish between similar incidents, the incident report is coded as a series report. This means that one incident report represents other crime incident(s) with similar details.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Single incident report	9112	6876356.9	79.3%
4	Series incident reports	712	1790745.1	20.7%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# SEXASSLT: Crime: Sexual assault.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9821 / 8663440.89 ] [Invalid=3 / 3661.138 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Crime: Sexual assault.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from SEXATTK and SEXTOUCH.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Forced sexual activity, an attempt at forced sexual activity, or unwanted sexual touching, grabbing, kissing or fondling.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	372	512156.6	5.9%
2	No	9449	8151284.3	94.1%
8	Not stated	3	3661.1	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# ROBBERY: Crime: Robbery.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9720 / 8594756.136 ] [Invalid=104 / 72345.892 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Crime: Robbery.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q360_C01-CIR_Q360_C17, ASSAULT and SEXASSLT.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Theft in which the perpetrator had a weapon or there was violence or the threat of violence against the victim. Not strictly comparable with DVROB on Cycle 8. See note for ASSAULT.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	111	136303.8	1.6%
2	No	9609	8458452.3	98.4%
8	Not stated	13	8386.5	
9	Don't know	91	63959.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# A_ROBBRY: Crime: Attempted robbery.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9802 / 8652228.827 ] [Invalid=22 / 14873.201 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Crime: Attempted robbery.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q400_C01-CIR_Q400_C17, ASSAULT and SEXASSLT.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Attempted theft in which the perpetrator had a weapon or there was violence or the threat of violence against the victim. Not strictly comparable with DVATTROB on Cycle 8. See note for ASSAULT.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	117	153128.3	1.8%
2	No	9685	8499100.5	98.2%
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	20	14037.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# ASSAULT: Crime: Assault.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9603 / 8510620.317 ] [Invalid=221 / 156481.711 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Crime: Assault.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q100, THREAT, PHYSATTK, CIR_Q110 and CIR_Q150_C07.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. An attack (victim hit, slapped, grabbed, knocked down or beaten), a face-to-face threat of physical harm that the respondent believed would be carried out, or an incident with a weapon present. ASSAULT excludes sexual assault and includes only threats that were face-to-face and that the respondent believed would be carried out.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1130	1617878.0	19.0%
2	No	8473	6892742.3	81.0%
8	Not stated	29	24562.0	
9	Don't know	192	131919.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# THREAT: Crime: Threat.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9817 / 8660523.529 ] [Invalid=7 / 6578.499 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Crime: Threat.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q131 and CIR_Q140.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. This variable is used in the derivation of ASSAULT. It only includes threats that were face-to-face and that the respondent believed would be carried out.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	274	367697.9	<div><div></div>4.2%</div>
2	No	9543	8292825.6	<div><div></div>95.8%</div>
8	Not stated	7	6578.5	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# BREAK: Crime: Break and enter.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9706 / 8577024.024 ] [Invalid=118 / 90078.004 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Crime: Break and enter.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q070, CIR_Q020, CIR_Q020A-CIR_Q020D.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Illegal entry into a residence or other building on the victim's property.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	692	392325.8	<div><div></div>4.6%</div>
2	No	9014	8184698.2	<div><div></div>95.4%</div>
8	Not stated	82	63113.3	
9	Don't know	36	26964.7	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# A_BREAK: Crime: Attempted break and enter.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9788 / 8633665.622 ] [Invalid=36 / 33436.406 ]			
Universe	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
Literal question	Crime: Attempted break and enter.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q070, CIR_Q080, CIR_Q020, CIR_Q020ACIR_Q020D.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Attempted illegal entry into a residence or other building on the victim's property.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	282	166843.4	<div><div></div>1.9%</div>
2	No	9506	8466822.2	<div><div></div>98.1%</div>
8	Not stated	36	33436.4	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# MVTHFT: Crime: Motor vehicle theft.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9796 / 8644991.448 ] [Invalid=28 / 22110.58 ]			

# MVTHFT: Crime: Motor vehicle theft.				
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Crime: Motor vehicle theft.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q360_C06-CIR_Q360_C10.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Theft of a car, truck, van, motorcycle, moped or other vehicle or part of a motor vehicle.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	609	345652.2	<div><div></div></div> 4.0%
2	No	9187	8299339.2	<div><div></div></div> 96.0%
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# A_MVTHFT: Crime: Attempt of motor vehicle theft.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9762 / 8625908.802 ] [Invalid=62 / 41193.226 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Crime: Attempt of motor vehicle theft.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q400_C04 and CIR_Q400_C05.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Attempted theft of a car, truck, van, motorcycle, moped or other vehicle or part of a motor vehicle.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	467	273653.1	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
2	No	9295	8352255.7	<div><div></div></div> 96.8%
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# THFTPP: Crime: Theft of personal property.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9796 / 8644991.448 ] [Invalid=28 / 22110.58 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Crime: Theft of personal property.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q360_C01-CIR_Q360_C05.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Theft of personal property such as money, credit cards, clothing, jewellery, a purse or a wallet. Unlike ROBBRY, the perpetrator does not confront the victim.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2043	2429863.4	<div><div></div></div> 28.1%
2	No	7753	6215128.1	<div><div></div></div> 71.9%
8	Not stated	10	5282.1	
9	Don't know	18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# A_THFTPP: Crime: Attempt of theft of personal property.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9762 / 8625908.802 ] [Invalid=62 / 41193.226 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		

# A_THFTPP: Crime: Attempt of theft of personal property.				
Literal question		Crime: Attempt of theft of personal property.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q400_C01-CIR_Q400_C03.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Attempted theft of personal property such as money, credit cards, clothing, jewellery, a purse or a wallet. Unlike ROBBERY, the perpetrator does not confront the victim.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	323	376308.3	<div><div></div></div> 4.4%
2	No	9439	8249600.5	<div><div></div></div> 95.6%
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	62	41193.2	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# THFTHP: Crime: Theft of household property.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9796 / 8644991.448 ] [Invalid=28 / 22110.58 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Crime: Theft of household property.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q360_C11-CIR_Q360_C16.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Theft of household property such as liquor, bicycles, electronic equipment, tools or appliances.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No	2679	1763914.7	<div><div></div></div> 20.4%
2	Yes	7117	6881076.8	<div><div></div></div> 79.6%
3	Not stated	0	0.0	
4	Don't know	0	0.0	
8		10	5282.1	
9		18	16828.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# A_THFTHP: Crime: Attempt of theft of household property.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9762 / 8625908.802 ] [Invalid=62 / 41193.226 ]		
Universe		Respondents who completed a crime incident report.		
Literal question		Crime: Attempt of theft of household property.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q400_C06.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Attempted theft of household property such as liquor, bicycles, electronic equipment, tools or appliances.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	86	58173.8	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
2	No	9676	8567735.0	<div><div></div></div> 99.3%
8	Not stated	2	835.8	
9	Don't know	60	40357.4	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				
# VANDALSM: Crime: Vandalism.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9821 / 8665166.219 ] [Invalid=3 / 1935.81 ]		

<b># VANDALSM: Crime: Vandalism.</b>				
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
<b>Literal question</b>	Crime: Vandalism.			
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CIR_Q420_C01-CIR_Q420_C06.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. Willful damage of personal or household property.			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Weighted</b>	<b>Percentage (Weighted)</b>
1	Yes	3361	2294931.3	<div><div></div></div> 26.5%
2	No	6460	6370234.9	<div><div></div></div> 73.5%
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	3	1935.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
<b># MSCRIME: Most serious crime.</b>				
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-813] [Missing=*/999]			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=8987 / 7723170.649 ] [Invalid=837 / 943931.379 ]			
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
<b>Literal question</b>	Most serious crime.			
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from SEXASSLT, ASSAULT, ROBBERY, A_ROBBERY, BREAK, A_BREAK, MVTHFT, A_MVTHFT, THFTPP, A_THFTPP, THFTHP, A_THFTHP, VANDALSM.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. The overall incident is classified by the most serious crime within the incident. The hierarchy of seriousness is displayed in the coding scheme. An incident is coded "unclassifiable" when none of the codes above it in hierarchy could be assigned.			
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Weighted</b>	<b>Percentage (Weighted)</b>
101	Sexual assault	372	512156.6	<div><div></div></div> 6.6%
202	Robbery	106	129447.8	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
203	Attempted robbery	109	144300.4	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
304	Assault	895	1323152.4	<div><div></div></div> 17.1%
405	Break and enter	662	363249.0	<div><div></div></div> 4.7%
406	Attempted break and enter	257	142111.4	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
507	Motor vehicle theft	575	327042.1	<div><div></div></div> 4.2%
508	Attempted motor vehicle theft	427	244249.9	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
609	Theft of personal property	1677	2185560.4	<div><div></div></div> 28.3%
610	Attempted theft of personal property	183	222857.2	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
711	Theft of household property	1929	1115355.4	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
712	Attempted theft of household property	41	20598.2	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
813	Vandalism	1754	993090.0	<div><div></div></div> 12.9%
999	Unclassifiable	837	943931.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
<b># SCREEN_INC_TYPE: Variable screening question from section 2.</b>				
<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 115-515] [Missing=*]			
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=0 / 0 ] [Invalid=9824 / 8667102.028 ]			
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.			
<b>Literal question</b>	Variable screening question from section 2.			
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.			



## # SCREEN\_INC\_TYPE: Variable screening question from section 2.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
115	Deliberately damage or destroy any property belonging to you	0	0.0	
125	Take or try to take something from you by force or threat of	0	0.0	
135	Break into or attempt to break into your residence or any ot	0	0.0	
145	yard furniture?	0	0.0	
155	from school or from a public place, such as a restaurant?	0	0.0	
165	travelling?	0	0.0	
215	Anyone steal or try to steal one of these vehicles or a part	0	0.0	
225	Anyone deliberately damage one of these vehicles, such as sl	0	0.0	
235	Anyone steal or try to steal anything else that belonged to	0	0.0	
315	Excluding acts committed by current or previous spouses or c	0	0.0	
325	attack you, or threaten you with a weapon?	0	0.0	
335	down or hurting you in some way?	0	0.0	
345	Anyone ever touched you against your will in any sexual way?	0	0.0	
515	police?	0	0.0	
Sysmiss		9824	8667102.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## # REPNO: Crime incident ID number.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 / 8667102.028 ] [Invalid=0 / 0 ] [Mean=1.121 / 1.114 ] [StdDev=0.427 / 0.415 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Crime incident ID number.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide.

## # CVS\_CNT: An indicator showing the actual CVS count.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 / 8667102.028 ] [Invalid=0 / 0 ] [Mean=1.487 / 2.551 ] [StdDev=3.899 / 6.95 ]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	An indicator showing the actual CVS count.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.  1. Alternatively WGHT_VIC can be used, see discussion of incident weights, Section 7, PUMF user's guide. 2. The variable CVS_CNT allows users to know the actual Criminal Victimization Screening (CVS) count for all CIR's where the total of NUMINC is 10 for a particular screening question and the corresponding CVS count is greater than 10, as well as, any case affected by the cap of 20. Other CIR's have CVS_CNT=NUMINC. As an alternative to NUMINC, the CVS_CNT variable can be used to generate estimates (see Section 7 of the User's Guide).

## # WGHT\_VIC: Victimization incident weight.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.0571-6134.524] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 / -] [Invalid=0 / -] [Mean=762.191 / -] [StdDev=605.066 / -]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.

<b># WGHT_VIC: Victimization incident weight.</b>	
<b>Literal question</b>	Victimization incident weight.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.
<b># ADJWTVIC: Victimization weight incorporating series factor, capped at</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.0571-13573.158] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=882.238 /-] [StdDev=918.877 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	Respondents who completed a crime incident report.
<b>Literal question</b>	Victimization weight incorporating series factor, capped at 3 incidents.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.
<b># WVCBS001: Bootstrap weight # 1 for victimization weight.</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.9682-6575.7759] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.261 /-] [StdDev=638.727 /-]
<b>Universe</b>	All respondents.
<b>Literal question</b>	Bootstrap weight # 1 for victimization weight.
<b>Notes</b>	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.
<b># WVCBS002</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.4345-6963.5093] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.356 /-] [StdDev=638.54 /-]
<b># WVCBS003</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.0925-7041.2553] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.468 /-] [StdDev=642.074 /-]
<b># WVCBS004</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.8003-7210.509] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.309 /-] [StdDev=639.475 /-]
<b># WVCBS005</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.3395-7047.6643] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.838 /-] [StdDev=632.031 /-]
<b># WVCBS006</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.1222-6641.7051] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.869 /-] [StdDev=629.03 /-]
<b># WVCBS007</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.8161-6410.114] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.867 /-] [StdDev=639.279 /-]
<b># WVCBS008</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.9-6394.5311] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.971 /-] [StdDev=640.774 /-]
<b># WVCBS009</b>	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7063-7456.6747] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.477 /-] [StdDev=634.398 /-]

# WVCBS010	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.7695-7296.2635] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.299 /-] [StdDev=625.818 /-]
# WVCBS011	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.4328-7092.1803] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.322 /-] [StdDev=630.844 /-]
# WVCBS012	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.3616-8051.6559] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.596 /-] [StdDev=636.315 /-]
# WVCBS013	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.5515-6242.2069] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.683 /-] [StdDev=638.924 /-]
# WVCBS014	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.3654-6706.409] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.951 /-] [StdDev=633.868 /-]
# WVCBS015	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.7129-7080.7633] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.015 /-] [StdDev=628.126 /-]
# WVCBS016	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.873-7053.058] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.713 /-] [StdDev=633.79 /-]
# WVCBS017	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.1325-6368.2204] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.325 /-] [StdDev=629.411 /-]
# WVCBS018	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.5888-5983.0678] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.303 /-] [StdDev=631.728 /-]
# WVCBS019	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.2198-6129.89] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=756.213 /-] [StdDev=624.276 /-]
# WVCBS020	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.2414-7737.0736] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.788 /-] [StdDev=641.501 /-]
# WVCBS021	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.4919-8140.2896] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=769.955 /-] [StdDev=643.499 /-]
# WVCBS022	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5433-6282.4458] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS022	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.426 /-] [StdDev=628.837 /-]
# WVCBS023	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7164-7659.1513] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.292 /-] [StdDev=641.189 /-]
# WVCBS024	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.8873-5891.0857] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.834 /-] [StdDev=636.796 /-]
# WVCBS025	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.685-5247.7028] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.924 /-] [StdDev=630.239 /-]
# WVCBS026	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.3424-8359.3582] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.263 /-] [StdDev=638.788 /-]
# WVCBS027	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.8947-6179.9135] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.331 /-] [StdDev=635.472 /-]
# WVCBS028	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.7464-5673.6515] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.456 /-] [StdDev=632.509 /-]
# WVCBS029	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.9323-6087.8356] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=767.179 /-] [StdDev=638.57 /-]
# WVCBS030	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.765-7113.5804] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.599 /-] [StdDev=629.611 /-]
# WVCBS031	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.9462-6462.4402] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.564 /-] [StdDev=632.545 /-]
# WVCBS032	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.3137-9608.0158] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.602 /-] [StdDev=651.314 /-]
# WVCBS033	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.0838-6708.6415] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.849 /-] [StdDev=632.763 /-]
# WVCBS034	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.672-5569.8028] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.925 /-] [StdDev=631.521 /-]

# WVCBS035	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.8664-6723.8154] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.442 /-] [StdDev=632.874 /-]
# WVCBS036	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.898-6005.8472] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.217 /-] [StdDev=638.689 /-]
# WVCBS037	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.521-7531.3892] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.068 /-] [StdDev=637.143 /-]
# WVCBS038	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.6035-6079.3148] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.892 /-] [StdDev=637.978 /-]
# WVCBS039	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.3892-6735.8961] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.368 /-] [StdDev=643.923 /-]
# WVCBS040	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.6718-8451.7156] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.848 /-] [StdDev=628.274 /-]
# WVCBS041	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.3444-6317.7213] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.452 /-] [StdDev=635.159 /-]
# WVCBS042	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5019-6390.1161] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.593 /-] [StdDev=634.207 /-]
# WVCBS043	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.0573-6934.4896] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.001 /-] [StdDev=634.546 /-]
# WVCBS044	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.6539-6367.7813] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.469 /-] [StdDev=627.531 /-]
# WVCBS045	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.725-7060.7594] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.521 /-] [StdDev=649.406 /-]
# WVCBS046	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 41.2508-6389.1565] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.116 /-] [StdDev=627.663 /-]
# WVCBS047	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.2948-6037.5986] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS047	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.155 /-] [StdDev=632.78 /-]
# WVCBS048	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.1945-5218.2271] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.667 /-] [StdDev=624.814 /-]
# WVCBS049	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.8655-6275.7484] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.971 /-] [StdDev=638.087 /-]
# WVCBS050	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.1038-9077.0562] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.146 /-] [StdDev=631.904 /-]
# WVCBS051	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.6865-6394.6117] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.073 /-] [StdDev=642.053 /-]
# WVCBS052	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.3275-7404.715] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.748 /-] [StdDev=642.585 /-]
# WVCBS053	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.6817-7445.5037] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.114 /-] [StdDev=638.312 /-]
# WVCBS054	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.5752-6857.7334] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.009 /-] [StdDev=631.555 /-]
# WVCBS055	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.5297-7175.8846] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.399 /-] [StdDev=625.199 /-]
# WVCBS056	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.6547-6756.4114] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.715 /-] [StdDev=638.836 /-]
# WVCBS057	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.6801-5712.0274] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.61 /-] [StdDev=636.402 /-]
# WVCBS058	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.9477-6060.2579] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.398 /-] [StdDev=628.432 /-]
# WVCBS059	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 42.4691-9530.3219] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.559 /-] [StdDev=652.673 /-]

# WVCBS060	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.6803-7336.45] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.466 /-] [StdDev=637.099 /-]
# WVCBS061	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.9701-5933.1878] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.76 /-] [StdDev=636.333 /-]
# WVCBS062	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40.1991-7053.9469] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.892 /-] [StdDev=640.867 /-]
# WVCBS063	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.7547-8282.2287] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=767.414 /-] [StdDev=643.491 /-]
# WVCBS064	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.3805-6281.9456] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.133 /-] [StdDev=640.298 /-]
# WVCBS065	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.486-5537.8355] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.007 /-] [StdDev=634.382 /-]
# WVCBS066	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.5139-5493.9182] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.889 /-] [StdDev=633.547 /-]
# WVCBS067	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.6014-7840.8211] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.512 /-] [StdDev=646.101 /-]
# WVCBS068	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.4427-6707.0347] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.718 /-] [StdDev=641.539 /-]
# WVCBS069	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.8003-7332.2682] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.261 /-] [StdDev=634.029 /-]
# WVCBS070	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.9746-6208.23] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.595 /-] [StdDev=636.015 /-]
# WVCBS071	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17.667-6757.363] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.841 /-] [StdDev=636.364 /-]
# WVCBS072	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.5733-7746.2415] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS072	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.271 /-] [StdDev=642.515 /-]
# WVCBS073	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 45.0481-6993.3991] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=767.456 /-] [StdDev=651.368 /-]
# WVCBS074	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.9374-6121.9237] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.428 /-] [StdDev=638.25 /-]
# WVCBS075	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.1297-6380.9237] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.353 /-] [StdDev=626.868 /-]
# WVCBS076	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.7729-6302.7399] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.04 /-] [StdDev=634.947 /-]
# WVCBS077	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.7278-6458.2733] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.435 /-] [StdDev=632.989 /-]
# WVCBS078	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.9341-5772.4145] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.263 /-] [StdDev=634.608 /-]
# WVCBS079	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.8618-6828.3579] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=757.17 /-] [StdDev=626.463 /-]
# WVCBS080	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.1229-6907.7039] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.694 /-] [StdDev=627.436 /-]
# WVCBS081	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.2128-6580.2902] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.326 /-] [StdDev=627.4 /-]
# WVCBS082	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.682-8636.2631] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.907 /-] [StdDev=638.374 /-]
# WVCBS083	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.1069-5960.428] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.116 /-] [StdDev=634.608 /-]
# WVCBS084	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.0981-7507.5886] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.543 /-] [StdDev=632.448 /-]



# WVCBS085	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.7986-5804.8318] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.108 /-] [StdDev=647.997 /-]
# WVCBS086	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19.1294-8559.0955] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.484 /-] [StdDev=638.405 /-]
# WVCBS087	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.8913-5927.7124] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.421 /-] [StdDev=639.363 /-]
# WVCBS088	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.204-6021.284] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.696 /-] [StdDev=634.091 /-]
# WVCBS089	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.5925-5840.3709] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.769 /-] [StdDev=634.424 /-]
# WVCBS090	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.1932-6916.4106] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.231 /-] [StdDev=639.333 /-]
# WVCBS091	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.66-5751.1027] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.236 /-] [StdDev=634.96 /-]
# WVCBS092	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.9295-5767.7919] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.413 /-] [StdDev=629.235 /-]
# WVCBS093	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.208-8825.5757] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.717 /-] [StdDev=639.704 /-]
# WVCBS094	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.3311-7168.8204] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=767.359 /-] [StdDev=642.959 /-]
# WVCBS095	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.1538-7308.086] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.863 /-] [StdDev=639.989 /-]
# WVCBS096	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.035-5349.4563] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.947 /-] [StdDev=624.392 /-]
# WVCBS097	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.1266-7574.9647] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS097	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.739 /-] [StdDev=629.829 /-]
# WVCBS098	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.3893-8583.8454] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.46 /-] [StdDev=635.031 /-]
# WVCBS099	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.4568-4976.6936] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.466 /-] [StdDev=628.796 /-]
# WVCBS100	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.137-6091.6945] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.61 /-] [StdDev=632.543 /-]
# WVCBS101	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.3511-7756.9034] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.449 /-] [StdDev=640.174 /-]
# WVCBS102	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.417-6227.7486] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.735 /-] [StdDev=628.374 /-]
# WVCBS103	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.5536-7779.1256] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.973 /-] [StdDev=640.82 /-]
# WVCBS104	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.1149-6384.6295] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.891 /-] [StdDev=624.555 /-]
# WVCBS105	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.9399-6569.0886] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.866 /-] [StdDev=631.285 /-]
# WVCBS106	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.0739-6346.1045] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.902 /-] [StdDev=630.839 /-]
# WVCBS107	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.7857-5977.5031] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.033 /-] [StdDev=637.1 /-]
# WVCBS108	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.6517-6425.1161] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.173 /-] [StdDev=639.049 /-]
# WVCBS109	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.5443-9747.7632] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.495 /-] [StdDev=630.6 /-]

# WVCBS110	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.6162-6018.4144] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.128 /-] [StdDev=625.242 /-]
# WVCBS111	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17.9241-6237.1214] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.401 /-] [StdDev=640.753 /-]
# WVCBS112	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.3219-7305.6849] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.848 /-] [StdDev=644.464 /-]
# WVCBS113	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.0489-7063.5853] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.037 /-] [StdDev=639.637 /-]
# WVCBS114	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.9859-6313.6001] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.926 /-] [StdDev=631.212 /-]
# WVCBS115	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.737-7865.5716] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=767.778 /-] [StdDev=650.256 /-]
# WVCBS116	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.1146-6400.0544] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.962 /-] [StdDev=634.457 /-]
# WVCBS117	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.9176-6830.7805] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=757.479 /-] [StdDev=627.136 /-]
# WVCBS118	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.7898-5879.1366] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.393 /-] [StdDev=625.477 /-]
# WVCBS119	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.6829-8049.1869] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.707 /-] [StdDev=638.599 /-]
# WVCBS120	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.3015-6419.5735] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.834 /-] [StdDev=635.821 /-]
# WVCBS121	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.1349-6888.3047] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.838 /-] [StdDev=626.415 /-]
# WVCBS122	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.3372-8994.1946] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS122	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.859 /-] [StdDev=642.95 /-]
# WVCBS123	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.3934-7528.9207] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.108 /-] [StdDev=631.372 /-]
# WVCBS124	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.7602-6278.6856] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.752 /-] [StdDev=639.287 /-]
# WVCBS125	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7618-6702.5265] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.953 /-] [StdDev=638.182 /-]
# WVCBS126	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.0813-6685.1425] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.269 /-] [StdDev=632.568 /-]
# WVCBS127	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.4703-6728.86] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.459 /-] [StdDev=639.303 /-]
# WVCBS128	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.419-5705.9385] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.682 /-] [StdDev=628.494 /-]
# WVCBS129	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.0124-6023.5086] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.361 /-] [StdDev=626.986 /-]
# WVCBS130	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.6256-7677.9431] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.368 /-] [StdDev=640.249 /-]
# WVCBS131	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.0413-6111.381] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.117 /-] [StdDev=640.142 /-]
# WVCBS132	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.2959-5519.5876] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.49 /-] [StdDev=629.283 /-]
# WVCBS133	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.0667-5908.2697] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=757.55 /-] [StdDev=620.721 /-]
# WVCBS134	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 41.7195-7546.0511] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.177 /-] [StdDev=633.542 /-]

# WVCBS135	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.526-6154.9323] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.769 /-] [StdDev=628.461 /-]
# WVCBS136	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.6522-6694.3114] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.096 /-] [StdDev=635.167 /-]
# WVCBS137	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.3347-5709.5035] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.917 /-] [StdDev=631.6 /-]
# WVCBS138	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.5527-9298.4248] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.905 /-] [StdDev=636.015 /-]
# WVCBS139	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.8261-6064.1062] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.211 /-] [StdDev=623.829 /-]
# WVCBS140	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.1501-6470.4348] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.629 /-] [StdDev=634.425 /-]
# WVCBS141	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.357-5716.3447] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.763 /-] [StdDev=623.947 /-]
# WVCBS142	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.6132-6463.369] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.031 /-] [StdDev=624.413 /-]
# WVCBS143	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.064-6486.2054] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.647 /-] [StdDev=630.196 /-]
# WVCBS144	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.3036-7458.0409] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.661 /-] [StdDev=648.814 /-]
# WVCBS145	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.6527-7047.1999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.039 /-] [StdDev=627.514 /-]
# WVCBS146	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.0811-7403.3832] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.184 /-] [StdDev=631.453 /-]
# WVCBS147	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.1341-6472.842] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS147	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.048 /-] [StdDev=629.796 /-]
# WVCBS148	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.4939-6596.6871] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.801 /-] [StdDev=625.928 /-]
# WVCBS149	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.72-6701.8783] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.356 /-] [StdDev=637.956 /-]
# WVCBS150	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.7331-5560.8239] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=759.797 /-] [StdDev=622.666 /-]
# WVCBS151	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.0032-7758.9523] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=764.51 /-] [StdDev=643.175 /-]
# WVCBS152	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.7327-7822.1201] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.837 /-] [StdDev=639.228 /-]
# WVCBS153	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.386-8617.1952] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.528 /-] [StdDev=640.088 /-]
# WVCBS154	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.6657-5822.5372] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.153 /-] [StdDev=627.811 /-]
# WVCBS155	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.1084-6660.0636] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.861 /-] [StdDev=636.106 /-]
# WVCBS156	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.8836-6621.044] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.423 /-] [StdDev=642.121 /-]
# WVCBS157	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.2345-8141.8414] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.728 /-] [StdDev=638.243 /-]
# WVCBS158	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.838-5692.6941] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.287 /-] [StdDev=625.916 /-]
# WVCBS159	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.8952-6357.9919] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.688 /-] [StdDev=632.171 /-]

# WVCBS160	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.1213-6633.5887] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.164 /-] [StdDev=637.132 /-]
# WVCBS161	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.3478-5882.3248] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.227 /-] [StdDev=626.933 /-]
# WVCBS162	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.085-5283.8631] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.572 /-] [StdDev=630.254 /-]
# WVCBS163	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.2625-7551.8195] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=757.705 /-] [StdDev=627.23 /-]
# WVCBS164	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.0107-5923.7371] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.212 /-] [StdDev=635.28 /-]
# WVCBS165	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.8562-7053.0775] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.082 /-] [StdDev=629.9 /-]
# WVCBS166	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7541-6921.4837] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.619 /-] [StdDev=626.368 /-]
# WVCBS167	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.0475-7848.2477] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=769.47 /-] [StdDev=645.37 /-]
# WVCBS168	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7795-6443.7711] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=758.442 /-] [StdDev=634.347 /-]
# WVCBS169	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.1838-6285.2675] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.667 /-] [StdDev=632.523 /-]
# WVCBS170	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.2373-6982.7606] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.927 /-] [StdDev=635.44 /-]
# WVCBS171	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.5176-6299.3583] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.362 /-] [StdDev=644.254 /-]
# WVCBS172	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21.329-6677.8714] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS172	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.317 /-] [StdDev=626.82 /-]
# WVCBS173	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.3733-6354.9973] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.6 /-] [StdDev=634.752 /-]
# WVCBS174	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.8926-5734.6349] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.806 /-] [StdDev=628.286 /-]
# WVCBS175	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.7923-6009.8517] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=757.393 /-] [StdDev=631.41 /-]
# WVCBS176	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.609-7982.6091] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.485 /-] [StdDev=637.671 /-]
# WVCBS177	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8245-8000.9377] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.715 /-] [StdDev=651.181 /-]
# WVCBS178	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.6539-8269.9144] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.872 /-] [StdDev=639.366 /-]
# WVCBS179	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.7926-6716.2259] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.897 /-] [StdDev=636.69 /-]
# WVCBS180	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.7312-6405.478] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.186 /-] [StdDev=628.217 /-]
# WVCBS181	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.6793-7090.638] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.102 /-] [StdDev=638.265 /-]
# WVCBS182	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.5517-7120.8591] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=756.065 /-] [StdDev=628.816 /-]
# WVCBS183	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8293-5551.0166] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=766.55 /-] [StdDev=632.785 /-]
# WVCBS184	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.5727-6408.3211] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.545 /-] [StdDev=637.99 /-]



# WVCBS185	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.4031-5869.4972] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.69 /-] [StdDev=628.637 /-]
# WVCBS186	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.6601-7212.6038] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.456 /-] [StdDev=629.373 /-]
# WVCBS187	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.7467-6700.6999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.923 /-] [StdDev=638.813 /-]
# WVCBS188	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.2992-5906.0561] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.94 /-] [StdDev=633.315 /-]
# WVCBS189	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.3373-6716.4846] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=762.505 /-] [StdDev=639.7 /-]
# WVCBS190	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8304-6799.6795] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=763.45 /-] [StdDev=639.252 /-]
# WVCBS191	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.1987-7047.3618] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.724 /-] [StdDev=631.047 /-]
# WVCBS192	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.8527-6907.1821] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.576 /-] [StdDev=646.808 /-]
# WVCBS193	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.0224-6217.3845] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.94 /-] [StdDev=638.447 /-]
# WVCBS194	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7199-6216.1752] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.807 /-] [StdDev=628.361 /-]
# WVCBS195	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.7274-7967.0763] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.631 /-] [StdDev=630.217 /-]
# WVCBS196	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.2809-7412.6805] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.368 /-] [StdDev=634.949 /-]
# WVCBS197	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.8991-6412.0267] [Missing=*]

# WVCBS197	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=765.859 /-] [StdDev=644.299 /-]
# WVCBS198	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.0783-6311.1972] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=757.149 /-] [StdDev=629.666 /-]
# WVCBS199	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.8494-6225.8047] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=760.309 /-] [StdDev=636.7 /-]
# WVCBS200	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.1916-8132.5042] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9824 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=761.571 /-] [StdDev=638.082 /-]