

Canada

Statistics Canada

**General Social Survey, Cycle 18, 2004
[Canada]: Victimization, Main File**

Study Documentation

March 12, 2014

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Centre d'information géographique, statistique et gouvernementale (GSG) , Université d'Ottawa Scholars Portal (SP) , Ontario Council of University Libraries
Production Date	March 12, 2012
Version	Version March 12, 2012 DRC XML Version 2.0
Identification	gss_12M0018 _E_2002_c-18_m

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	5
Sampling	5
Data Collection	6
Data Processing & Appraisal	6
Accessibility	6
Rights & Disclaimer	6
Files Description	7
gssc18fm	7
Variables Group(s)	8
Assessment Of Local Police	8
Community	8
Demographics	9
Discrimination	11
Drinking Habits	13
Employment	14
Ex-Partner Relationship With Respondent	14
Go Out At Night	15
Had Contact With Criminal Courts	16
Health	16
In Life Time - Crimes	17
Marriage Or Common Law Relationship	17
Most Serious Victimization	18
Neighbourhood Crime	18
Protection From Crime	19
Respondent's Children	20
Stalking Incidents	20
Weight	23
Justice System	32
Contact With Police	32
Feel Safer When	33
Financial Responsibility	34
Abuse, Violence, Or Sexual Assault	34
Any Injuries	36
Received Medical Attention	37
Incident Details	37
Obtain Compensation	38
Reported Incident(s) To Police	38
Why Did Not Contact Police	40
Spoke To Others	41
Affected You	43
Reaction To Stalking	44
Help Sought	45
Reason For Not Reporting	45
Spouse	47
Dwelling	48
Main Problems In Neighbourhood	48
Medications Taken	49
Did Not	49
Variables Description	51
gssc18fm	52

General Social Survey, Cycle 18, 2004 [Canada]: Victimization, Main File (GSS, Cycle 18, 2004: Victimization, Main File)

Enquête sociale générale, Cycle 18, 2004 [Canada] : Victimisation, Dossier principal

Overview	
Type	General Social Survey
Identification	gss-12M0018-E-2002-c-18-m
Version	<p>Production Date: 2006 2.0</p> <p><u>Notes</u></p> <p>The documentation for the micro-data files for 18 has been modified. Please be advised that the documentation for the Public Use Microdata File (PUMF) for GSS-17 on Social Engagement and GSS-18 on Victimization has been modified. An error was detected and corrected in the documentation for the derived variable MARSTAT (marital status of respondent). The labels for codes 4 and 5 were incorrectly assigned for these two cycles. Code 4 should be "separated" and code 5 should be "divorced."</p>
Series	The two primary objectives of the General Social Survey (GSS) are: to gather data on social trends in order to monitor changes in the living conditions and well being of Canadians over time; and to provide information on specific social policy issues of current or emerging interest.
<p><u>Abstract</u></p> <p>The General Social Survey (GSS) program gathers data on social subjects in order to monitor changes in the living conditions and well being of Canadians over time and to provide immediate information on specific social policy issues of current or emerging interest. Cycle 18 of the GSS is the fourth cycle dedicated to the topic of victimization; previous cycles were carried out in 1988, 1993, and 1999. Content from Cycle 13 on senior abuse and public perception of alternatives to imprisonment was not repeated. New topics of interest were added including stalking, use of restraining orders and social disorder. Other subjects common to all four cycles include perceptions of crime, police and courts; crime prevention precautions; and accident and crime incident reports.</p>	
Kind of Data	Survey data, microdata file
Unit of Analysis	Individuals
Scope & Coverage	
<p><u>Scope</u></p> <p>A supplementary survey was conducted in the three territories. This supplementary survey used the same questionnaire, collection methodology, and interviewers as the main survey, but The main sample of GSS-18 was distributed over the ten provinces, and a supplementary survey was conducted in the three territories. This supplementary survey used the same questionnaire, collection methodology, and interviewers as the main survey, but used a different methodology for sample selection. The data from this supplementary survey are not included in this microdata file, but are available in the RDCs.</p>	
Keywords	Abuse, Alcohol, Assault, Age, Crime, Custody, Discriminatory, Divorce, Education, Employment, Family, Help, Household, Income, Injuries, Institution, Legal, Living Arrangements, Marital Status, Medication, Neighbours, Offender, Occupation, Parent, Police, Property, Residence, Reporting, Relationships, Safety
Time Period(s)	2004
Countries	Canada
<p><u>Geographic Coverage</u></p> <p>Canada, provinces</p>	

Universe

Included: All individuals aged 15 and over living in Canada.

Excluded: Residents of the Yukon, Northwest Territories, and Nunavut, and full-time residents of institutions.

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Statistics Canada
Other Producer(s)	Statistics Canada

Sampling**Sampling Procedure**

Data for Cycle 18 of the GSS were collected in seven waves starting in January 2004 and ending in December 2004. The sample was evenly distributed over the seven waves to counterbalance as much as possible the seasonal variation in the information gathered. Households were selected for the survey by Random Digit Dialing. The telephone numbers in the sample were selected using the Elimination of Non-Working Banks technique. This sampling technique is a method in which an attempt is made to identify all working banks for an area (i.e., to identify all sets of 100 telephone numbers with the same first eight digits containing at least one number that belongs to a household). Thus, all telephone numbers within non working banks are eliminated from the sampling frame.

In order to carry out sampling, each of the ten provinces was divided into strata, i.e. geographic areas.

Many of the Census Metropolitan Areas (CMAs) were each considered separate strata. This was the case for St. John's, Halifax, Saint John, Montreal, Quebec City, Toronto, Ottawa, Hamilton, Winnipeg, Regina, Saskatoon, Calgary, Edmonton, Vancouver and Victoria. CMAs not on this list are located in Quebec and Ontario. Two more strata were formed by grouping the remaining CMAs in each of these two provinces. Finally, the non-CMA areas of each of the ten provinces were also grouped to form ten more strata. This resulted in 27 strata in all.

Response Rate

The overall response rate during collection for Cycle 18 was 74.5%.

Weighting

Three weighting factors were placed on the Main File. They are listed and explained below:

WGHT_PER: This is the basic weighting factor for analysis at the person level, i.e. to calculate estimates of the number of persons (non-institutionalized and aged 15 or over) having one or several given characteristics. WGHT_PER should be used for all person-level estimates.

WGHT_HSD: This weighting factor can be used to estimate the number of households with a given characteristic. For example, to estimate the number of households that live in low-rise apartments, WGHT_HSD should be summed over all records with this characteristic.

WGHT_ABU: This weighting factor is required to estimate the number of victimization incidents that occurred over the past 12 months within certain violent relationships, namely those with spousal or ex-spousal violence. It should therefore only be used for estimates involving variables PR_101_2004 and PR_304_2004.

Note that some series reports involve a large number of similar incidents. Some analysts may feel that leaving them as is will lead to a disproportionate contribution to victimization estimates from this type of incident. Indeed, the series factor was capped at 3 for estimates published in this user's guide. If analysts wish to use the same cap for the series factor, they may use the weighting factor ADJWTVIC, which is WGHT_VIC multiplied by the capped (at 3) series factor. In the above example, to estimate the total number of break and enter incidents reported to police, with any series reports capped at 3, ADJWTVIC would be summed over all records representing a break and enter incident that was reported to the police. Note also that violence by a current or ex-spouse is only captured in abuse reports on the Main File. Suppose a given estimate of a number of victimization incidents is to include violence of this type. Then the number of victimization incidents involving this type of violence must be calculated from the Main File separately and then added to the estimate from the Incident File. More information and examples of these kinds of estimates can be found in Section 7.6.

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2004-01 end 2004-12
Data Collection Mode	Computer assisted telephone interviewing The samples for most GSS cycles are selected using random digit dialing telephone methods and the interviews are conducted by telephone. Thus persons in households without telephones cannot be interviewed. However, persons living in such households represent less than 2% of the target population. Interviews are not conducted by cellular telephone so persons with only cellular telephone service are also excluded; again, this group makes up a very small proportion of the population, less than 3%.
Data Collection Notes Responding to this survey is voluntary. Data are collected directly from survey respondents. The Main File contains questionnaire responses and associated information from 23,766 respondents. Characteristics on this file concern the person as opposed to information about any individual victimization incidents which he or she may have experienced.	

Data Processing & Appraisal
Other Processing Computer assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) was used to collect data for the GSS, using Random Digit Dialing methods. Respondents were interviewed in the official language of their choice. Interviews by proxy were not allowed. Data collection began in January 2004 and continued through to December 2004. The sample was evenly distributed over the seven waves to counterbalance as much as possible the seasonal variation in the information gathered.
Estimates of Sampling Error As the data are based on a sample of persons they are subject to sampling error. That is, estimates based on a sample will vary from sample to sample, and typically they will be different from the results that would have been obtained from a complete census. The potential range of this difference has been estimated for key data and used to produce tables that can be used to estimate the sampling variability of many estimates. These tables and instructions for and examples of their use are found in Appendix A and Section 8 of the Public Use Microdata File Documentation and User's Guide.

Accessibility	
Distributor(s)	Data Liberation Initiative
Access Conditions DLI License	
Citation Requirements The publishing of analysis and results from research using any of the data products is permitted in research communications such as scholarly papers, journals and the like. The authors of these communications are required to cite Statistics Canada as the source of the data, and to indicate that the results or views expressed are those of the author/authorized user and are not those of Statistics Canada. Permission to include extracts of these data in textbooks must be obtained from the Licencing Section of Statistics Canada's Marketing Division.	

Rights & Disclaimer	
Copyright	Copyright(c) Statistics Canada: Social and Aboriginal Statistics Division, 2004

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

gssc18fm	
# Cases	23766
# Variable(s)	650

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 39 group(s)

Group Assessment Of Local Police							
Subgroup(s)		Contact With Police					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q210	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of enforcing the laws?	discrete	numeric-1.0	22833	933	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of enforcing the laws?
2	PHR_Q220	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of promptly responding to calls?	discrete	numeric-1.0	19631	4135	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of promptly responding to calls?
3	PHR_Q230	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: of being approachable and easy to talk to?	discrete	numeric-1.0	21330	2436	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of being approachable and easy to talk to?
4	PHR_Q240	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of supplying information to the public on ways to reduce crime?	discrete	numeric-1.0	21253	2513	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supplying information to the public on ways to reduce crime?
5	PHR_Q250	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of ensuring the safety of the citizens in your area?	discrete	numeric-1.0	22433	1333	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of ensuring the safety of the citizens in your area?
6	PHR_Q260	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of treating people fairly?	discrete	numeric-1.0	21449	2317	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of treating people fairly?

Group Community							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	RECID	Record identification.	discrete	numeric-5.0	23766	0	Record identification.
2	PRV	Province of residence of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23766	0	Province of residence of the respondent.
3	REGION	Region of residence of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Region of residence of the respondent.
4	LUC_RST	Urban/Rural indicator.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Urban/Rural indicator.
5	DOR_Q210	How long have you lived in this dwelling?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23343	423	How long have you lived in this dwelling?
6	DOR_Q222	Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your more immediate neighbourhood.	discrete	numeric-1.0	13843	9923	Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your more immediate neighbourhood. Would you say that you know:

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	DOR_Q227	Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours help each other?	discrete	numeric-1.0	13149	10617	Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours help each other?
8	DOR_Q228	In the past month, have you done a favour for a neighbour?	discrete	numeric-1.0	13820	9946	In the past month, have you done a favour for a neighbour? (Examples of favours are: picking up the mail, watering plants, shoveling, lending tools or garden equipment, carrying things upstairs, feeding pets when neighbours go on holiday, and shopping)
9	DOR_Q229	In the past month, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	13806	9960	In the past month, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?
10	LIVE_NEIGH	Length of time respondent has lived in current neighbourhood	discrete	numeric-1.0	23327	439	How long have you lived in this neighbourhood?
11	LIVE_LOCAL	Length of time respondent has lived in current city or local community	discrete	numeric-1.0	23314	452	How long have you lived in this city or local community?
12	BRTHCAN	Country of birth of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23328	438	In what country were you born?
13	BRTHPRVC	Province of birth of respondent.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23274	492	In which province or territory?
14	BRTHREGC	Country or region of birth of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23314	452	In which country?
15	YRARRI	Range of years when the respondent came to live permanently	discrete	numeric-2.0	3819	19947	Range of years when the respondent came to live permanently in Canada.
16	BRTHMCAN	Country of birth of the respondent's mother.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23176	590	In what country was your mother born?
17	BRTHMREGC	Country or region of birth of the respondent's mother.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23147	619	Country or region of birth of the respondent's mother.
18	BRTHFCAN	Country of birth of the respondent's father.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23077	689	In what country was your father born?
19	BRTHFREGC	Country or region of birth of the respondent's father.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23041	725	Country or region of birth of the respondent's father.

Group Demographics

Subgroup(s)		Dwelling					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	AGEGR5	Age group of the respondent - R5	discrete	numeric-2.0	23766	0	Age group of the respondent.
2	AGEGR10	Age group of the respondent - R10	discrete	numeric-2.0	23766	0	Age group of the respondent.
3	SEX	Sex of respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Sex of respondent.
4	MARSTAT	Marital status of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23662	104	Marital status of the respondent.
5	AGEPRGRDIF	Age difference between respondent and spouse/partner.	discrete	numeric-2.0	12704	11062	Age difference between respondent and spouse/partner.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	PRTYPEC	Type of partner the respondent has within the household.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Type of partner the respondent has within the household.
7	AGECHRYC	Age of respondent's youngest single child living in the hous	continuous	numeric-2.0	7617	16149	Age of respondent's youngest single child living in the household.
8	CHRFLAG	Single child(ren) of the respondent living in the household.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Single child(ren) of the respondent living in the household.
9	CHRINHSDC	Number of respondent's child(ren) living in the household(an	continuous	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Number of respondent's child(ren) living in the household(any age or marital status)
10	CHH0014C	Number of children aged from 0 to 14 years living in the res	continuous	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Number of children aged from 0 to 14 years living in the respondent's household.
11	PARHSDC	Type of parents the respondent has within the household.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2550	21216	Type of parents the respondent has within the household.
12	LIVARR08	Living arrangement of respondent's household.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23766	0	Living arrangement of respondent's household.
13	LIVARR12	Living arrangement of respondent's household.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23766	0	Living arrangement of respondent's household.
14	HSDSIZEC	Household size of respondent.	continuous	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Household size of respondent.
15	FAMTYPE	Respondent's type of family structure.	discrete	numeric-1.0	16593	7173	Respondent's type of family structure.
16	MULTIGEN	Three-generation or more family in respondent's household.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Three-generation or more family in respondent's household.
17	EDUYR	Number of years of elementary and high school education completed successfully by the respondent.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23289	477	Excluding kindergarten, how many years of elementary and high school education have you successfully completed?
18	EOR_Q110	Have you graduated from high school?	discrete	numeric-1.0	18397	5369	Have you graduated from high school?
19	EOR_Q150	Have you had any further schooling beyond elementary/high school?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23374	392	Have you had any further schooling beyond elementary/high school?
20	EDU5	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23316	450	What is the highest level of education that you have attained?
21	EDU10	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent - 10 groups.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23316	450	What is the highest level of education that you have attained?
22	EDUPR5	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 5 groups.	discrete	numeric-1.0	12464	11302	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 5 groups.
23	EDUPR10	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 10 groups.	discrete	numeric-2.0	12464	11302	What is the highest level of education that he/she has attained?
24	EDUM5	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's mother - 5 groups.	discrete	numeric-1.0	18511	5255	What is the highest level of education that your mother has attained?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	EDUF5	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's father - 5 groups.	discrete	numeric-1.0	18091	5675	What is the highest level of education that your father has attained?
26	VISMIN	Visible minority status	discrete	numeric-1.0	22995	771	Visible minority status
27	LANCH	First childhood language of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23215	551	What language did you first speak in childhood?
28	LANCHSUE	Respondent still understands first childhood language - English	discrete	numeric-1.0	14780	8986	Do you still understand that language (i.e., English)?
29	LANCHSUF	Respondent still understands first childhood language - French	discrete	numeric-1.0	5171	18595	Do you still understand that language (i.e., French)?
30	LANCHSUO	Respondent still understands first childhood language - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	3612	20154	Do you still understand that language (i.e., other language)?
31	LANHSDC	Respondent's household language.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23228	538	What language do you speak most often at home?
32	RELIG6	Religion of respondent. In six categories.	discrete	numeric-1.0	22856	910	What, if any, is your religion?
33	RL_Q105	How important are your religious or spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life?	discrete	numeric-1.0	22871	895	How important are your (religious or) spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life? Would you say they are:
34	RELIGATT	Religious attendance of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	17687	6079	Other than on special occasions, (such as weddings, funerals or baptisms) how often did you attend religious services or meetings in the last 12 months? Was it:
35	IN_Q0050	What was YOUR MAIN SOURCE of income during the past 12 months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22662	1104	What was your main source of income during the past 12 months?
36	INCM	Annual personal income of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-2.0	18871	4895	What is your best estimate of your total personal income, before deductions, from all sources during the past 12 months?
37	INCMMEMC	Not including the respondent, number of household members received income from any source, during the past 12 months?	continuous	numeric-1.0	16914	6852	Not including yourself, how many other household members received income from any source, during the past 12 months?
38	INCMHSD	Total household income.	discrete	numeric-2.0	18504	5262	What is your best estimate of the total income, before deductions, of all household members from all sources during the past 12 months? Was the total household income:

Group Discrimination

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VISMIN	Visible minority status	discrete	numeric-1.0	22995	771	Visible minority status
2	DIS_SEX	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... sex?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23233	533	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... sex?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	DIS_ETH	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... ethnicity or culture?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23218	548	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... ethnicity or culture?
4	DIS_RAC	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... race or colour?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23216	550	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... race or colour?
5	DIS_REL	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... religion?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23212	554	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... religion?
6	DIS_SXO	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... sexual orientation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23203	563	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... sexual orientation?
7	DIS_AGE	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... age?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23206	560	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... age?
8	DIS_INC	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... disability?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23205	561	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... disability?
9	DIS_LNG	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... language?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23210	556	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... language?
10	DIS_OTH	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... for some other reason?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23210	556	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... for some other reason?
11	DIS_RIND	Discrimination or unfair treatment - Reasons - Single/multiple indicator	discrete	numeric-1.0	23182	584	Discrimination or unfair treatment - Reasons - Single/multiple indicator
12	DISCRIM	Respondent has been a victim of discrimination In the past five years.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23189	577	Respondent has been a victim of discrimination In the past five years.
13	DIS_STRE	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... on the street?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3221	20545	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... on the street?
14	DIS_STOR	In what types of situations have you experienced	discrete	numeric-1.0	3220	20546	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... in a store, bank or restaurant?					years)? Was it: ... in a store, bank or restaurant?
15	DIS_WORK	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)?... at work or when applying for a job or promotion?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3218	20548	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... at work or when applying for a job or promotion?
16	DIS_POLC	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when dealing with the police or courts?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3216	20550	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... when dealing with the police or courts?
17	DIS_TRNS	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... on a bus, train, airplane, subway, light rapid transit, ferry, etc.?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3219	20547	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... on a bus, train, airplane, subway, light rapid transit, ferry, etc.?
18	DIS_SCHL	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... attending school or classes?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3217	20549	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... attending school or classes?
19	DIS_HOUS	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when looking for a place to live or when renting or buying a home?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3217	20549	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... when looking for a place to live or when renting or buying a home?
20	DIS_SPRT	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? when participating in sports or getting involved in a sports organization?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3214	20552	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: when participating in sports or getting involved in a sports organization?
21	DIS_HLTH	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when dealing with public hospitals or health care workers?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3213	20553	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... when dealing with public hospitals or health care workers?
22	DIS_Q300	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... any other situation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3216	20550	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... any other situation?
23	DIS_PNUM	Discrimination or unfair treatment - Places or situations - Number	discrete	numeric-1.0	3214	20552	Discrimination or unfair treatment - Places or situations - Number

Group Drinking Habits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	DRP_Q110	In the past month, how often did your spouse/partner drink alcoholic beverages?	discrete	numeric-2.0	12514	11252	In the past month, how often did your spouse/partner drink alcoholic beverages? Was it:

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	DRP_Q120	How many times in the past month has your spouse/partner had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?	discrete	numeric-2.0	8028	15738	How many times in the past month has your spouse/partner had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?
3	DRR_Q110	In the past month, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages?	discrete	numeric-2.0	23142	624	In the past month, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages? Was it:
4	DRR_Q120	How many times in the past month have you had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?	continuous	numeric-2.0	14891	8875	How many times in the past month have you had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?

Group Employment

Subgroup(s)		Spouse					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	ACMYR	Main activity of the respondent in the last 12 months.	discrete	numeric-2.0	23514	252	Main activity of the respondent in the last 12 months.
2	EDUSTAT	Full-time or part-time education status for the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2234	21532	Full-time or part-time education status for the respondent.
3	MAR_Q125	Are you looking for paid work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	9782	13984	Are you looking for paid work?
4	MAR_Q130	Did you have a job or were you self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	10156	13610	Did you have a job or were you self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?
5	AGE_LSTPDWK	Age of the respondent when they last did paid work.	continuous	numeric-5.1	6182	17584	Age of the respondent when they last did paid work.
6	WKWE	Number of weeks during the past 12 months the respondent was employed.	continuous	numeric-2.0	16240	7526	Number of weeks during the past 12 months the respondent was employed.
7	WKWEHR_C	Number of hours usually worked at all jobs in a week.	continuous	numeric-2.0	16135	7631	Number of hours usually worked at all jobs in a week.
8	MAR_Q190	Do you usually work any of your scheduled hours at home?	discrete	numeric-1.0	16323	7443	Some people do all or some of their paid work at home. Excluding overtime, do you usually work any of your scheduled hours at home?
9	WKWEHOHR_C	Number of paid hours per week usually worked at home.	continuous	numeric-2.0	2937	20829	Number of paid hours per week usually worked at home.
10	NAICS16	North American Industrial Classification System of the respondent - 16 categories.	discrete	numeric-2.0	16151	7615	North American Industrial Classification System of the respondent - 16 categories.
11	SOC91C10	Standard Occupational Classification (1991) of the respondent - 10 categories.	discrete	numeric-2.0	16146	7620	Standard Occupational Classification (1991) of the respondent - 10 categories.
12	MAR_Q410	Which of the following best describes the hours you usually work at this job?	discrete	numeric-2.0	16284	7482	Which of the following best describes the hours you usually work at this job? Is it:

Group Ex-Partner Relationship With Respondent

Subgroup(s)		Abuse, Violence, Or Sexual Assault					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	EFX_Q210	He/She tried to limit your contact with family or friends.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4001	19765	He/She tried to limit your contact with family or friends.
2	EFX_Q220	He/She put you down or called you names to make you feel bad	discrete	numeric-1.0	3997	19769	He/She put you down or called you names to make you feel bad.
3	EFX_Q230	He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women.
4	EFX_Q240	He/She harmed, or threatened to harm, someone close to you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3995	19771	He/She harmed, or threatened to harm, someone close to you.
5	EFX_Q250	He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.
6	EFX_Q260	He/She damaged or destroyed your possessions or property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	He/She damaged or destroyed your possessions or property.

Group Go Out At Night

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q201	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... work nights, attend night classes, go to meetings or do volunteer work?	discrete	numeric-2.0	23189	577	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... work nights, attend night classes, go to meetings or do volunteer work?
2	PHR_Q202	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to restaurants, movies or the theatre?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22312	1454	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go to restaurants, movies or the theatre?
3	PHR_Q203	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to bars or pubs? (include comedy clubs)	discrete	numeric-2.0	22280	1486	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go to bars or pubs? (include comedy clubs)
4	PHR_Q204	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go out for sports, exercise or recreational activities?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22875	891	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go out for sports, exercise or recreational activities? (as participant or spectator)
5	PHR_Q205	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... shop?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22584	1182	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... shop? (include window shopping)
6	PHR_Q206	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... visit relatives or friends in their homes?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22628	1138	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... visit relatives or friends in their homes?
7	PHR_Q207	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to casinos or bingos?	discrete	numeric-2.0	22700	1066	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go to casinos or bingos?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
8	PHR_Q208	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... do other evening activities not already mentioned?	discrete	numeric-2.0	23505	261	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... do other evening activities not already mentioned?
9	NUMEVACT_C	Average number of evening activities respondent goes out for in a month.	continuous	numeric-2.0	23766	0	Average number of evening activities respondent goes out for in a month.

Group Had Contact With Criminal Courts

Subgroup(s)		Justice System					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q405	Have you ever had contact with the Canadian Criminal courts?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23737	29	Have you ever had contact with the Canadian Criminal courts?

Group Health

Subgroup(s)		Medications Taken					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	HLTHSTAT	State of health of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23223	543	In general, would you say your health is:
2	HAL_Q120	Do you have any difficulty hearing, seeing, communicating, climbing stairs, bending, learning or doing any similar activities?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23248	518	Do you have any difficulty hearing, seeing, communicating, walking, climbing stairs, bending, learning or doing any similar activities?
3	HAL_Q150	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at home?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4793	18973	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at home?
4	HAL_Q160	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at work or at school?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4600	19166	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at work or at school?
5	HAL_Q170	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... in other activities, for example, transportation or leisure?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4781	18985	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... in other activities, for example, transportation or leisure?
6	ACTLIMIT	Are you limited in the amount or kind of activity you can do	discrete	numeric-1.0	23195	571	Are you limited in the amount or kind of activity you can do at home, at work, or at school or in other activities because of a long-term physical or mental condition or health problem?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	SLEEPROB	Do you regularly have trouble going to sleep?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23232	534	Do you regularly have trouble going to sleep?

Group In Life Time - Crimes

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	OCE_Q110	Has anything else happened to you in your lifetime that could be considered a crime?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23547	219	Aside from what you have already mentioned, has anything else happened to you in your lifetime that could be considered a crime? Please remember that crime includes vandalism, theft, fraud, break and enter, assault and sexual assault. Please include acts committed by both family and non-family members.
2	LIFEVICT	Victim of crime - lifetime	discrete	numeric-1.0	23516	250	Victim of crime - lifetime
3	OCE_Q120_C01	What happened? Sexual assault (unwanted sexual touching, fondling, rape, and attempted rape)	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Sexual assault (unwanted sexual touching, fondling, rape, and attempted rape)
4	OCE_Q120_C02	What happened? Robbery/Attempted robbery	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Robbery/Attempted robbery (theft with a face-to-face threat, an assault or a weapon. If no threat, assault or weapon, classify elsewhere.)
5	OCE_Q120_C03	What happened? Assault	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Assault (face-to-face threat or assault with or without a weapon but neither theft nor attempted theft of property)
6	OCE_Q120_C04	What happened? Stalking	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Stalking (Being the subject of persistent and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you)
7	OCE_Q120_C05	What happened? Break and enter/attempt	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Break and enter/Attempt (illegal entry or attempted illegal entry into your residence or any other building on your property)
8	OCE_Q120_C06	What happened? Motor vehicle theft/attempt	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Motor vehicle theft/Attempt (theft or attempted theft of motor vehicle or parts)
9	OCE_Q120_C07	What happened? Theft of personal property/attempt	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Theft of personal property/Attempt (money or other personal property was taken or an attempt was made to take it)
10	OCE_Q120_C08	What happened? Fraud.	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Fraud
11	OCE_Q120_C09	What happened? Theft of household property/attempted theft.	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Theft of household property/Attempt
12	OCE_Q120_C10	What happened? Vandalism (something was damaged).	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Vandalism (something was damaged)
13	OCE_Q120_C11	What happened? Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	10656	13110	What happened? Other

Group Marriage Or Common Law Relationship

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	EFX_Q110	Have you ever been married or in a common-law relationship?	discrete	numeric-1.0	6074	17692	Have you ever been married or in a common-law relationship?
2	EFX_Q120	In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with your (any	discrete	numeric-1.0	5909	17857	(You have mentioned that your marital status is ^aMarStat.) In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with your (any) previous spouse or commonlaw partner?
3	EFX_Q130	Have you ever been in any other marriage or common-law relat	discrete	numeric-1.0	12849	10917	Have you ever been in any other marriage or common-law relationship with a person other than your current spouse/partner?
4	EFX_Q140	In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with this (any	discrete	numeric-1.0	2843	20923	In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with this (any) previous spouse or common-law partner?

Group Most Serious Victimization

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MSVIC	Most serious victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months - excludes spousal and ex-spousal abuse.	discrete	numeric-3.0	5952	17814	Most serious victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months - excludes spousal and ex-spousal abuse.
2	MSPER	Most serious personal victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months excludes spous- al and ex-spousal abuse.	discrete	numeric-3.0	2559	21207	Most serious personal victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months excludes spous- al and ex-spousal abuse.
3	MSHSD	Most serious household victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months	discrete	numeric-3.0	4291	19475	Most serious household victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months.

Group Neighbourhood Crime

Subgroup(s)		Main Problems In Neighbourhood					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q110	Compared to other areas in Canada, do you think your neighbourhood has a higher amount of crime	discrete	numeric-1.0	22973	793	Compared to other areas in Canada, do you think your neighbourhood has a higher amount of crime, about the same or a lower amount of crime?
2	PHR_Q120	During the last 5 years, do you think that crime in your neighbourhood has increased	discrete	numeric-1.0	22120	1646	During the last 5 years, do you think that crime in your neighbourhood has increased, decreased, or remained about the same?
3	PHR_Q130	How safe do you feel from crime walking alone in your area after dark?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23730	36	How safe do you feel from crime walking alone in your area after dark? Do you feel:
4	PHR_Q140	How often do you walk alone in your area after dark?	discrete	numeric-1.0	21127	2639	How often do you walk alone in your area after dark? Is it:
5	PHR_Q150	If you felt safer from crime, would you walk alone in your area after dark	discrete	numeric-1.0	13537	10229	If you felt safer from crime, would you walk alone in your area after dark (more often)?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	PHR_Q155	Is there public transportation in your city or local community?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23726	40	Is there public transportation in your city or local community?
7	PHR_Q160	While waiting for or using public transportation alone after dark, do you feel:	discrete	numeric-1.0	15870	7896	While waiting for or using public transportation alone after dark, do you feel:
8	PHR_Q170	How often do you use public transportation alone after dark?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7403	16363	How often do you use public transportation alone after dark? is it:
9	PHR_Q180	If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transport	discrete	numeric-1.0	11344	12422	If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transportation alone after dark (more often)?
10	PHR_Q190	If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transportation alone after dark	discrete	numeric-1.0	23735	31	When alone in your home in the evening or at night, do you feel:

Group Protection From Crime

Subgroup(s)		Feel Safer When					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q810	Have you ever:... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23730	36	Have you ever: ... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?
2	PHR_Q815	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8349	15417	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
3	PHR_Q820	Have you ever:... installed new locks or security bars?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23740	26	Have you ever: ... installed new locks or security bars?
4	PHR_Q825	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... installed new locks or security bars?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7378	16388	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
5	PHR_Q830	Have you ever:... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23725	41	Have you ever: ... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?
6	PHR_Q835	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?	discrete	numeric-1.0	7563	16203	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
7	PHR_Q840	Have you ever:... taken a self-defense course?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23737	29	Have you ever: ... taken a self-defense course?
8	PHR_Q845	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... taken a self-defense course?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2826	20940	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
9	PHR_Q850	Have you ever:... changed your phone number?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23742	24	Have you ever: ... changed your phone number?
10	PHR_Q855	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed your phone number?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1297	22469	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
11	PHR_Q860	Have you ever:... obtained a dog?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23741	25	Have you ever: ... obtained a dog?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
12	PHR_Q865	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... obtained a dog?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2094	21672	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
13	PHR_Q870	Have you ever:... obtained a gun?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23723	43	Have you ever: ... obtained a gun?
14	PHR_Q875	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... obtained a gun?	discrete	numeric-1.0	241	23525	Have you done this in the last 12 months?
15	PHR_Q880	Have you ever:... changed residence or moved?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23737	29	Have you ever: ... changed residence or moved?
16	PHR_Q885	Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed residence or moved?	discrete	numeric-1.0	940	22826	Have you done this in the last 12 months?

Group Respondent's Children

Subgroup(s)		Financial Responsibility					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	EFX_Q144	Did you and your ex-spouse(s)/ex-common-law partner(s) have	discrete	numeric-1.0	4043	19723	Did you and your ex-spouse(s)/ex-common-law partner(s) have any children together who are under the age of 18?
2	EFX_Q146_C01	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	22326	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence? Respondent's home.
3	EFX_Q146_C02	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	22326	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence? Ex-spouse/common-law partner's home
4	EFX_Q146_C03	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	22326	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence? About the same amount of time at both parent's homes
5	EFX_Q146_C04	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1440	22326	Somewhere elseWhat is this child's (these children's) principal residence? Somewhere else
6	EFX_Q147	How often does your ex-spouse/common-law partner see this ch	discrete	numeric-2.0	878	22888	How often does your ex-spouse/common-law partner see this child (these children)? Is it:
7	EFX_Q148	How often do you see this child (these children). Is it:	discrete	numeric-2.0	437	23329	How often do you see this child (these children). Is it:

Group Stalking Incidents

Subgroup(s)		Reaction To Stalking , Help Sought , Reason For Not Reporting					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	STALKING	Respondent has reported stalking incident(s)	discrete	numeric-1.0	23529	237	Respondent has reported stalking incident(s)
2	STK_Q110	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23636	130	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	STK_Q115	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2032	21734	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
4	STK_OBSCENE	Type of stalking - phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23623	143	Type of stalking - phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls.
5	STK_Q120	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... followed you or spied on you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23586	180	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... followed you or spied on you?
6	STK_Q125	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	877	22889	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
7	STK_SPIED	Type of stalking - Someone followed you or spied on you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23583	183	Type of stalking - Someone followed you or spied on you.
8	STK_Q130	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... waited outside your home?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23618	148	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... waited outside your home?
9	STK_Q135	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	502	23264	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
10	STK_HOME	Type of stalking - someone waited outside your home.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23617	149	Type of stalking - someone waited outside your home.
11	STK_Q140	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23617	149	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there?
12	STK_Q145	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	572	23194	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
13	STK_SCWORK	Type of stalking - someone waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there	discrete	numeric-1.0	23615	151	Type of stalking - someone waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there.
14	STK_Q150	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted e-mail messages?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23637	129	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted e-mail messages?
15	STK_Q152	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	398	23368	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
16	STK_EMAIL	Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted e-mail messages	discrete	numeric-1.0	23637	129	Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted e-mail messages.
17	STK_Q155	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23639	127	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards?
18	STK_Q157	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	369	23397	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
19	STK_GIFTS	Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23638	128	Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards.
20	STK_Q160	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... persistently asked you for a date and refused to take no for an answer?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23631	135	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... persistently asked you for a date and refused to take no for an answer?
21	STK_Q165	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	646	23120	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
22	STK_DATE	Type of stalking - someone persistently asked you for a date	discrete	numeric-1.0	23630	136	Type of stalking - someone persistently asked you for a date and refused to take no for an answer.
23	STK_Q170	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23622	144	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way?
24	STK_Q175	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	276	23490	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?
25	STK_OTHER	Type of stalking - someone tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23622	144	Type of stalking - someone tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way.
26	STK_Q180	In the past 5 years, has anyone: ...attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23608	158	In the past five years, has anyone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else?
27	STK_INTMELSE	Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23608	158	Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else.
28	STK_Q190	In the past 5 years, has anyone: attempted to intimidate or threaten you	discrete	numeric-1.0	23616	150	In the past five years, has anyone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property?					
29	STK_INTMDAM	Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23616	150	Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property.
30	STK_Q250	Has more than one person done any of these things to you in the past 5 years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2126	21640	Has more than one person done any of these things to you in the past 5 years?
31	STK_Q305	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently)	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	21594	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how long did the repeated and unwanted attention go on in total?
32	STK_LSSWK	Length of stalking - 1 week or less.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	21594	Length of stalking - 1 week or less.
33	STK_FORWK	Length of stalking - 1 to 4 weeks.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	21594	Length of stalking - 1 to 4 weeks.
34	STK_SXMNTH	Length of stalking - 1 to 6 months.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	21594	Length of stalking - 1 to 6 months.
35	STK_TWLV	Length of stalking - 6 to 12 months.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	21594	Length of stalking - 6 to 12 months.
36	STK_MYEAR	Length of stalking - more than one year.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2172	21594	Length of stalking - more than one year.
37	STK_Q310	Since this repeated and unwanted attention started, did this person ever physically intimidate you or threaten you with violence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2188	21578	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) since this repeated and unwanted attention started, did this person ever physically intimidate you or threaten you with violence?
38	STK_VIOLNC	Stalking of respondent - violent stalking.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23596	170	Stalking of respondent - violent stalking.
39	STK_Q320	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - did this person ever grab you or attack you in any way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2192	21574	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did this person ever grab you or attack you in any way?
40	STK_Q340	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - did any of these occur in the past 12 months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2192	21574	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did any of these occur in the past 12 months?
41	STK_Q350	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - do you believe it has ended or is it still going on?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2109	21657	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) do you believe it has ended or is it still going on?
42	STK_Q360	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - is this person male or female?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2053	21713	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) is this person male or female?
43	STK_RELAT	Relationship to stalker.	discrete	numeric-2.0	2094	21672	Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) what is the person's relationship to you?

Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	WGHT_PER	Person weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	Person weight.
2	WGHT_HSD	Household weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	Household weight.
3	WTBS_001	Bootstrap weight # 1 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
4	WTBS_002	Bootstrap weight # 2 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
5	WTBS_003	Bootstrap weight # 3 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
6	WTBS_004	Bootstrap weight # 4 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
7	WTBS_005	Bootstrap weight # 5 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
8	WTBS_006	Bootstrap weight # 6 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
9	WTBS_007	Bootstrap weight # 7 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
10	WTBS_008	Bootstrap weight # 8 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
11	WTBS_009	Bootstrap weight # 9 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
12	WTBS_010	Bootstrap weight # 10 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
13	WTBS_011	Bootstrap weight # 11 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
14	WTBS_012	Bootstrap weight # 12 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
15	WTBS_013	Bootstrap weight # 13 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
16	WTBS_014	Bootstrap weight # 14 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
17	WTBS_015	Bootstrap weight # 15 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
18	WTBS_016	Bootstrap weight # 16 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
19	WTBS_017	Bootstrap weight # 17 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
20	WTBS_018	Bootstrap weight # 18 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
21	WTBS_019	Bootstrap weight # 19 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
22	WTBS_020	Bootstrap weight # 20 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
23	WTBS_021	Bootstrap weight # 21 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
24	WTBS_022	Bootstrap weight # 22 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
25	WTBS_023	Bootstrap weight # 23 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	WTBS_024	Bootstrap weight # 24 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
27	WTBS_025	Bootstrap weight # 25 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
28	WTBS_026	Bootstrap weight # 26 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
29	WTBS_027	Bootstrap weight # 27 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
30	WTBS_028	Bootstrap weight # 28 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
31	WTBS_029	Bootstrap weight # 29 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
32	WTBS_030	Bootstrap weight # 30 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
33	WTBS_031	Bootstrap weight # 31 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
34	WTBS_032	Bootstrap weight # 32 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
35	WTBS_033	Bootstrap weight # 33 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
36	WTBS_034	Bootstrap weight # 34 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
37	WTBS_035	Bootstrap weight # 35 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
38	WTBS_036	Bootstrap weight # 36 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
39	WTBS_037	Bootstrap weight # 37 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
40	WTBS_038	Bootstrap weight # 38 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
41	WTBS_039	Bootstrap weight # 39 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
42	WTBS_040	Bootstrap weight # 40 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
43	WTBS_041	Bootstrap weight # 41 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
44	WTBS_042	Bootstrap weight # 42 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
45	WTBS_043	Bootstrap weight # 43 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
46	WTBS_044	Bootstrap weight # 44 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
47	WTBS_045	Bootstrap weight # 45 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
48	WTBS_046	Bootstrap weight # 46 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
49	WTBS_047	Bootstrap weight # 47 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
50	WTBS_048	Bootstrap weight # 48 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
51	WTBS_049	Bootstrap weight # 49 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
52	WTBS_050	Bootstrap weight # 50 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
53	WTBS_051	Bootstrap weight # 51 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
54	WTBS_052	Bootstrap weight # 52 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
55	WTBS_053	Bootstrap weight # 53 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
56	WTBS_054	Bootstrap weight # 54 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
57	WTBS_055	Bootstrap weight # 55 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
58	WTBS_056	Bootstrap weight # 56 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
59	WTBS_057	Bootstrap weight # 57 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
60	WTBS_058	Bootstrap weight # 58 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
61	WTBS_059	Bootstrap weight # 59 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
62	WTBS_060	Bootstrap weight # 60 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
63	WTBS_061	Bootstrap weight # 61 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
64	WTBS_062	Bootstrap weight # 62 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
65	WTBS_063	Bootstrap weight # 63 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
66	WTBS_064	Bootstrap weight # 64 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
67	WTBS_065	Bootstrap weight # 65 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
68	WTBS_066	Bootstrap weight # 66 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
69	WTBS_067	Bootstrap weight # 67 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
70	WTBS_068	Bootstrap weight # 68 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
71	WTBS_069	Bootstrap weight # 69 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
72	WTBS_070	Bootstrap weight # 70 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
73	WTBS_071	Bootstrap weight # 71 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
74	WTBS_072	Bootstrap weight # 72 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
75	WTBS_073	Bootstrap weight # 73 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
76	WTBS_074	Bootstrap weight # 74 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
77	WTBS_075	Bootstrap weight # 75 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
78	WTBS_076	Bootstrap weight # 76 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
79	WTBS_077	Bootstrap weight # 77 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
80	WTBS_078	Bootstrap weight # 78 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
81	WTBS_079	Bootstrap weight # 79 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
82	WTBS_080	Bootstrap weight # 80 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
83	WTBS_081	Bootstrap weight # 81 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
84	WTBS_082	Bootstrap weight # 82 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
85	WTBS_083	Bootstrap weight # 83 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
86	WTBS_084	Bootstrap weight # 84 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
87	WTBS_085	Bootstrap weight # 85 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
88	WTBS_086	Bootstrap weight # 86 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
89	WTBS_087	Bootstrap weight # 87 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
90	WTBS_088	Bootstrap weight # 88 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
91	WTBS_089	Bootstrap weight # 89 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
92	WTBS_090	Bootstrap weight # 90 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
93	WTBS_091	Bootstrap weight # 91 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
94	WTBS_092	Bootstrap weight # 92 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
95	WTBS_093	Bootstrap weight # 93 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
96	WTBS_094	Bootstrap weight # 94 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
97	WTBS_095	Bootstrap weight # 95 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
98	WTBS_096	Bootstrap weight # 96 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
99	WTBS_097	Bootstrap weight # 97 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
100	WTBS_098	Bootstrap weight # 98 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
101	WTBS_099	Bootstrap weight # 99 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
102	WTBS_100	Bootstrap weight # 100 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
103	WTBS_101	Bootstrap weight # 101 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
104	WTBS_102	Bootstrap weight # 102 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
105	WTBS_103	Bootstrap weight # 103 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
106	WTBS_104	Bootstrap weight # 104 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
107	WTBS_105	Bootstrap weight # 105 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
108	WTBS_106	Bootstrap weight # 106 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
109	WTBS_107	Bootstrap weight # 107 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
110	WTBS_108	Bootstrap weight # 108 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
111	WTBS_109	Bootstrap weight # 109 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
112	WTBS_110	Bootstrap weight # 110 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
113	WTBS_111	Bootstrap weight # 111 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
114	WTBS_112	Bootstrap weight # 112 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
115	WTBS_113	Bootstrap weight # 113 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
116	WTBS_114	Bootstrap weight # 114 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
117	WTBS_115	Bootstrap weight # 115 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
118	WTBS_116	Bootstrap weight # 116 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
119	WTBS_117	Bootstrap weight # 117 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
120	WTBS_118	Bootstrap weight # 118 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
121	WTBS_119	Bootstrap weight # 119 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
122	WTBS_120	Bootstrap weight # 120 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
123	WTBS_121	Bootstrap weight # 121 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
124	WTBS_122	Bootstrap weight # 122 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
125	WTBS_123	Bootstrap weight # 123 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
126	WTBS_124	Bootstrap weight # 124 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
127	WTBS_125	Bootstrap weight # 125 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
128	WTBS_126	Bootstrap weight # 126 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
129	WTBS_127	Bootstrap weight # 127 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
130	WTBS_128	Bootstrap weight # 128 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
131	WTBS_129	Bootstrap weight # 129 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
132	WTBS_130	Bootstrap weight # 130 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
133	WTBS_131	Bootstrap weight # 131 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
134	WTBS_132	Bootstrap weight # 132 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
135	WTBS_133	Bootstrap weight # 133 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
136	WTBS_134	Bootstrap weight # 134 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
137	WTBS_135	Bootstrap weight # 135 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
138	WTBS_136	Bootstrap weight # 136 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
139	WTBS_137	Bootstrap weight # 137 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
140	WTBS_138	Bootstrap weight # 138 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
141	WTBS_139	Bootstrap weight # 139 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
142	WTBS_140	Bootstrap weight # 140 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
143	WTBS_141	Bootstrap weight # 141 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
144	WTBS_142	Bootstrap weight # 142 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
145	WTBS_143	Bootstrap weight # 143 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
146	WTBS_144	Bootstrap weight # 144 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
147	WTBS_145	Bootstrap weight # 145 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
148	WTBS_146	Bootstrap weight # 146 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
149	WTBS_147	Bootstrap weight # 147 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
150	WTBS_148	Bootstrap weight # 148 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
151	WTBS_149	Bootstrap weight # 149 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
152	WTBS_150	Bootstrap weight # 150 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
153	WTBS_151	Bootstrap weight # 151 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
154	WTBS_152	Bootstrap weight # 152 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
155	WTBS_153	Bootstrap weight # 153 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
156	WTBS_154	Bootstrap weight # 154 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
157	WTBS_155	Bootstrap weight # 155 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
158	WTBS_156	Bootstrap weight # 156 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
159	WTBS_157	Bootstrap weight # 157 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
160	WTBS_158	Bootstrap weight # 158 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
161	WTBS_159	Bootstrap weight # 159 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
162	WTBS_160	Bootstrap weight # 160 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
163	WTBS_161	Bootstrap weight # 161 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
164	WTBS_162	Bootstrap weight # 162 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
165	WTBS_163	Bootstrap weight # 163 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
166	WTBS_164	Bootstrap weight # 164 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
167	WTBS_165	Bootstrap weight # 165 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
168	WTBS_166	Bootstrap weight # 166 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
169	WTBS_167	Bootstrap weight # 167 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
170	WTBS_168	Bootstrap weight # 168 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
171	WTBS_169	Bootstrap weight # 169 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
172	WTBS_170	Bootstrap weight # 170 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
173	WTBS_171	Bootstrap weight # 171 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
174	WTBS_172	Bootstrap weight # 172 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
175	WTBS_173	Bootstrap weight # 173 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
176	WTBS_174	Bootstrap weight # 174 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
177	WTBS_175	Bootstrap weight # 175 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
178	WTBS_176	Bootstrap weight # 176 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
179	WTBS_177	Bootstrap weight # 177 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
180	WTBS_178	Bootstrap weight # 178 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
181	WTBS_179	Bootstrap weight # 179 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
182	WTBS_180	Bootstrap weight # 180 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
183	WTBS_181	Bootstrap weight # 181 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
184	WTBS_182	Bootstrap weight # 182 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
185	WTBS_183	Bootstrap weight # 183 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
186	WTBS_184	Bootstrap weight # 184 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
187	WTBS_185	Bootstrap weight # 185 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
188	WTBS_186	Bootstrap weight # 186 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
189	WTBS_187	Bootstrap weight # 187 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
190	WTBS_188	Bootstrap weight # 188 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
191	WTBS_189	Bootstrap weight # 189 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
192	WTBS_190	Bootstrap weight # 190 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
193	WTBS_191	Bootstrap weight # 191 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
194	WTBS_192	Bootstrap weight # 192 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
195	WTBS_193	Bootstrap weight # 193 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
196	WTBS_194	Bootstrap weight # 194 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
197	WTBS_195	Bootstrap weight # 195 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
198	WTBS_196	Bootstrap weight # 196 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
199	WTBS_197	Bootstrap weight # 197 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
200	WTBS_198	Bootstrap weight # 198 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
201	WTBS_199	Bootstrap weight # 199 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-
202	WTBS_200	Bootstrap weight # 200 for personal weight.	continuous	numeric-11.4	23766	0	-

Group Justice System

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q310	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of providing justice quickly?	discrete	numeric-1.0	20960	2806	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of providing justice quickly?
2	PHR_Q320	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping the victim?	discrete	numeric-1.0	20025	3741	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping the victim?
3	PHR_Q330	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of determining whether the accused or the person charged is guilty or not?	discrete	numeric-1.0	20021	3745	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of determining whether the accused or the person charged is guilty or not?
4	PHR_Q340	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of ensuring a fair trial for the accused?	discrete	numeric-1.0	20666	3100	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of ensuring a fair trial for the accused?
5	PHR_Q400	In general, would you say that sentences handed down by the courts are too severe	discrete	numeric-1.0	21587	2179	In general, would you say that sentences handed down by the courts are too severe, about right or not severe enough?
6	PHR_Q410	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supervising and controlling prisoners while in prison?	discrete	numeric-1.0	18178	5588	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supervising and controlling prisoners while in prison?
7	PHR_Q420	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping prisoners become law-abiding citizens?	discrete	numeric-1.0	18042	5724	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping prisoners become law-abiding citizens?
8	PHR_Q510	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of releasing offenders who are not likely to commit another crime?	discrete	numeric-1.0	19861	3905	Do you think that the parole system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of releasing offenders who are not likely to commit another crime?
9	PHR_Q520	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supervising offenders on parole?	discrete	numeric-1.0	18811	4955	Do you think that the parole system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supervising offenders on parole?

Group Contact With Police

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q610	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a public information session?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23751	15	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a public information session?
2	PHR_Q620	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a traffic violation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23754	12	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a traffic violation?
3	PHR_Q630	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a victim of a crime?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23753	13	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a victim of a crime?
4	PHR_Q640	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a witness to a crime?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23751	15	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a witness to a crime?
5	PHR_Q650	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... by being arrested?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23750	16	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... by being arrested?
6	PHR_Q660	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for any other reason?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23750	16	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for any other reason?
7	PHR_Q660_1	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... Other, please specify	discrete	numeric-1.0	23750	16	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ...for an accident?

Group Feel Safer When

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	PHR_Q910	Do you routinely:... carry something to defend yourself or to alert other people?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23728	38	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... carry something to defend yourself or to alert other people?
2	PHR_Q920	Do you routinely:... lock the car doors for your personal safety when alone in a car?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23682	84	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... lock the car doors for your personal safety when alone in a car?
3	PHR_Q930	Do you routinely:... when alone and returning to a parked car, check the back seat for intruders before getting into the car?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23666	100	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... when alone and returning to a parked car, check the back seat for intruders before getting into the car?
4	PHR_Q940	Do you routinely:... plan your route with safety in mind?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23711	55	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... plan your route with safety in mind?
5	PHR_Q950	Do you routinely:... stay at home at night because you are afraid to go out alone?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23728	38	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... stay at home at night because you are afraid to go out alone?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	PHR_Q970	Is there anything else you do to increase your personal safety that I have not already mentioned?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23734	32	Is there anything else you do to increase your personal safety that I have not already mentioned?
7	PHR_Q970_1	Do you routinely: ... make yourself aware of your surroundings?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23734	32	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... make yourself aware of your surroundings?
8	PHR_Q970_2	Do you routinely: ... carry a cellular telephone?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23734	32	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... carry a cellular telephone?
9	PHR_Q970_3	Do you routinely: ... lock windows and doors at home?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23734	32	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... lock windows and doors at home?
10	PHR_Q970_4	Do you routinely: ... avoid certain people/places?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23734	32	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... avoid certain people/places?
11	PHR_Q990	In general, how satisfied are you with your personal safety from crime.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23714	52	In general, how satisfied are you with your personal safety from crime. Are you:

Group Financial Responsibility

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	EFX_Q149	In the past 5 years, have you paid or received child support	discrete	numeric-1.0	1428	22338	In the past 5 years, have you paid or received child support for this child (these children)?

Group Abuse, Violence, Or Sexual Assault

Subgroup(s) Any Injuries , Received Medical Attention , Incident Details , Obtain Compensation , Reported Incident(s) To Police , Spoke To Others , Affected You

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	EFX_Q210	He/She tried to limit your contact with family or friends.	discrete	numeric-1.0	4001	19765	He/She tried to limit your contact with family or friends.
2	EFX_Q220	He/She put you down or called you names to make you feel bad	discrete	numeric-1.0	3997	19769	He/She put you down or called you names to make you feel bad.
3	EFX_Q230	He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women.
4	EFX_Q240	He/She harmed, or threatened to harm, someone close to you.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3995	19771	He/She harmed, or threatened to harm, someone close to you.
5	EFX_Q250	He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.
6	EFX_Q260	He/She damaged or destroyed your possessions or property.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	He/She damaged or destroyed your possessions or property.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	EXEM	Emotional abuse(lifetime) by ex-spouse/partner.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3993	19773	Emotional abuse(lifetime) by ex-spouse/partner.
8	EFX_Q270	He/She prevented you from knowing about or having access to the family income	discrete	numeric-1.0	3990	19776	He/She prevented you from knowing about or having access to the family income, even if you asked.
9	EXEMFIN	Emotional or financial(lifetime) abuse by ex-spouse/partner.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3991	19775	Emotional or financial(lifetime) abuse by ex-spouse/partner.
10	PSX_Q110	During the past 5 years,: ... did your previous partner threaten to hit you with his/her fist or anything else that could have hurt you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3995	19771	During the past 5 years,: ... did your previous partner threaten to hit you with his/her fist or anything else that could have hurt you?
11	PSX_Q120	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she throw anything at you that could have hurt you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3992	19774	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she throw anything at you that could have hurt you?
12	PSX_Q130	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she push, grab, or shove you in a way that could have hurt you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3991	19775	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she push, grab, or shove you in a way that could have hurt you?
13	PSX_Q140	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she slap you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3992	19774	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she slap you?
14	PSX_Q150	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she kick you, bite you, or hit you with his/her fist?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3991	19775	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she kick you, bite you, or hit you with his/her fist?
15	PSX_Q160	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she hit you with something that could have hurt you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23526	240	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she hit you with something that could have hurt you?
16	PSX_Q170	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she beat you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23592	174	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she beat you?
17	PSX_Q180	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she choke you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3989	19777	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she choke you?
18	PSX_Q190	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she use or threaten to use a gun or knife on you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3989	19777	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she use or threaten to use a gun or knife on you?
19	PSX_Q200	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she force you into any unwanted sexual activity, by threatening you, holding you down, or hurting you in some way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	3986	19780	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she force you into any unwanted sexual activity, by threatening you, holding you down, or hurting you in some way?
20	EXABUSE	Physical or sexual violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner	discrete	numeric-1.0	3989	19777	Physical or sexual violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.
21	EXPHYSABUSE	Respondent has received physical abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.	discrete	numeric-1.0	3990	19776	Respondent has received physical abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.
22	EXSEXABUSE	Respondent has received sexual abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner	discrete	numeric-1.0	3986	19780	Respondent has received sexual abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.
23	EX5HIAST	Most serious type of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.	discrete	numeric-2.0	3989	19777	Most serious type of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
24	EX5NUMV	Number of incidents of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.	continuous	numeric-2.0	3973	19793	How many different times did these things happen during the past 5 years?
25	PSX_Q330	How many of these were in the past 12 months?	continuous	numeric-2.0	529	23237	How many of these were in the past 12 months?
26	NO_EXSEXAB	Number of incidents of unwanted sexual activities by ex-spouse/partner (in the past 12 months).	continuous	numeric-2.0	29	23737	Number of incidents of unwanted sexual activities by ex-spouse/partner (in the past 12 months).
27	PSX_Q410	Did the(any of the) violence happen while you were living together?	discrete	numeric-1.0	788	22978	Did the(any of the) violence happen while you were living together?
28	PSX_Q430	Did any of the violence happen after you split up?	discrete	numeric-1.0	604	23162	Did any of the violence happen after you split up?
29	PSX_Q435	How long were you separated when the violence occurred?	discrete	numeric-1.0	261	23505	How long were you separated when the violence occurred?
30	PSX_Q440	Do you think it increased after you split up	discrete	numeric-1.0	117	23649	Do you think it increased after you split up (in frequency or intensity)?
31	EXVIOL	Physical or sexual violence by ex-spouse/partner	discrete	numeric-1.0	3989	19777	Physical or sexual violence by ex-spouse/partner
32	XAR_Q110	During this (these) incident(s), were you (ever) physically in any way	discrete	numeric-1.0	790	22976	During this (these) incident(s), were you (ever) physically injured in any way, for example bruises, cuts, broken bones, etc.?
33	EX5MEDAT	Spousal Violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - received medical attention.	discrete	numeric-1.0	356	23410	Spousal Violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - received medical attention.
34	EX5RRPRT	Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	788	22978	Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by respondent.
35	EX5ORPRT	Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by some other way.	discrete	numeric-1.0	788	22978	Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by some other way.
36	XAR_Q265	How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?	discrete	numeric-2.0	279	23487	How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?

Group Any Injuries

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q111	What were your injuries? Were they: ... bruises?	discrete	numeric-1.0	357	23409	What were your injuries? Were they: ... bruises?
2	XAR_Q112	What were your injuries? Were they: ... cuts/scratches/burns, etc.?	discrete	numeric-1.0	356	23410	What were your injuries? Were they: ... cuts/scratches/burns, etc.?
3	XAR_Q113	What were your injuries? Were they: ... fractures?	discrete	numeric-1.0	357	23409	What were your injuries? Were they: ... fractures?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	XAR_Q114	What were your injuries? Were they: ... broken bones?	discrete	numeric-1.0	357	23409	What were your injuries? Were they: ... broken bones?
5	XAR_Q115	What were your injuries? Were they: ... miscarriage?	discrete	numeric-1.0	269	23497	What were your injuries? Were they: ... miscarriage?
6	XAR_Q116	What were your injuries? Were they: ... internal injuries?	discrete	numeric-1.0	356	23410	What were your injuries? Were they: ... internal injuries?
7	XAR_Q117	What were your injuries? Were they: ... any other type of injury?	discrete	numeric-1.0	357	23409	What were your injuries? Were they: ... any other type of injury?
8	XAR_Q120	Did any of these incidents in which you were injured happen in the past 12 months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	85	23681	Did any of these incidents in which you were injured happen in the past 12 months?

Group Received Medical Attention

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q130	During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention at a hospital as a result of the violence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	357	23409	During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention at a hospital as a result of the violence?
2	XAR_Q132	Did you stay in hospital overnight?	discrete	numeric-1.0	83	23683	Did you stay in hospital overnight?
3	XAR_Q135_C	For how many nights?	discrete	numeric-1.0	17	23749	For how many nights?
4	XAR_Q140	During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nurse for your injuries?	discrete	numeric-1.0	273	23493	During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nurse for your injuries?

Group Incident Details

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q150	did you, during the past 5 years, ever have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?	discrete	numeric-1.0	788	22978	As a result of the violence (and excluding any time you spent in the hospital), did you, during the past 5 years, ever have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?
2	XAR_Q155_C	For how many days?	discrete	numeric-1.0	115	23651	For how many days?
3	XAR_Q160	During the past 5 years, did you ever have to take time off from your everyday activities because of what happened to you?	discrete	numeric-1.0	786	22980	(Other than the time you spent in the hospital or at home in bed), during the past 5 years, did you ever have to take time off from your everyday activities because of what happened to you?
4	XAR_Q170	During (these) this incident(s) was your ex-partner drinking?	discrete	numeric-1.0	780	22986	During (these) this incident(s) was your ex-partner drinking?
5	XAR_Q180	During the past 5 years, was anyone (else) ever harmed or threatened during (these) this incident(s)?	discrete	numeric-1.0	784	22982	During the past 5 years, was anyone (else) ever harmed or threatened during (these) this incident(s)?
6	XAR_Q185	How many persons?	discrete	numeric-2.0	133	23633	How many persons?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	XAR_Q190	Were any of these people who were harmed or threatened under 15 years of age?	discrete	numeric-1.0	135	23631	Were any of these people who were harmed or threatened under 15 years of age?
8	XAR_Q195	How many persons?	discrete	numeric-2.0	65	23701	How many persons?
9	XAR_Q210	Did any of your children see or hear (any of these) this incident(s)?	discrete	numeric-1.0	784	22982	Did any of your children see or hear (any of these) this incident(s)?
10	TOTVIC	Number of victimizations in the past 12 months - excludes spousal/ex_spousal abuse	continuous	numeric-1.0	23766	0	Number of victimizations in the past 12 months - excludes spousal/ex_spousal abuse.

Group Obtain Compensation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q230	During the past 5 years, did you ever attempt to obtain compensation, through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program, because of the violence?	discrete	numeric-1.0	787	22979	During the past 5 years, did you ever attempt to obtain compensation, through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program, because of the violence?
2	XAR_Q235	Did you obtain any compensation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	37	23729	Did you obtain any compensation?

Group Reported Incident(s) To Police

Subgroup(s)		Why Did Not Contact Police					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q240	Did the police ever find out about the violence in any way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	788	22978	Did the police ever find out about the violence in any way?
2	XAR_Q250	Did they find out about it in the past 12 months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	288	23478	Did they find out about it in the past 12 months?
3	XAR_Q265	How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?	discrete	numeric-2.0	279	23487	How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?
4	XAR_Q310	Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... to stop the violence or receive protection?	discrete	numeric-1.0	220	23546	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... to stop the violence or receive protection?
5	XAR_Q320	Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... to arrest and punish your ex-partner?	discrete	numeric-1.0	219	23547	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... to arrest and punish your ex-partner?
6	XAR_Q330	Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?	discrete	numeric-1.0	219	23547	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	XAR_Q340	Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... on the recommendation of someone else?	discrete	numeric-1.0	221	23545	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... on the recommendation of someone else?
8	XAR_Q350A	What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the scene?	discrete	numeric-1.0	304	23462	What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the scene?
9	XAR_Q350B	What action did the police take? Did they: ... make a report and/or conduct an investigation?	discrete	numeric-1.0	301	23465	What action did the police take? Did they: ... make a report and/or conduct an investigation?
10	XAR_Q350C	What action did the police take? Did they:... give a warning to your ex-spouse/ex-partner?	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	What action did the police take? Did they: ... give a warning to your ex-spouse/ex-partner?
11	XAR_Q350D	What action did the police take? Did they: ... take your ex-spouse/ex-partner away?	discrete	numeric-1.0	303	23463	What action did the police take? Did they: ... take your ex-spouse/ex-partner away?
12	XAR_Q350EF	What action did the police take? Other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	304	23462	What action did the police take? Did they: ... take any other action?
13	XAR_Q360	How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took	discrete	numeric-1.0	299	23467	How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took? Were you:
14	XAR_Q370_C01	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Take the person out of the house	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Take the person out of the house
15	XAR_Q370_C02	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Charge/arrest the person	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Charge/arrest the person
16	XAR_Q370_C03	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Respond more quickly	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Respond more quickly
17	XAR_Q370_C04	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Refer/take you to a support service	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Refer/take you to a support service
18	XAR_Q370_C05	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Relocate you	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Relocate you
19	XAR_Q370_C06	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Take you to hospital.	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Take you to hospital.
20	XAR_Q370_C07	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Be more supportive/sympathetic	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Be more supportive/sympathetic
21	XAR_Q370_C08	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Other
22	XAR_Q370_C09	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - No/nothing	discrete	numeric-1.0	300	23466	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? No/nothing

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
23	XAR_Q380	After the police were involved, did your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour towards you:	discrete	numeric-1.0	243	23523	After the police were involved, did your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour towards you:

Group Why Did Not Contact Police

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q410	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	480	23286	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way?
2	XAR_Q420	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because of fear of your ex-partner?	discrete	numeric-1.0	481	23285	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of your ex-partner?
3	XAR_Q430	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because the police couldn't do anything about it?	discrete	numeric-1.0	480	23286	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police couldn't do anything about it?
4	XAR_Q440	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because the police wouldn't help?	discrete	numeric-1.0	479	23287	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police wouldn't help?
5	XAR_Q450	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because you didn't want to get involved with police?	discrete	numeric-1.0	480	23286	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want to get involved with police?
6	XAR_Q460	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because you didn't want your ex-partner arrested or jailed?	discrete	numeric-1.0	481	23285	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want your ex-partner arrested or jailed?
7	XAR_Q470	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?	discrete	numeric-1.0	480	23286	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?
8	XAR_Q480	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?	discrete	numeric-1.0	481	23285	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?
9	XAR_Q490	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because of fear of publicity/news coverage?	discrete	numeric-1.0	481	23285	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it:<br

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							/> ... because of fear of publicity/news coverage?
10	XAR_Q500	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it... because it was not important enough?	discrete	numeric-1.0	479	23287	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was not important enough?
11	XAR_Q510	Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?	discrete	numeric-1.0	481	23285	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?
12	EX_MAIN_REASON	Main reason respondent chose not to contact the police.	discrete	numeric-2.0	480	23286	What was the main reason?

Group Spoke To Others

Subgroup(s)		Did Not					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q530	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... family?	discrete	numeric-1.0	786	22980	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... family?
2	XAR_Q540	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... friend or neighbour?	discrete	numeric-1.0	785	22981	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... friend or neighbour?
3	XAR_Q550	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... co-worker?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	22983	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... co-worker?
4	XAR_Q560	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... doctor or nurse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	22983	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... doctor or nurse?
5	XAR_Q570	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... lawyer?	discrete	numeric-1.0	785	22981	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... lawyer?
6	XAR_Q580	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor?	discrete	numeric-1.0	785	22981	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor?
7	XAR_Q585	Did the police or anyone else provide you with information about services for victims in your community?	discrete	numeric-1.0	780	22986	Did the police or anyone else provide you with information about services for victims in your community?
8	XAR_Q610	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... crisis centre or crisis line?	discrete	numeric-1.0	785	22981	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... crisis centre or crisis line?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	XAR_Q615	Was this in the past 12 months? ... crisis centre or crisis line	discrete	numeric-1.0	118	23648	Was this in the past 12 months?
10	XAR_Q620	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... another counsellor or psychologist?	discrete	numeric-1.0	785	22981	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... another counsellor or psychologist?
11	XAR_Q625	Was this in the past 12 months? ... another counsellor or psychologist	discrete	numeric-1.0	279	23487	Was this in the past 12 months?
12	XAR_Q630	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... community centre or family centre?	discrete	numeric-1.0	784	22982	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... community centre or family centre?
13	XAR_Q635	Was this in the past 12 months? ... community centre or family centre	discrete	numeric-1.0	97	23669	Was this in the past 12 months?
14	XAR_Q640	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... shelter or transition house?	discrete	numeric-1.0	514	23252	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... shelter or transition house?
15	XAR_Q645	Was this in the past 12 months? ... shelter or transition house	discrete	numeric-1.0	73	23693	Was this in the past 12 months?
16	XAR_Q650	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... women's centre?	discrete	numeric-1.0	514	23252	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... women's centre?
17	XAR_Q655	Was this in the past 12 months? ... women's centre	discrete	numeric-1.0	53	23713	Was this in the past 12 months?
18	XAR_Q660	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... men's centre or men's support group?	discrete	numeric-1.0	270	23496	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... men's centre or men's support group?
19	XAR_Q665	Was this in the past 12 months? ... men's centre or men's support group	discrete	numeric-1.0	15	23751	Was this in the past 12 months?
20	XAR_Q670	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... seniors' centre?	discrete	numeric-1.0	8	23758	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... seniors' centre?
21	XAR_Q675	Was this in the past 12 months? ... seniors' centre	discrete	numeric-1.0	0	23766	Was this in the past 12 months?
22	XAR_Q680	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... victim services	discrete	numeric-1.0	784	22982	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... victim

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		or victim witness assistance programs?					services or victim witness assistance programs?
23	XAR_Q685	Was this in the past 12 months? ... victim services or victim witness assistance programs	discrete	numeric-1.0	56	23710	Was this in the past 12 months?

Group Affected You

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q220	During the past 5 years, did you ever fear that your life was in danger because of your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour?	discrete	numeric-1.0	787	22979	During the past 5 years, did you ever fear that your life was in danger because of your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour?
2	XAR_Q710	How interested would you have been(be) in participating in a program where the victim and the offender meet to discuss	discrete	numeric-1.0	772	22994	Thinking about the incident(s), how interested would you have been(be) in participating in a program where the victim and the offender meet to discuss an appropriate way the offender should be dealt with? Would you have been (be):
3	XAR_Q720_C01	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Angry	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Angry
4	XAR_Q720_C02	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Upset/confused/frustrated	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Upset/confused/frustrated
5	XAR_Q720_C03	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Not much	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Not much
6	XAR_Q720_C04	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Fearful	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Fearful
7	XAR_Q720_C05	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? More cautious/aware	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? More cautious/aware
8	XAR_Q720_C06	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Shock/disbelief	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Shock/disbelief
9	XAR_Q720_C07	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Hurt/disappointment	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Hurt/disappointment
10	XAR_Q720_C08	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Victimized	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Victimized
11	XAR_Q720_C09	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Sleeping problems	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Sleeping problems
12	XAR_Q720_C10	At the time of the incident(s), how did this	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Depression/anxiety attacks

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		experience affect you? Depression/anxiety attacks					
13	XAR_Q720_C11	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Ashamed/guilty	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Ashamed/guilty
14	XAR_Q720_C12	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Afraid for children	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Afraid for children
15	XAR_Q720_C13	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Annoyed	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Annoyed
16	XAR_Q720_C14	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Lowered self esteem	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Lowered self esteem
17	XAR_Q720_C15	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Increased self-reliance	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Increased self-reliance
18	XAR_Q720_C16	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Problems relating to men/women	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Problems relating to men/women
19	XAR_Q720_C17	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	768	22998	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Other

Group Reaction To Stalking

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	STK_AVODPL	Reaction to stalker - avoiding certain places or people.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2189	21577	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... avoiding certain places or people?
2	STK_OUTLES	Reaction to stalker - going out less than you used to.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2191	21575	Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... going out less than you used to?
3	STK_NOTALNE	Reaction to stalker - not going out alone.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2188	21578	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... not going out alone?
4	STK_UNLISTD	Reaction to stalker - getting an unlisted phone number, call display, call screening or call blocking	discrete	numeric-1.0	2189	21577	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... getting an unlisted phone number, call display, call screening or call blocking
5	STK_MOVNG	Reaction to stalker - moving.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2191	21575	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... moving?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	STK_OTHRACT	Reaction to stalker - some other way.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2189	21577	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... some other way?
7	STK_Q510	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - did you ever fear that your life was in danger from this person?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2154	21612	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever fear that your life was in danger from this person?

Group Help Sought

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	HLP_FAMILY	Help sought - family.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2191	21575	Help sought - family.
2	HLP_FRND	Help sought - friend or neighbour.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2188	21578	Help sought - friend or neighbour.
3	HLP_COWORK	Help sought - co-worker.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2187	21579	Help sought - co-worker.
4	HLP_DOCNURS	Help sought - doctor or nurse.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2188	21578	Help sought - doctor or nurse.
5	HLP_LAWYR	Help sought - lawyer.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2191	21575	Help sought - lawyer.
6	HLP_PRIEST	Help sought - minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor	discrete	numeric-1.0	2192	21574	Help sought - minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor.
7	HLP_PSYCH	Help sought - a counsellor or psychologist.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2192	21574	Help sought - a counsellor or psychologist.
8	STK_Q680_1	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... other?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2189	21577	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... other?
9	STK_Q680_2	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... employer?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2189	21577	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... employer?
10	HLP_HLPOTH	Help sought - other.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2189	21577	Help sought - other.
11	STK_Q690	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) was it ever reported to the police?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2184	21582	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) was it ever reported to the police?

Group Reason For Not Reporting

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	NP_PDEALT	Reason for not reporting to the police - because it was dealt with another way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1317	22449	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way?
2	NP_FEARPERP	Reason for not reporting to the police - because of fear of the perpetrator?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1316	22450	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
							to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of the perpetrator?
3	NP_PNOTHING	Reason for not reporting to the police - because the police couldn't do anything about it?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1308	22458	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police couldn't do anything about it?
4	NP_PNOHELP	Reason for not reporting to the police - because the police wouldn't help	discrete	numeric-1.0	1310	22456	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police wouldn't help?
5	NP_PNOINVL	Reason for not reporting to the police - because you didn't want to get involved with police?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1312	22454	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want to get involved with police?
6	NP_PNOAREST	Reason for not reporting to the police - because you didn't want the perpetrator arrested or jailed?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1306	22460	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want the perpetrator arrested or jailed?
7	NP_PERSNL	Reason for not reporting to the police - because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1305	22461	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?
8	NP_PFINDOUT	Reason for not reporting to the police - because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1309	22457	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?
9	NP_PUBLCTY	Reason for not reporting to the police - because of fear of publicity/news coverage?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1305	22461	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of publicity/news coverage?
10	NP_PNOTIMPOR	Reason for not reporting to the police - because it was not important enough?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1304	22462	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was not important enough?
11	NP_POLOOTHER	Reason for not reporting to the police - for some other reason, not already mentioned?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1308	22458	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?
12	STK_Q700	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?	discrete	numeric-1.0	859	22907	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
13	POLSTP	Reason for reporting to the police - to stop it from continuing	discrete	numeric-1.0	674	23092	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... to stop it from continuing?
14	POLPROTCT	Reason for reporting to the police - to receive protection.	discrete	numeric-1.0	673	23093	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... to receive protection?
15	POLPUNSH	Reason for reporting to the police - to arrest or punish the offender	discrete	numeric-1.0	671	23095	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... to arrest or punish the offender?
16	POLDUTY	Reason for reporting to the police - because you felt it was your duty to notify police	discrete	numeric-1.0	670	23096	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?
17	POLRECCOMEN	Reason for reporting to the police - on the recommendation of someone else	discrete	numeric-1.0	671	23095	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... on the recommendation of someone else?
18	STK_Q910	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) overall, how satisfied were you with the way the case was handled by the justice system?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2152	21614	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) overall, how satisfied were you with the way the case was handled by the justice system? Were you:

Group Spouse

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	ACMPRYR	During the past 12 months, what was your spouse's/partner's main activity?	discrete	numeric-2.0	12739	11027	During the past 12 months, was your spouse's/partner's main activity working at a paid job or business, looking for paid work, going to school, caring for children, household work, retired or something else?
2	MAP_Q20	Was he/she studying full-time or part-time?	discrete	numeric-1.0	217	23549	Was he/she studying full-time or part-time?
3	MAP_Q30	Did he/she have a job or was he/she self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	4500	19266	Did he/she have a job or was he/she self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	MAP_Q31	How many weeks did he/she work during the past 12 months?	continuous	numeric-2.0	9029	14737	How many weeks did he/she work during the past 12 months?
5	MAP_Q32	Was he/she working full-time or part-time?	discrete	numeric-1.0	9149	14617	Was he/she working full-time or part-time?
6	MAP_Q35_C	How many hours a week did he/she work?	continuous	numeric-2.0	8867	14899	How many hours a week did he/she work? (Include all jobs)
7	MAP_Q40	During the past 12 months, was he/she ever without a job and looking for work?	discrete	numeric-1.0	5440	18326	During the past 12 months, was he/she ever without a job and looking for work?

Group Dwelling

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	DWELC	Dwelling type of the respondent.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23374	392	In what type of dwelling are you now living? Is it a:
2	DWELLOWN	Dwelling owned by a member of the household?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23371	395	Is this dwelling owned by a member of this household?

Group Main Problems In Neighbourhood

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	SDQ_Q110	How much of a problem are: ... noisy neighbours or loud parties?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23242	524	How much of a problem are: ... noisy neighbours or loud parties?
2	SDQ_Q120	How much of a problem are: ... people hanging around on the streets?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23177	589	How much of a problem are: ... people hanging around on the streets?
3	SDQ_Q130	How much of a problem are: ... people sleeping on the streets or in other public places?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23175	591	How much of a problem are: ... people sleeping on the streets or in other public places?
4	SDQ_Q140	How much of a problem are: ... garbage or litter lying around?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23242	524	How much of a problem are: ... garbage or litter lying around?
5	SDQ_Q150	How much of a problem are: ... vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage to property or vehicles?	discrete	numeric-1.0	23146	620	How much of a problem are: ... vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage to property or vehicles?
6	SDQ_Q160	How much of a problem are: ... people being attacked or harassed because of their skin colour, ethnic origin or religion?	discrete	numeric-1.0	22758	1008	How much of a problem are: ... people being attacked or harassed because of their skin colour, ethnic origin or religion?
7	SDQ_Q170	How much of a problem are: ... people using or dealing drugs?	discrete	numeric-1.0	21876	1890	How much of a problem are: ... people using or dealing drugs?
8	SDQ_Q180	How much of a problem are: ... people being drunk or rowdy in public places?	discrete	numeric-1.0	22927	839	How much of a problem are: ... people being drunk or rowdy in public places?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	SDQ_Q190	How much of a problem are: ... prostitution?	discrete	numeric-1.0	22773	993	How much of a problem are: ... prostitution?

Group Medications Taken

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	MED_SLEEP	Medication of respondent - to help you sleep.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23211	555	During the past month, have you used medication or drugs, (prescription or over the counter) to: ... help you sleep?
2	MED_CALM	Medication of respondent - to help you calm down.	discrete	numeric-1.0	23204	562	During the past month, have you used medication or drugs, (prescription or over the counter) to: ... help you calm down?
3	MED_DEPRESS	Medication of respondent - to help you get out of depression	discrete	numeric-1.0	23194	572	During the past month, have you used medication or drugs, (prescription or over the counter) to: ... help you get out of depression?

Group Did Not

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	XAR_Q690_C01	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't know of any services	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Didn't know of any services
2	XAR_Q690_C02	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - None available	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? None available
3	XAR_Q690_C03	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Waiting list	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Waiting list
4	XAR_Q690_C04	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Too minor	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Too minor
5	XAR_Q690_C05	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Shame/embarrassment	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Shame/embarrassment
6	XAR_Q690_C06	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Wouldn't be believed	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Wouldn't be believed
7	XAR_Q690_C07	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Offender prevented me	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Offender prevented me
8	XAR_Q690_C08	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Distance	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Distance
9	XAR_Q690_C09	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Fear of losing financial support	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Fear of losing financial support

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	XAR_Q690_C10	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Fear of losing the children	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Fear of losing the children
11	XAR_Q690_C11	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't want relationship to end	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Didn't want relationship to end
12	XAR_Q690_C12	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't want/need help	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Didn't want/need help
13	XAR_Q690_C13	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	413	23353	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Other
14	XAR_Q710	How interested would you have been(be) in participating in a program where the victim and the offender meet to discuss	discrete	numeric-1.0	772	22994	Thinking about the incident(s), how interested would you have been(be) in participating in a program where the victim and the offender meet to discuss an appropriate way the offender should be dealt with? Would you have been (be):

Variables Description

Dataset contains 650 variable(s)

File : gssc18fm

RECID: Record identification.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-25065] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=12534.484 /-] [StdDev=7231.804 /-]
Literal question	Record identification.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

WGHT_PER: Person weight.

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.5572-6093.439] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.819 /-] [StdDev=718.333 /-]
Literal question	Person weight.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

WGHT_HSD: Household weight.

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.5768-2566.6576] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=543.237 /-] [StdDev=259.58 /-]
Literal question	Household weight.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

AGEGR5: Age group of the respondent - R5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Age group of the respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	15 to 17	891	1247667.8	4.8%
2	18 to 19	573	847218.5	3.3%
3	20 to 24	1501	2178780.0	8.4%
4	25 to 29	1827	2166069.3	8.4%
5	30 to 34	1982	2244198.3	8.7%
6	35 to 39	2287	2428041.7	9.4%
7	40 to 44	2572	2744488.2	10.6%
8	45 to 49	2255	2571602.0	9.9%
9	50 to 54	2069	2226205.6	8.6%
10	55 to 59	1961	1923825.9	7.4%
11	60 to 64	1590	1446021.1	5.6%
12	65 to 69	1270	1150352.1	4.4%
13	70 to 74	1129	1011272.6	3.9%
14	75 to 79	906	792806.7	3.1%
15	80 years and over	953	922094.3	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

AGEGR10: Age group of the respondent - R10

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

File : gssc18fm

AGEGR10: Age group of the respondent - R10

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Age group of the respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	15 to 24	2964	4272934.3	16.5%
2	25 to 34	3811	4413608.7	17.0%
3	35 to 44	4857	5169188.8	20.0%
4	45 to 54	4323	4797382.4	18.5%
5	55 to 64	3552	3368818.0	13.0%
6	65 to 74	2392	2151988.5	8.3%
7	75 years and over	1867	1726723.3	6.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SEX: Sex of respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Sex of respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	10600	12736866.8	49.2%
2	Female	13166	13163777.3	50.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MARSTAT: Marital status of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23662 / 25818133.335] [Invalid=104 / 82510.721]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Marital status of the respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Married	10898	13146894.8	50.9%
2	Living common-law	2103	2683199.6	10.4%
3	Widowed	2008	1357968.6	5.3%
4	Separated	881	626654.3	2.4%
5	Divorced	1667	1162557.6	4.5%
6	Single (Never married)	6105	6840858.5	26.5%
8	Not stated	79	66915.9	
9	Don't know	25	15594.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : gssc18fm

AGEPRGRDIF: Age difference between respondent and spouse/partner.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-15] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12704 / 15589630.134] [Invalid=11062 / 10311013.922]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Age difference between respondent and spouse/partner.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Respondent is 11 + years older	389	486458.8	3.1%
2	Respondent is 6 to 10 years older	1039	1357932.0	8.7%
3	Respondent is 5 years older	487	642248.3	4.1%
4	Respondent is 4 years older	607	763876.2	4.9%
5	Respondent is 3 years older	741	926005.5	5.9%
6	Respondent is 2 years older	961	1232202.6	7.9%
7	Respondent is 1 year older	1230	1498361.5	9.6%
8	Respondent and spouse/partner are same age	1420	1734110.8	11.1%
9	Respondent is 1 year younger	1302	1579607.0	10.1%
10	Respondent is 2 years younger	1044	1216862.4	7.8%
11	Respondent is 3 years younger	847	980183.4	6.3%
12	Respondent is 4 years younger	639	751160.3	4.8%
13	Respondent is 5 years younger	498	616799.1	4.0%
14	Respondent is 6 to 10 years younger	1111	1311805.2	8.4%
15	Respondent is 11 + years younger	389	492017.0	3.2%
97	Not asked - no spouse/partner in household	11062	10311013.9	
98	Not stated	0	0.0	
99	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRYPEC: Type of partner the respondent has within the household.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=*/97/98/99]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Type of partner the respondent has within the household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Respondent has no partner in the household	11062	10311013.9	39.8%
1	Respondent has a married partner in the household	10623	12920566.0	49.9%
2	Respondent has a common-law partner in the household	2081	2669064.2	10.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

AGECHRYC: Age of respondent's youngest single child living in the hous

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-25] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7617 / 9570378.652] [Invalid=16149 / 16330265.404]

File : gssc18fm

AGECHRYC: Age of respondent's youngest single child living in the hous

Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Age of respondent's youngest single child living in the household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix. This data element details the age of the respondent's youngest single child living in the household. It is capped to 25 years old. Includes birth, adopted and step-child(ren).

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
25	25 and over	527	766976.4	100.0%
97	Not asked	16149	16330265.4	
98	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CHRFLAG: Single child(ren) of the respondent living in the household.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Single child(ren) of the respondent living in the household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix. Includes the birth, adopted and step-child(ren) of any age.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	7617	9570378.7	37.0%
2	No	16149	16330265.4	63.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CHRINHSDC: Number of respondent's child(ren) living in the household(an

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Number of respondent's child(ren) living in the household(any age or marital status)
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix. This variable is derived with the sum of adopted child, step child and birth child.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	None	15986	16056696.2	62.0%
1	One	3433	4097845.7	15.8%
2	Two	3174	4139547.9	16.0%
3	Three	928	1254874.5	4.8%
4	Four or more	245	351679.8	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

CHH0014C: Number of children aged from 0 to 14 years living in the res

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Number of children aged from 0 to 14 years living in the respondent's household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

File : gssc18fm

CHH0014C: Number of children aged from 0 to 14 years living in the res

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	None	17236	18184987.8	70.2%
1	One child	3045	3715789.6	14.3%
2	Two children	2579	2973882.0	11.5%
3	Three or more children	906	1025984.6	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PARHSDC: Type of parents the respondent has within the household.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=* / 7]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2550 / 4274934.163] [Invalid=21216 / 21625709.894]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Type of parents the respondent has within the household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Two biological parents	1490	2826126.3	66.1%
2	Biological/adoptive parents or biological/adoptive/step-pare	218	347047.8	8.1%
3	Only one parent	842	1101760.0	25.8%
7	Not applicable - no parents in the household	21216	21625709.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LIVARR08: Living arrangement of respondent's household.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Living arrangement of respondent's household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix. Living arrangement of respondent and his/her parent(s) from respondent's perspective.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Under 25 years of age not living with parents	1064	1154500.1	4.5%
2	Under 25 years of age living with both parents	1413	2523724.0	9.7%
3	Under 25 years of age living with mother only	375	466046.1	1.8%
4	Under 25 years of age living with father only	112	128664.2	0.5%
5	25 years of age or older not living with parents	20152	20471209.8	79.0%
6	25 years of age or older living with both parents	296	651588.4	2.5%
7	25 years of age or older living with mother only	299	421527.4	1.6%
8	25 years of age or older living with father only	55	83384.1	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LIVARR12: Living arrangement of respondent's household.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.

File : gssc18fm

LIVARR12: Living arrangement of respondent's household.

Literal question	Living arrangement of respondent's household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Alone	5901	3422927.3	13.2%
2	Spouse only	6286	6735387.6	26.0%
3	Spouse and single child < 25 years of age	5781	7784271.6	30.1%
4	Spouse and single child 25 years of age or older	345	554856.0	2.1%
5	Spouse and non-single child(ren)	73	143261.6	0.6%
6	Spouse and other	219	371853.2	1.4%
7	No spouse and single child < 25 years of age	1309	1019130.7	3.9%
8	No spouse and single child 25 years of age or older	182	212120.3	0.8%
9	No spouse and non-single child(ren)	90	130307.6	0.5%
10	Living with 2 parents	1661	3066033.1	11.8%
11	Living with 1 parent	717	890060.9	3.4%
12	Other living arrangement	1202	1570434.1	6.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

HSDSIZEC: Household size of respondent.

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Household size of respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One household member	5901	3422927.3	13.2%
2	Two household members	8200	8553094.9	33.0%
3	Three household members	3954	5023232.6	19.4%
4	Four household members	3777	5526333.5	21.3%
5	Five household members	1364	2303205.5	8.9%
6	Six household members or more	570	1071850.1	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

FAMTYPE: Respondent's type of family structure.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16593 / 20806313.083] [Invalid=7173 / 5094330.974]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Respondent's type of family structure.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix. This variable was derived using the children listed on the household composition matrix only. In cases of multigenerational households where the respondent lived with a spouse or partner as well as a child and a grandchild, FAMTYPE was set to 1. In cases where the respondent had no children in the household and lived with only one parent, FAMTYPE was set to 5. An intact family refers to a now-married or common-law couple where all children (listed in the household composition matrix) have both biological or adoptive parents in the household. A step family refers to a now-married or common-law couple in which at least one of the children

File : gssc18fm

FAMTYPE: Respondent's type of family structure.

(listed in the household composition matrix) is in a step relationship with one of the parents. The respondent might be a parent or a child aged 15 or older in the family.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Couple only	6566	7230305.1	34.8%
2	Intact family	6933	10157600.7	48.8%
3	Step-family with common child	271	401988.4	1.9%
4	Step-family without a common child	597	869340.1	4.2%
5	Lone parent family	2226	2147078.7	10.3%
7	Not asked - no spouse/partner or children in household	7173	5094331.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MULTIGEN: Three-generation or more family in respondent's household.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Three-generation or more family in respondent's household.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, household composition matrix.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	423	787137.2	3.0%
2	No	23343	25113506.8	97.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PRV: Province of residence of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-59] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Province of residence of the respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived variable from question DOR_Q240.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	1111	440894.2	1.7%
11	Prince Edward Island	557	114914.4	0.4%
12	Nova Scotia	1343	778061.1	3.0%
13	New Brunswick	1335	621529.0	2.4%
24	Quebec	4646	6187869.5	23.9%
35	Ontario	6810	10012440.0	38.7%
46	Manitoba	2003	918091.7	3.5%
47	Saskatchewan	1368	787953.9	3.0%
48	Alberta	2186	2557692.8	9.9%
59	British Columbia	2407	3481197.6	13.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

REGION: Region of residence of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

File : gssc18fm

REGION: Region of residence of the respondent.

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Region of residence of the respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived variable from question DOR_Q240.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Atlantic region	4346	1955398.7	7.5%
2	Quebec	4646	6187869.5	23.9%
3	Ontario	6810	10012440.0	38.7%
4	Prairie region	5557	4263738.3	16.5%
5	British Columbia	2407	3481197.6	13.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LUC_RST: Urban/Rural indicator.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Urban/Rural indicator.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived variable from PRV and GEO_SAC_TYPE. For definitions of Census Metropolitan Area (CMA), Census Agglomeration (CA) and Rural and Small Town areas, refer to Statistics Canada (2005) Guide to the Labour Force Survey (Ottawa: Statistics Canada, Catalogue no. 71-543-GIE.) An electronic version is available at www.statcan.ca .

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Larger Urban Centres(CMA/CA)	17898	20684938.9	79.9%
2	Rural and Small Town(non-CMA/CA)	5311	5100790.7	19.7%
3	Prince-Edward-Island	557	114914.4	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q110: Compared to other areas in Canada, do you think your neighbourhood has a higher amount of crime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22973 / 25028990.74] [Invalid=793 / 871653.316]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Compared to other areas in Canada, do you think your neighbourhood has a higher amount of crime, about the same or a lower amount of crime?
Interviewer's instructions	Neighbourhood refers to the area surrounding your home.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Higher	1949	2239550.2	8.9%
2	About the same	6659	7485777.4	29.9%
3	Lower	14365	15303663.2	61.1%
8	Not stated	24	30722.3	
9	Don't know	769	840931.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q120: During the last 5 years, do you think that crime in your neighbourhood has increased

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22120 / 24037565.669] [Invalid=1646 / 1863078.388]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	During the last 5 years, do you think that crime in your neighbourhood has increased, decreased, or remained about the same?
Interviewer's instructions	If the respondent has just moved into the neighbourhood and has not lived there long enough to have an opinion, select ""don't know"".
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Increased	7008	7682652.2	32.0%
2	Decreased	1257	1455048.0	6.1%
3	About the same	13855	14899865.5	62.0%
8	Not stated	50	61300.2	
9	Don't know	1596	1801778.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q130: How safe do you feel from crime walking alone in your area after dark?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23730 / 25863928.663] [Invalid=36 / 36715.393]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	Now, I am going to ask you about some everyday situations, and I would like you to tell me how safe you feel from crime in each situation.
Literal question	How safe do you feel from crime walking alone in your area after dark? Do you feel:
Post-question	Does not walk alone Go to PHR_C150 Refusal Go to PHR_C150
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent cannot walk, ask if they would go out in a wheelchair. Read categories to respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	very safe?	8559	9433782.4	36.5%
2	reasonably safe?	9167	10381691.6	40.1%
3	somewhat unsafe?	2393	2625445.0	10.2%
4	very unsafe?	986	1039895.1	4.0%
5	does not walk alone	2625	2383114.5	9.2%
8	Not stated	7	6492.3	
9	Don't know	29	30223.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q140: How often do you walk alone in your area after dark?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21127 / 23500509.321] [Invalid=2639 / 2400134.735]
Universe	Respondents who answered PHR_Q130=1,2,3,4,9.
Literal question	How often do you walk alone in your area after dark? Is it:
Interviewer's instructions	Reference time period: usually. Read categories to respondent.

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q140: How often do you walk alone in your area after dark?

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...daily?	3540	4038489.1	17.2%
2	...at least once a week?	7388	8494356.8	36.1%
3	...at least once a month?	3576	3992501.2	17.0%
4	...less than once a month?	2944	3201147.2	13.6%
5	...never?	3679	3774015.0	16.1%
7	Not asked	2632	2389606.8	
8	Not stated	7	10527.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q150: If you felt safer from crime, would you walk alone in your area after dark

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=13537 / 14501391.14] [Invalid=10229 / 11399252.916]

Universe Respondents who answered (PHR_Q140=2-5 and PHR_Q130=2-5,8-9) or PHR_Q130=5.

Literal question If you felt safer from crime, would you walk alone in your area after dark (more often)?

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	5367	5871667.7	40.5%
2	No	8170	8629723.5	59.5%
7	Not asked	10076	11221379.5	
8	Not stated	7	13759.4	
9	Don't know	146	164114.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q155: Is there public transportation in your city or local community?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=23726 / 25857228.657] [Invalid=40 / 43415.399]

Universe All respondents.

Literal question Is there public transportation in your city or local community?

Post-question No Go to PHR_Q190

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	15866	18548732.8	71.7%
2	No	7860	7308495.8	28.3%
8	Not stated	6	7900.7	
9	Don't know	34	35514.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q160: While waiting for or using public transportation alone after dark, do you feel:

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=15870 / 18547794.966] [Invalid=7896 / 7352849.09]

Universe Respondents who answered PHR_Q155=1,8,9.

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q160: While waiting for or using public transportation alone after dark, do you feel:

Literal question	While waiting for or using public transportation alone after dark, do you feel:
Post-question	Does not use public transportation/night Go to PHR_C180 Refusal Go to PHR_C180
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very worried?	476	575090.4	3.1%
2	...somewhat worried?	2785	3432479.3	18.5%
3	...not at all worried about your safety from crime?	4121	5076055.7	27.4%
4	...does not use public transportation/night	8488	9464169.6	51.0%
7	Not asked	7860	7308495.8	
8	Not stated	9	15175.0	
9	Don't know	27	29178.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q170: How often do you use public transportation alone after dark?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7403 / 9106773.772] [Invalid=16363 / 16793870.284]
Universe	Respondents who answered PHR_Q160=1,2,3,9.
Literal question	How often do you use public transportation alone after dark? is it:
Post-question	Reference time period: usually. Read categories to respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...daily?	709	959414.1	10.5%
2	...at least once a week?	1345	1726933.1	19.0%
3	...at least once a month?	1255	1576563.3	17.3%
4	...less than once a month?	1824	2178946.6	23.9%
5	...never?	2270	2664916.6	29.3%
7	Not asked	16357	16787840.4	
8	Not stated	6	6029.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q180: If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transport

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=11344 / 12960979.126] [Invalid=12422 / 12939664.93]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transportation alone after dark (more often)?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3120	3716861.9	28.7%
2	No	8224	9244117.2	71.3%
7	Not asked	12282	12782301.6	
8	Not stated	11	13045.3	

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q180: If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transport

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	129	144318.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q190: If you felt safer from crime, would you use public transportation alone after dark

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23735 / 25864683.015] [Invalid=31 / 35961.041]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	When alone in your home in the evening or at night, do you feel:
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very worried?	388	430676.3	1.7%
2	...somewhat worried?	4235	4665348.0	18.0%
3	...not at all worried about your safety from crime?	18964	20591068.6	79.6%
4	...never alone	148	177590.1	0.7%
8	Not stated	6	9676.2	
9	Don't know	25	26284.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q201: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: work nights, attend night classes, go to meetings or do volunteer work?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23189 / 25278395.799] [Invalid=577 / 622248.257]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	The following series of questions refer to evening activities only.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: work nights, attend night classes, go to meetings or do volunteer work?
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	8315	8722218.4	34.5%
1		1129	1209591.7	4.8%
2		1424	1558483.5	6.2%
3		816	879985.8	3.5%
4		2231	2430773.7	9.6%
5		754	815258.6	3.2%
6		483	499078.0	2.0%
7		177	195405.5	0.8%
8		1772	2001006.0	7.9%
9		66	66597.8	0.3%
10		874	978105.3	3.9%
11		31	35679.7	0.1%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q201: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... work nights, attend night classes, go to meetings or do volunteer work?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
12		1488	1634227.4	6.5%
13		18	22741.7	0.1%
14		143	179559.5	0.7%
15		541	627287.0	2.5%
16		632	680969.5	2.7%
17		28	28199.5	0.1%
18		56	65814.9	0.3%
19		2	3234.7	0.0%
20		1412	1709731.4	6.8%
21		31	39977.2	0.2%
22		43	51703.9	0.2%
23		7	9186.8	0.0%
24		151	183569.8	0.7%
25		139	162793.0	0.6%
26		7	9343.4	0.0%
27		8	5351.6	0.0%
28		49	51179.6	0.2%
29		4	5542.9	0.0%
30		338	382551.3	1.5%
31		20	33246.5	0.1%
95	Less than once a month	526	558684.3	
98	Not stated	51	63563.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q202: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to restaurants, movies or the theatre?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22312 / 24409049.039] [Invalid=1454 / 1491595.018]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go to restaurants, movies or the theatre?
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	4522	4302293.4	17.6%
1		3147	3545202.4	14.5%
2		3636	3941385.0	16.1%
3		1639	1801379.2	7.4%
4		3930	4478268.2	18.3%
5		1175	1388956.1	5.7%
6		653	729034.7	3.0%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q202: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to restaurants, movies or the theatre?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7		193	240171.5	1.0%
8		1410	1652571.7	6.8%
9		44	48309.2	0.2%
10		736	890707.6	3.6%
11		6	4994.6	0.0%
12		526	591102.3	2.4%
13		14	17443.6	0.1%
14		26	32761.9	0.1%
15		207	238580.2	1.0%
16		107	121454.5	0.5%
17		3	3238.1	0.0%
18		16	20209.5	0.1%
19		1	223.7	0.0%
20		191	212031.0	0.9%
21		5	4030.0	0.0%
22		3	8257.4	0.0%
24		10	11040.3	0.0%
25		32	36869.3	0.2%
26		1	463.4	0.0%
27		1	515.1	0.0%
28		5	6527.0	0.0%
29		1	448.2	0.0%
30		70	78032.8	0.3%
31		2	2546.9	0.0%
95	Less than once a month	1417	1449949.3	
98	Not stated	37	41645.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q203: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to bars or pubs? (include comedy clubs)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22280 / 24330886.102] [Invalid=1486 / 1569757.954]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go to bars or pubs? (include comedy clubs)
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	15538	16476688.4	67.7%
1		2144	2430859.8	10.0%
2		1372	1524512.5	6.3%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q203: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to bars or pubs? (include comedy clubs)

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3		423	495113.0	2.0%
4		1368	1581196.3	6.5%
5		324	396629.4	1.6%
6		159	182761.8	0.8%
7		46	54595.2	0.2%
8		397	517210.7	2.1%
9		9	8629.6	0.0%
10		180	247368.7	1.0%
11		4	5504.1	0.0%
12		127	163074.0	0.7%
13		2	2167.2	0.0%
14		6	6837.7	0.0%
15		68	91284.9	0.4%
16		17	32181.9	0.1%
17		5	8957.1	0.0%
19		1	561.1	0.0%
20		48	61719.2	0.3%
21		2	1690.2	0.0%
23		1	656.0	0.0%
24		5	5660.8	0.0%
25		6	5099.2	0.0%
27		1	231.3	0.0%
28		2	3892.9	0.0%
29		1	905.9	0.0%
30		20	21037.3	0.1%
31		4	3859.8	0.0%
95	Less than once a month	1454	1533662.2	
98	Not stated	32	36095.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q204: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go out for sports, exercise or recreational activities?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22875 / 24933611.712] [Invalid=891 / 967032.344]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go out for sports, exercise or recreational activities? (as participant or spectator)
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	9244	9588429.0	38.5%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q204: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go out for sports, exercise or recreational activities?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1		1454	1642189.7	6.6%
2		1633	1795334.3	7.2%
3		633	702697.6	2.8%
4		2552	2941055.7	11.8%
5		623	721108.5	2.9%
6		430	477122.1	1.9%
7		110	143792.5	0.6%
8		1695	1888893.3	7.6%
9		49	50672.8	0.2%
10		629	709674.1	2.8%
11		21	23558.9	0.1%
12		1213	1350299.8	5.4%
13		10	9903.9	0.0%
14		54	72642.1	0.3%
15		349	410863.5	1.6%
16		417	448113.2	1.8%
17		7	7359.4	0.0%
18		33	31849.9	0.1%
20		724	811238.8	3.3%
21		9	11447.2	0.0%
22		7	7671.3	0.0%
23		6	8137.6	0.0%
24		92	100671.9	0.4%
25		122	126215.1	0.5%
26		7	6597.9	0.0%
27		6	8285.3	0.0%
28		78	87893.9	0.4%
29		3	3436.5	0.0%
30		629	700038.5	2.8%
31		36	46417.7	0.2%
95	Less than once a month	850	918390.2	
98	Not stated	41	48642.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q205: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... shop?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22584 / 24661396.573] [Invalid=1182 / 1239247.484]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... shop? (include window shopping)
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q205: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... shop?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	8889	9231523.5	37.4%
1		1978	2263034.6	9.2%
2		2589	2890857.6	11.7%
3		929	1044400.2	4.2%
4		3761	4192748.0	17.0%
5		847	980897.2	4.0%
6		460	490457.0	2.0%
7		109	117437.5	0.5%
8		1294	1472387.7	6.0%
9		39	39629.5	0.2%
10		573	658259.3	2.7%
11		4	4811.9	0.0%
12		480	548452.3	2.2%
13		8	7921.1	0.0%
14		17	23266.5	0.1%
15		197	233545.9	0.9%
16		82	92929.0	0.4%
17		3	3721.2	0.0%
18		6	4686.1	0.0%
20		183	205853.5	0.8%
22		1	199.0	0.0%
23		3	4015.8	0.0%
24		6	9937.1	0.0%
25		28	27651.9	0.1%
27		1	515.1	0.0%
28		9	13775.9	0.1%
29		1	2102.3	0.0%
30		78	84226.8	0.3%
31		9	12153.2	0.0%
95	Less than once a month	1136	1189674.3	
98	Not stated	46	49573.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q206: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... visit relatives or friends in their homes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22628 / 24692105.269] [Invalid=1138 / 1208538.787]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... visit relatives or friends in their homes?
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q206: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to... visit relatives or friends in their homes?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	4128	4215443.1	17.1%
1		2226	2612953.9	10.6%
2		3361	3738796.8	15.1%
3		1431	1584277.0	6.4%
4		4335	4801447.6	19.4%
5		1189	1338143.8	5.4%
6		733	744341.6	3.0%
7		169	203867.1	0.8%
8		1759	1843708.2	7.5%
9		47	52140.7	0.2%
10		990	1126813.0	4.6%
11		6	4726.3	0.0%
12		734	773393.3	3.1%
13		19	26323.6	0.1%
14		39	47157.3	0.2%
15		350	400628.6	1.6%
16		174	189839.6	0.8%
17		4	5069.8	0.0%
18		15	19043.4	0.1%
20		425	459147.9	1.9%
21		1	211.7	0.0%
22		11	5453.2	0.0%
23		3	3796.4	0.0%
24		18	17794.3	0.1%
25		86	96159.8	0.4%
26		2	1398.6	0.0%
27		2	2970.8	0.0%
28		28	32310.6	0.1%
29		1	2795.2	0.0%
30		321	317453.8	1.3%
31		21	24498.6	0.1%
95	Less than once a month	1077	1145323.0	
98	Not stated	61	63215.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q207: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to... go to casinos or bingos?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-30] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22700 / 24602975.29] [Invalid=1066 / 1297668.767]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to: ... go to casinos or bingos?
Interviewer's instructions	

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q207: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... go to casinos or bingos?

If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	21001	22770600.0	92.6%
1		703	858569.2	3.5%
2		313	324347.4	1.3%
3		87	110095.4	0.4%
4		363	313872.2	1.3%
5		36	46244.9	0.2%
6		24	21053.6	0.1%
7		1	518.8	0.0%
8		92	79771.3	0.3%
10		17	19559.4	0.1%
11		2	1530.6	0.0%
12		28	24877.1	0.1%
15		3	3406.4	0.0%
16		13	10687.6	0.0%
18		1	492.0	0.0%
19		1	873.8	0.0%
20		7	9396.5	0.0%
22		1	194.3	0.0%
30		7	6884.9	0.0%
95	Less than once a month	1031	1255886.5	
98	Not stated	35	41782.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q208: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:... do other evening activities not already mentioned?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=23505 / 25614008.718] [Invalid=261 / 286635.338]

Universe All respondents.

Literal question On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to:
 ... do other evening activities not already mentioned?

Interviewer's instructions If respondent states ""Less than once a month"" enter (95). If the respondent says ""Never"" enter (0). If the respondent answers in weeks, multiply by four to convert that amount into times per month.

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Never	20739	22448818.1	87.6%
1		465	547011.0	2.1%
2		593	676240.9	2.6%
3		217	255052.5	1.0%
4		589	639906.6	2.5%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q208: On average, how many times a month do you go out during the evening to... do other evening activities not already mentioned?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
5		180	214615.7	0.8%
6		64	73190.2	0.3%
7		22	27043.3	0.1%
8		208	229356.4	0.9%
9		2	1445.9	0.0%
10		106	127184.7	0.5%
11		1	769.6	0.0%
12		84	92881.3	0.4%
13		1	508.1	0.0%
14		10	12167.4	0.0%
15		45	48434.3	0.2%
16		21	25742.5	0.1%
18		1	652.3	0.0%
20		61	73310.4	0.3%
22		1	2925.4	0.0%
24		1	2464.4	0.0%
25		16	20918.7	0.1%
28		6	6865.6	0.0%
30		66	77960.2	0.3%
31		6	8543.3	0.0%
95	Less than once a month	223	241732.4	
98	Not stated	38	44903.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

NUMEVACT_C: Average number of evening activities respondent goes out for in a month.

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-62] [Missing=*/98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Average number of evening activities respondent goes out for in a month.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PHR_Q201 to PHR_Q208.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
62	62 and more	1081	1294809.0	100.0%
98	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q210: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job... of enforcing the laws?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22833 / 24855648.777] [Invalid=933 / 1044995.279]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	The next questions deal with your perceptions about the work that is being carried out by your local police force.
Literal question	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of enforcing the laws?

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q210: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of enforcing the laws?

Interviewer's instructions "Local police force" refers to the police responsible for your municipality. Exclude security guards, fire marshals and all others who have no authority to make arrests.

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	13919	15333608.6	61.7%
2	Average job	7524	8029792.6	32.3%
3	Poor job	1390	1492247.6	6.0%
8	Not stated	32	44695.9	
9	Don't know	901	1000299.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q220: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of promptly responding to calls?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=19631 / 21352586.36] [Invalid=4135 / 4548057.696]

Universe All respondents.

Literal question Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:
 ... of promptly responding to calls?

Interviewer's instructions "Local police force" refers to the police responsible for your municipality. Exclude security guards, fire marshals and all others who have no authority to make arrests.

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	12171	13358350.6	62.6%
2	Average job	5547	5967531.0	27.9%
3	Poor job	1913	2026704.7	9.5%
8	Not stated	81	105889.2	
9	Don't know	4054	4442168.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q230: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: of being approachable and easy to talk to?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=21330 / 23153018.372] [Invalid=2436 / 2747625.684]

Universe All respondents.

Literal question Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:
 ... of being approachable and easy to talk to?

Interviewer's instructions "Local police force" refers to the police responsible for your municipality. Exclude security guards, fire marshals and all others who have no authority to make arrests.

Notes Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	15835	16942753.6	73.2%
2	Average job	4432	4972104.6	21.5%
3	Poor job	1063	1238160.2	5.3%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q230: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: of being approachable and easy to talk to?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	72	97598.9	
9	Don't know	2364	2650026.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q240: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of supplying information to the public on ways to reduce crime?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21253 / 23153000.111] [Invalid=2513 / 2747643.945]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supplying information to the public on ways to reduce crime?
Interviewer's instructions	"Local police force" refers to the police responsible for your municipality. Exclude security guards, fire marshals and all others who have no authority to make arrests.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	12278	13077840.6	56.5%
2	Average job	6489	7227348.0	31.2%
3	Poor job	2486	2847811.6	12.3%
8	Not stated	62	84552.0	
9	Don't know	2451	2663091.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q250: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of ensuring the safety of the citizens in your area?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22433 / 24475723.41] [Invalid=1333 / 1424920.646]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of ensuring the safety of the citizens in your area?
Interviewer's instructions	"Local police force" refers to the police responsible for your municipality. Exclude security guards, fire marshals and all others who have no authority to make arrests.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	14490	15911701.4	65.0%
2	Average job	6670	7236076.3	29.6%
3	Poor job	1273	1327945.7	5.4%
8	Not stated	45	60535.6	
9	Don't know	1288	1364385.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q260: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of treating people fairly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q260: Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of treating people fairly?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21449 / 23384087.246] [Invalid=2317 / 2516556.811]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think your local police force does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of treating people fairly?
Interviewer's instructions	"Local police force" refers to the police responsible for your municipality. Exclude security guards, fire marshals and all others who have no authority to make arrests.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	14079	15300339.8	65.4%
2	Average job	5842	6385619.6	27.3%
3	Poor job	1528	1698127.8	7.3%
8	Not stated	78	101520.6	
9	Don't know	2239	2415036.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q310: Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of providing justice quickly?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20960 / 22930623.999] [Invalid=2806 / 2970020.057]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you a similar question about the Canadian Criminal courts.
Literal question	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of providing justice quickly?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	3394	3867809.9	16.9%
2	Average job	8768	9680619.3	42.2%
3	Poor job	8798	9382194.7	40.9%
8	Not stated	56	74819.2	
9	Don't know	2750	2895200.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q320: Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping the victim?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20025 / 21896583.676] [Invalid=3741 / 4004060.381]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you a similar question about the Canadian Criminal courts.
Literal question	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping the victim?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	4493	5152207.6	23.5%
2	Average job	8753	9616473.6	43.9%

File : gssc18fm

PHR_Q320: Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping the victim?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
3	Poor job	6779	7127902.4	32.6%
8	Not stated	68	86715.4	
9	Don't know	3673	3917345.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q330: Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of determining whether the accused or the person charged is guilty or not?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20021 / 21858070.262] [Invalid=3745 / 4042573.794]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you a similar question about the Canadian Criminal courts.
Literal question	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of determining whether the accused or the person charged is guilty or not?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	6115	6932595.3	31.7%
2	Average job	10140	11006713.7	50.4%
3	Poor job	3766	3918761.3	17.9%
8	Not stated	76	93601.2	
9	Don't know	3669	3948972.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q340: Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of ensuring a fair trial for the accused?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20666 / 22586608.958] [Invalid=3100 / 3314035.098]
Universe	All respondents.
Pre-question	Now I would like to ask you a similar question about the Canadian Criminal courts.
Literal question	Are the Canadian Criminal courts doing a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of ensuring a fair trial for the accused?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	10181	11445768.3	50.7%
2	Average job	8486	9101489.9	40.3%
3	Poor job	1999	2039350.8	9.0%
8	Not stated	64	81361.3	
9	Don't know	3036	3232673.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q400: In general, would you say that sentences handed down by the courts are too severe

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21587 / 23553301.37] [Invalid=2179 / 2347342.686]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In general, would you say that sentences handed down by the courts are too severe, about right or not severe enough?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Too severe	277	307691.5	1.3%
2	About right	6285	7054298.2	30.0%
3	Not severe enough	15025	16191311.7	68.7%
8	Not stated	71	85477.7	
9	Don't know	2108	2261865.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q405: Have you ever had contact with the Canadian Criminal courts?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23737 / 25871867.917] [Invalid=29 / 28776.139]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Have you ever had contact with the Canadian Criminal courts?
Interviewer's instructions	Exclude family and traffic courts. Contact with a criminal court may have been for any reason. Respondents may have had contact with a criminal court because they, their friends, or family members were charged with a crime, were witnesses to a crime or were victims of a crime. Contact could also be in the form of jury duty.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	5245	5654770.7	21.9%
2	No	18492	20217097.2	78.1%
8	Not stated	29	28776.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q410: Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of supervising and controlling prisoners while in prison?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18178 / 19896641.832] [Invalid=5588 / 6004002.224]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supervising and controlling prisoners while in prison?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	7076	7966583.5	40.0%
2	Average job	7762	8296713.9	41.7%
3	Poor job	3340	3633344.4	18.3%
4	Don't know	0	0.0	
5	Not stated	0	0.0	
8		97	116037.7	
9		5491	5887964.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q420: Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of helping prisoners become law-abiding citizens?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18042 / 19747870.062] [Invalid=5724 / 6152773.995]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of helping prisoners become law-abiding citizens?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	4172	4680189.1	23.7%
2	Average job	8353	9165690.3	46.4%
3	Poor job	5517	5901990.6	29.9%
8	Not stated	112	135038.0	
9	Don't know	5612	6017736.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q510: Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of releasing offenders who are not likely to commit another crime?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=19861 / 21777854.102] [Invalid=3905 / 4122789.955]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think that the parole system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of releasing offenders who are not likely to commit another crime?
Interviewer's instructions	The responsibility of the parole system is to decide which prison inmates can serve part of their sentence in the community under supervision and to make sure the conditions of parole are being met. If offenders don't meet parole conditions they can be returned to prison.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
-------	-------	-------	----------	-----------------------

PHR_Q510: Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of releasing offenders who are not likely to commit another crime?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	3816	4290782.9	19.7%
2	Average job	8770	9509699.2	43.7%
3	Poor job	7275	7977372.0	36.6%
8	Not stated	91	103781.0	
9	Don't know	3814	4019009.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q520: Do you think that the prison system does a good job, an average job or a poor job:... of supervising offenders on parole?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18811 / 20704394.972] [Invalid=4955 / 5196249.084]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Do you think that the parole system does a good job, an average job or a poor job: ... of supervising offenders on parole?
Interviewer's instructions	The responsibility of the parole system is to decide which prison inmates can serve part of their sentence in the community under supervision and to make sure the conditions of parole are being met. If offenders don't meet parole conditions they can be returned to prison.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Good job	3363	3776486.7	18.2%
2	Average job	7994	8655282.1	41.8%
3	Poor job	7454	8272626.1	40.0%
8	Not stated	95	115540.4	
9	Don't know	4860	5080708.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q610: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a public information session?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23751 / 25879870.381] [Invalid=15 / 20773.675]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a public information session?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2124	2359690.9	9.1%
2	No	21627	23520179.5	90.9%
8	Not stated	15	20773.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

PHR_Q620: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a traffic violation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23754 / 25884334.827] [Invalid=12 / 16309.229]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a traffic violation?

# PHR_Q620: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for a traffic violation?				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2784	3418375.6	13.2%
2	No	20970	22465959.2	86.8%
8	Not stated	12	16309.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q630: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a victim of a crime?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23753 / 25883530.476] [Invalid=13 / 17113.58]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a victim of a crime?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1725	1818575.0	7.0%
2	No	22028	24064955.5	93.0%
8	Not stated	13	17113.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q640: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a witness to a crime?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23751 / 25880689.671] [Invalid=15 / 19954.386]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... as a witness to a crime?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1416	1623385.6	6.3%
2	No	22335	24257304.1	93.7%
8	Not stated	15	19954.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q650: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... by being arrested?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23750 / 25881133.953] [Invalid=16 / 19510.103]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... by being arrested?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	230	269818.2	1.0%
2	No	23520	25611315.8	99.0%
8	Not stated	16	19510.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q660: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for any other reason?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# PHR_Q660: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for any other reason?				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23750 / 25880751.953] [Invalid=16 / 19892.103]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... for any other reason?			
Post-question	No Go to PHR_Q810 Refusal Go to PHR_Q810			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2579	2768146.6	10.7%
2	No	21171	23112605.3	89.3%
8	Not stated	16	19892.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q660_1: During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ... Other, please specify				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23750 / 25880751.953] [Invalid=16 / 19892.103]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	During the past 12 months, did you come into contact with the police: ...for an accident?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in PHR_Q661			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	285	306422.1	1.2%
2	No	23465	25574329.9	98.8%
8	Not stated	16	19892.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q810: Have you ever:... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23730 / 25857110.78] [Invalid=36 / 43533.276]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Pre-question	Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?			
Literal question	Have you ever: ... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?			
Post-question	No Go to PHR_Q820 Refusal Go to PHR_Q820			
Interviewer's instructions	Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8353	9126551.5	35.3%
2	No	15377	16730559.3	64.7%
8	Not stated	36	43533.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q815: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8349 / 9122525.778] [Invalid=15417 / 16778118.279]			
Universe	Respondents who answered PHR_Q810 = 1.			
Literal question	Have you done this in the last 12 months?			

# PHR_Q815: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed your routine, activities, or avoided certain places?				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	6155	6704115.0	73.5%
2	No	2194	2418410.7	26.5%
7	Not asked	15413	16774092.5	
8	Not stated	4	4025.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q820: Have you ever:... installed new locks or security bars?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23740 / 25867339.309] [Invalid=26 / 33304.747]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Pre-question		Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?		
Literal question		Have you ever: ... installed new locks or security bars?		
Post-question		No Go to PHR_Q830 Refusal Go to PHR_Q830		
Interviewer's instructions		Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	7380	8154867.1	31.5%
2	No	16360	17712472.2	68.5%
8	Not stated	26	33304.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q825: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... installed new locks or security bars?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7378 / 8153351.58] [Invalid=16388 / 17747292.476]		
Universe		Respondents who answered PHR_Q820 = 1.		
Literal question		Have you done this in the last 12 months?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3375	3778065.6	46.3%
2	No	4003	4375286.0	53.7%
7	Not asked	16386	17745777.0	
8	Not stated	2	1515.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q830: Have you ever:... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23725 / 25845666.137] [Invalid=41 / 54977.919]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Pre-question		Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?		
Literal question		Have you ever: ... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?		
Post-question		No Go to PHR_Q840 Refusal Go to PHR_Q840		

# PHR_Q830: Have you ever:... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?				
Interviewer's instructions		Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	7566	8684138.8	33.6%
2	No	16159	17161527.3	66.4%
8	Not stated	41	54977.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q835: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... installed burglar alarms or motion detector lights?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7563 / 8678104.089] [Invalid=16203 / 17222539.967]		
Universe		Respondents who answered PHR_Q830 = 1.		
Literal question		Have you done this in the last 12 months?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2431	2812254.8	32.4%
2	No	5132	5865849.3	67.6%
7	Not asked	16200	17216505.3	
8	Not stated	3	6034.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q840: Have you ever:... taken a self-defense course?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23737 / 25867015.333] [Invalid=29 / 33628.723]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Pre-question		Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?		
Literal question		Have you ever: ... taken a self-defense course?		
Post-question		No Go to PHR_Q850 Refusal Go to PHR_Q850		
Interviewer's instructions		Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2828	3370367.6	13.0%
2	No	20909	22496647.7	87.0%
8	Not stated	29	33628.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q845: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... taken a self-defense course?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2826 / 3367696.293] [Invalid=20940 / 22532947.763]		
Universe		Respondents who answered PHR_Q840 = 1.		
Literal question		Have you done this in the last 12 months?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

# PHR_Q845: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... taken a self-defense course?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	564	711151.2	21.1%
2	No	2262	2656545.1	78.9%
7	Not asked	20938	22530276.4	
8	Not stated	2	2671.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q850: Have you ever:... changed your phone number?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23742 / 25871856.643] [Invalid=24 / 28787.413]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Pre-question	Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?			
Literal question	Have you ever: ... changed your phone number?			
Post-question	No Go to PHR_Q860 Refusal Go to PHR_Q860			
Interviewer's instructions	Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1297	1277700.1	4.9%
2	No	22445	24594156.5	95.1%
8	Not stated	24	28787.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q855: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed your phone number?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1297 / 1277700.144] [Invalid=22469 / 24622943.912]			
Universe	Respondents who answered PHR_Q850 = 1.			
Literal question	Have you done this in the last 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	433	434184.4	34.0%
2	No	864	843515.8	66.0%
7	Not asked	22469	24622943.9	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q860: Have you ever:... obtained a dog?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23741 / 25869350.128] [Invalid=25 / 31293.928]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Pre-question	Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?			
Literal question	Have you ever: ... obtained a dog?			
Post-question	No Go to PHR_Q870 Refusal Go to PHR_Q870			
Interviewer's instructions	Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.			

# PHR_Q860: Have you ever:... obtained a dog?				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2095	2390520.6	9.2%
2	No	21646	23478829.6	90.8%
8	Not stated	25	31293.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q865: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... obtained a dog?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2094 / 2389292.532] [Invalid=21672 / 23511351.524]		
Universe		Respondents who answered PHR_Q860 = 1.		
Literal question		Have you done this in the last 12 months?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	529	604817.5	25.3%
2	No	1565	1784475.1	74.7%
7	Not asked	21671	23510123.5	
8	Not stated	1	1228.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q870: Have you ever:... obtained a gun?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* / 8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23723 / 25854455.186] [Invalid=43 / 46188.871]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Pre-question		Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?		
Literal question		Have you ever: ... obtained a gun?		
Post-question		No Go to PHR_Q880 Refusal Go to PHR_Q880		
Interviewer's instructions		Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	241	256738.8	1.0%
2	No	23482	25597716.4	99.0%
8	Not stated	43	46188.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q875: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... obtained a gun?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=241 / 256738.79] [Invalid=23525 / 25643905.266]		
Universe		Respondents who answered PHR_Q870 = 1.		
Literal question		Have you done this in the last 12 months?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	28	29718.0	11.6%

# PHR_Q875: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... obtained a gun?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	213	227020.8	88.4%
7	Not asked	23525	25643905.3	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q880: Have you ever:... changed residence or moved?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23737 / 25864694.099] [Invalid=29 / 35949.958]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Pre-question	Have you ever done any of the following things to protect yourself or your property from crime?			
Literal question	Have you ever: ... changed residence or moved?			
Post-question	No Go to PHR_Q910 Refusal Go to PHR_Q910			
Interviewer's instructions	Probe to be sure action was taken as a protection from crime.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	940	964637.6	3.7%
2	No	22797	24900056.5	96.3%
8	Not stated	29	35950.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q885: Have you done this in the last 12 months?... changed residence or moved?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=940 / 964637.602] [Invalid=22826 / 24936006.454]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Have you done this in the last 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	345	361082.3	37.4%
2	No	595	603555.3	62.6%
7	Not asked	22826	24936006.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q910: Do you routinely:... carry something to defend yourself or to alert other people?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23728 / 25853311.654] [Invalid=38 / 47332.402]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... carry something to defend yourself or to alert other people?			
Interviewer's instructions	Routinely means "most of the time" even if you occasionally forget.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3030	3314645.9	12.8%

# PHR_Q910: Do you routinely:... carry something to defend yourself or to alert other people?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	20698	22538665.7	87.2%
8	Not stated	38	47332.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q920: Do you routinely:... lock the car doors for your personal safety when alone in a car?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23682 / 25818469.275] [Invalid=84 / 82174.781]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... lock the car doors for your personal safety when alone in a car?			
Interviewer's instructions	Routinely means "most of the time" even if you occasionally forget.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	13808	15244099.1	59.0%
2	No	9874	10574370.2	41.0%
8	Not stated	84	82174.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q930: Do you routinely:... when alone and returning to a parked car, check the back seat for intruders before getting into the car?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23666 / 25798155.145] [Invalid=100 / 102488.911]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... when alone and returning to a parked car, check the back seat for intruders before getting into the car?			
Interviewer's instructions	Routinely means "most of the time" even if you occasionally forget.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	10587	11234658.4	43.5%
2	No	13079	14563496.7	56.5%
8	Not stated	100	102488.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q940: Do you routinely:... plan your route with safety in mind?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23711 / 25835291.756] [Invalid=55 / 65352.3]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... plan your route with safety in mind?			
Interviewer's instructions	Routinely means "most of the time" even if you occasionally forget.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	10484	11200067.7	43.4%
2	No	13227	14635224.1	56.6%

# PHR_Q940: Do you routinely:... plan your route with safety in mind?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	55	65352.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q950: Do you routinely:... stay at home at night because you are afraid to go out alone?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23728 / 25852227.745] [Invalid=38 / 48416.311]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... stay at home at night because you are afraid to go out alone?			
Interviewer's instructions	Routinely means "most of the time" even if you occasionally forget.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2370	2526148.8	9.8%
2	No	21358	23326078.9	90.2%
8	Not stated	38	48416.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q970: Is there anything else you do to increase your personal safety that I have not already mentioned?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23734 / 25864881.007] [Invalid=32 / 35763.049]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Is there anything else you do to increase your personal safety that I have not already mentioned?			
Post-question	No Go to PHR_Q990 Refusal Go to PHR_Q990			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2838	2991701.2	11.6%
2	No	20896	22873179.8	88.4%
8	Not stated	32	35763.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q970_1: Do you routinely: ... make yourself aware of your surroundings?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23734 / 25864881.007] [Invalid=32 / 35763.049]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... make yourself aware of your surroundings?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in PHR_971.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	791	870172.8	3.4%
2	No	22943	24994708.2	96.6%
8	Not stated	32	35763.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q970_2: Do you routinely: ... carry a cellular telephone?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			

# PHR_Q970_2: Do you routinely: ... carry a cellular telephone?				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23734 / 25864881.007] [Invalid=32 / 35763.049]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... carry a cellular telephone?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in PHR_971.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	264	312049.0	1.2%
2	No	23470	25552832.0	98.8%
8	Not stated	32	35763.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q970_3: Do you routinely: ... lock windows and doors at home?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23734 / 25864881.007] [Invalid=32 / 35763.049]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... lock windows and doors at home?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in PHR_971.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1234	1201878.6	4.6%
2	No	22500	24663002.4	95.4%
8	Not stated	32	35763.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q970_4: Do you routinely: ... avoid certain people/places?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23734 / 25864881.007] [Invalid=32 / 35763.049]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		Do you do any of the following things to make yourself safer from crime? Do you routinely: ... avoid certain people/places?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in PHR_971.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	118	131555.8	0.5%
2	No	23616	25733325.2	99.5%
8	Not stated	32	35763.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PHR_Q990: In general, how satisfied are you with your personal safety from crime.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=* /8]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23714 / 25835375.612] [Invalid=52 / 65268.444]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		In general, how satisfied are you with your personal safety from crime. Are you:		
Interviewer's instructions		Read categories to respondent.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

# PHR_Q990: In general, how satisfied are you with your personal safety from crime.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very satisfied?	10945	11460666.0	44.4%
2	...somewhat satisfied?	11388	12885937.2	49.9%
3	...somewhat dissatisfied?	948	1027562.1	4.0%
4	...very dissatisfied?	270	280430.5	1.1%
5	...no opinion	163	180779.8	0.7%
8	Not stated	52	65268.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q110: Have you ever been married or in a common-law relationship?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6074 / 6803625.464] [Invalid=17692 / 19097018.593]			
Universe	Respondents who are single.			
Literal question	Have you ever been married or in a common-law relationship?			
Post-question	No Go to EFX_D140 Refusal Go to EFX_D140			
Interviewer's instructions	By common-law we mean partners living together as a couple without being legally married.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1388	1162119.7	17.1%
2	No	4686	5641505.8	82.9%
7	Not asked	17665	19063262.8	
8	Not stated	27	33755.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q120: In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with your (any				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5909 / 4279666.015] [Invalid=17857 / 21620978.042]			
Universe	Respondents who are widowed, divorce or separated or EFX_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	(You have mentioned that your marital status is ^aMarStat.) In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with your (any) previous spouse or commonlaw partner?			
Post-question	Yes Go to EFX_D140 No Go to EFX_D140 Refusal Go to EFX_D140			
Interviewer's instructions	Contact includes receiving letters or telephone calls.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2978	2224855.6	52.0%
2	No	2931	2054810.4	48.0%
7	Not asked	17818	21587866.7	
8	Not stated	39	33111.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q130: Have you ever been in any other marriage or common-law relat				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12849 / 15626705.537] [Invalid=10917 / 10273938.52]			
Universe	Respondents who are currently married or living in common-law.			

# EFX_Q130: Have you ever been in any other marriage or common-law relat				
Literal question	Have you ever been in any other marriage or common-law relationship with a person other than your current spouse/partner?			
Post-question	No Go to EFX_D140 Refusal Go to EFX_D140			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2847	3380368.4	21.6%
2	No	10002	12246337.2	78.4%
7	Not asked	10765	10070549.7	
8	Not stated	152	203388.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q140: In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with this (any				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2843 / 3375102.148] [Invalid=20923 / 22525541.909]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q130 = 1.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you had any contact with this (any) previous spouse or common-law partner?			
Interviewer's instructions	Contact includes receiving letters or telephone calls.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1070	1269911.6	37.6%
2	No	1773	2105190.5	62.4%
7	Not asked	20919	22520275.7	
8	Not stated	4	5266.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q144: Did you and your ex-spouse(s)/ex-common-law partner(s) have				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4043 / 3492135.329] [Invalid=19723 / 22408508.728]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you and your ex-spouse(s)/ex-common-law partner(s) have any children together who are under the age of 18?			
Post-question	No Go to EFX_C210 Don't know Go to EFX_C210 Refusal Go to EFX_C210			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1441	1252811.8	35.9%
2	No	2602	2239323.5	64.1%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	
9	Don't know	4	2010.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q146_C01: What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1440 / 1251907.672] [Invalid=22326 / 24648736.385]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q144 = 1.			
Literal question	What is this child's (these children's) principal residence? Respondent's home.			

# EFX_Q146_C01: What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	870	734990.4	58.7%
2	No	570	516917.3	41.3%
7	Not asked	22325	24647832.2	
8	Not stated	1	904.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q146_C02: What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1440 / 1251907.672] [Invalid=22326 / 24648736.385]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q144 = 1.		
Literal question		What is this child's (these children's) principal residence? Ex-spouse/common-law partner's home		
Post-question		Go to EFX_Q148		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	408	387032.3	30.9%
2	No	1032	864875.4	69.1%
7	Not asked	22325	24647832.2	
8	Not stated	1	904.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q146_C03: What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1440 / 1251907.672] [Invalid=22326 / 24648736.385]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q144 = 1.		
Literal question		What is this child's (these children's) principal residence? About the same amount of time at both parent's homes		
Post-question		Go to EFX_Q149		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	157	126795.5	10.1%
2	No	1283	1125112.2	89.9%
7	Not asked	22325	24647832.2	
8	Not stated	1	904.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q146_C04: What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1440 / 1251907.672] [Invalid=22326 / 24648736.385]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q144 = 1.		
Literal question		Somewhere elseWhat is this child's (these children's) principal residence? Somewhere else		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

EFX_Q146_C04: What is this child's (these children's) principal residence?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	33	30165.4	2.4%
2	No	1407	1221742.3	97.6%
7	Not asked	22325	24647832.2	
8	Not stated	1	904.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# EFX_Q147: How often does your ex-spouse/common-law partner see this ch	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=878 / 747805.985] [Invalid=22888 / 25152838.071]
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q146_C01 = 1 or EFX_Q146_C04 = 1.
Literal question	How often does your ex-spouse/common-law partner see this child (these children)? Is it:
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...at least once a week?	345	293391.0	39.2%
2	...at least once every 2 weeks?	208	179321.6	24.0%
3	...at least once a month?	65	55591.0	7.4%
4	...a few times a year?	100	84397.7	11.3%
5	...at least once a year?	51	50860.4	6.8%
6	sends letters, cards, gifts, etc.	29	19227.7	2.6%
7	...has no contact with the child.	80	65016.6	8.7%
97	Not asked	22865	25136773.0	
98	Not stated	5	2825.6	
99	Don't know	18	13239.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# EFX_Q148: How often do you see this child (these children). Is it:	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=437 / 411949.023] [Invalid=23329 / 25488695.034]
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q146_C02 = 1 or EFX_Q146_C04 = 1.
Literal question	How often do you see this child (these children). Is it:
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	at least once a week?	209	180554.0	43.8%
2	at least once every 2 weeks?	98	94056.0	22.8%
3	at least once a month?	37	45357.8	11.0%
4	a few times a year?	41	41696.8	10.1%
5	at least once a year?	21	26065.0	6.3%
6	sends letters, cards, gifts, etc.	10	7291.2	1.8%
7	has no contact with the child.	21	16928.2	4.1%
97	Not asked	23326	25484901.8	
98	Not stated	1	756.1	
99	Don't know	2	3037.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# EFX_Q149: In the past 5 years, have you paid or received child support	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1428 / 1245211.555] [Invalid=22338 / 24655432.501]
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q144 = 1.
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you paid or received child support for this child (these children)?

# EFX_Q149: In the past 5 years, have you paid or received child support				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, paid support	409	385198.7	30.9%
2	Yes, received support	520	433290.4	34.8%
3	Yes, both paid and received support	26	22755.7	1.8%
4	No, did not pay or receive child support	473	403966.7	32.4%
7	Not asked	22325	24647832.2	
8	Not stated	5	3140.7	
9	Don't know	8	4459.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q210: He/She tried to limit your contact with family or friends.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4001 / 3452982.28] [Invalid=19765 / 22447661.776]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.		
Pre-question		I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your expartner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.		
Literal question		He/She tried to limit your contact with family or friends.		
Interviewer's instructions		(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	909	779188.5	22.6%
2	No	3092	2673793.8	77.4%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	47	41784.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q220: He/She put you down or called you names to make you feel bad				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3997 / 3448934.589] [Invalid=19769 / 22451709.467]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.		
Pre-question		I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your ex-partner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.		
Literal question		He/She put you down or called you names to make you feel bad.		
Interviewer's instructions		(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1563	1315105.3	38.1%
2	No	2434	2133829.3	61.9%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	51	45832.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q230: He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# EFX_Q230: He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3993 / 3445962.868] [Invalid=19773 / 22454681.189]
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.
Pre-question	I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your ex-partner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.
Literal question	He/She was jealous and didn't want you to talk to other men/women.
Interviewer's instructions	(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1229	1084880.2	31.5%
2	No	2764	2361082.6	68.5%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	55	48804.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# EFX_Q240: He/She harmed, or threatened to harm, someone close to you.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3995 / 3448295.656] [Invalid=19771 / 22452348.4]
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.
Pre-question	I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your ex-partner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.
Literal question	He/She harmed, or threatened to harm, someone close to you.
Interviewer's instructions	(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	467	403186.4	11.7%
2	No	3528	3045109.2	88.3%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	53	46471.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# EFX_Q250: He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3993 / 3446477.859] [Invalid=19773 / 22454166.197]
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.
Pre-question	I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your ex-partner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.
Literal question	He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.
Interviewer's instructions	(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1005	892558.7	25.9%
2	No	2988	2553919.2	74.1%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	

# EFX_Q250: He/She demanded to know who you were with and where you were at all times.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	55	48289.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q260: He/She damaged or destroyed your possessions or property.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3993 / 3443812.55] [Invalid=19773 / 22456831.507]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Pre-question	I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your ex-partner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.			
Literal question	He/She damaged or destroyed your possessions or property.			
Interviewer's instructions	(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	708	614507.4	17.8%
2	No	3285	2829305.1	82.2%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	55	50954.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EXEM: Emotional abuse(lifetime) by ex-spouse/partner.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3993 / 3445590.243] [Invalid=19773 / 22455053.813]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	Emotional abuse(lifetime) by ex-spouse/partner.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EFX_Q210 to EFX_Q260.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2057	1750219.3	50.8%
2	No	1936	1695370.9	49.2%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	55	49177.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EFX_Q270: He/She prevented you from knowing about or having access to the family income				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3990 / 3444766.027] [Invalid=19776 / 22455878.029]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Pre-question	I'd like you to tell me whether or not each statement describes your ex-partner. Please remember that all information provided is strictly confidential.			
Literal question	He/She prevented you from knowing about or having access to the family income, even if you asked.			
Interviewer's instructions	(Does this statement describe your ex-partner?)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	563	449172.0	13.0%

# EFX_Q270: He/She prevented you from knowing about or having access to the family income				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	3427	2995594.1	87.0%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	58	50001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EXEMFIN: Emotional or financial(lifetime) abuse by ex-spouse/partner.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3991 / 3444307.406] [Invalid=19775 / 22456336.65]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	Emotional or financial(lifetime) abuse by ex-spouse/partner.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EFX_Q210 to EFX_Q270.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2092	1776659.7	51.6%
2	No	1899	1667647.7	48.4%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	57	50459.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q110: During the past 5 years,: ... did your previous partner threaten to hit you with his/her fist or anything else that could have hurt you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3995 / 3446772.417] [Invalid=19771 / 22453871.639]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,: ... did your previous partner threaten to hit you with his/her fist or anything else that could have hurt you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	537	448346.7	13.0%
2	No	3458	2998425.7	87.0%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	53	47994.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q120: During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she throw anything at you that could have hurt you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3992 / 3444968.954] [Invalid=19774 / 22455675.102]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,: ... did he/she throw anything at you that could have hurt you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	436	366142.8	10.6%
2	No	3556	3078826.2	89.4%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	56	49798.2	

# PSX_Q120: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she throw anything at you that could have hurt you?				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q130: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she push, grab, or shove you in a way that could have hurt you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3991 / 3444121.868] [Invalid=19775 / 22456522.188]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she push, grab, or shove you in a way that could have hurt you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	595	492980.4	14.3%
2	No	3396	2951141.4	85.7%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	57	50645.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q140: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she slap you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3992 / 3444743.084] [Invalid=19774 / 22455900.972]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she slap you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	382	331703.8	9.6%
2	No	3610	3113039.3	90.4%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	56	50024.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q150: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she kick you, bite you, or hit you with his/her fist?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3991 / 3444275.942] [Invalid=19775 / 22456368.114]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she kick you, bite you, or hit you with his/her fist?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	322	274328.4	8.0%
2	No	3669	3169947.6	92.0%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	57	50491.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q160: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she hit you with something that could have hurt you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-3] [Missing=*/4/1]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23526 / 25696541.922] [Invalid=240 / 204102.135]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			

# PSX_Q160: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she hit you with something that could have hurt you?				
Literal question	During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she hit you with something that could have hurt you?			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include hitting with fist.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	3750	3239405.5	12.6%
3	Yes	0	0.0	
7		19718	22405876.9	87.2%
8		58	51259.6	0.2%
1	Not asked	240	204102.1	
4	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q170: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she beat you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-3] [Missing=*/1/4]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23592 / 25750873.386] [Invalid=174 / 149770.67]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she beat you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	3812	3289780.6	12.8%
3	Yes	0	0.0	
7		19718	22405876.9	87.0%
8		62	55215.9	0.2%
1	Not asked	174	149770.7	
4	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q180: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she choke you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3989 / 3443306.659] [Invalid=19777 / 22457337.398]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she choke you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	156	124394.7	3.6%
2	No	3833	3318912.0	96.4%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	59	51460.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q190: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she use or threaten to use a gun or knife on you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3989 / 3443306.659] [Invalid=19777 / 22457337.398]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			

# PSX_Q190: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she use or threaten to use a gun or knife on you?				
Literal question		During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she use or threaten to use a gun or knife on you?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	131	108671.7	3.2%
2	No	3858	3334635.0	96.8%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	59	51460.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q200: During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she force you into any unwanted sexual activity, by threatening you, holding you down, or hurting you in some way?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3986 / 3441174.477] [Invalid=19780 / 22459469.579]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.		
Literal question		During the past 5 years,; ... did he/she force you into any unwanted sexual activity, by threatening you, holding you down, or hurting you in some way?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	132	102399.2	3.0%
2	No	3854	3338775.2	97.0%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	62	53592.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EXABUSE: Physical or sexual violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3989 / 3443619.992] [Invalid=19777 / 22457024.064]		
Universe		Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.		
Literal question		Physical or sexual violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	794	659436.4	19.1%
2	No	3195	2784183.6	80.9%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	59	51147.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EXPHYSABUSE: Respondent has received physical abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=3990 / 3443927.874] [Invalid=19776 / 22456716.182]		
Universe		Respondents who were previously married or living common-law and had contact in the past 5 years.		
Literal question		Respondent has received physical abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q190.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)



# EXPHYSABUSE: Respondent has received physical abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	784	650867.6	18.9%
2	No	3206	2793060.3	81.1%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	58	50839.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EXSEXABUSE: Respondent has received sexual abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3986 / 3441174.477] [Invalid=19780 / 22459469.579]			
Universe	Respondents who were previously married or living common-law and had contact in the past 5 years.			
Literal question	Respondent has received sexual abuse (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q200.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	132	102399.2	3.0%
2	No	3854	3338775.2	97.0%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	62	53592.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EX5HIAST: Most serious type of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3989 / 3443619.992] [Invalid=19777 / 22457024.064]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	Most serious type of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No assault	3195	2784183.6	80.9%
1	Threatened to hit	43	31321.4	0.9%
2	Thrown anything	37	28019.8	0.8%
3	Pushed, grabbed, shoved	140	112512.0	3.3%
4	Slapped	79	68710.3	2.0%
5	Kicked, bit, hit	86	69518.3	2.0%
6	Hit with something that could hurt	70	64450.1	1.9%
7	Beaten	44	44460.8	1.3%
8	Choked	72	58018.1	1.7%
9	Threatened / used gun or knife	91	80026.4	2.3%
10	Forced into sexual activity	132	102399.2	3.0%
97	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
98	Not stated	59	51147.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EX5NUMV: Number of incidents of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3973 / 3431448.665] [Invalid=19793 / 22469195.391]			

# EX5NUMV: Number of incidents of violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner.				
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	How many different times did these things happen during the past 5 years?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q310 and PSX_Q320.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No incidents	3195	2784183.6	81.1%
1	1 incident	257	218443.1	6.4%
2	2 incidents	80	67011.2	2.0%
3	3 incidents	77	69832.4	2.0%
4	4 incidents	42	32838.5	1.0%
5	5 incidents	53	39794.4	1.2%
6	6 incidents	28	25725.2	0.7%
7	7 incidents	10	10501.5	0.3%
8	8 incidents	6	2584.0	0.1%
9	9 incidents	3	2057.0	0.1%
10	10 incidents	24	16457.7	0.5%
11	More than 10 incidents	198	162020.2	4.7%
97	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
98	Not stated	62	53044.8	
99	Don't know	13	10273.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q330: How many of these were in the past 12 months?				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-87] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=529 / 435036.422] [Invalid=23237 / 25465607.634]			
Universe	Respondents who answered PSX_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question	How many of these were in the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	None	374	318124.5	100.0%
97	Not asked	23231	25460984.6	
98	Not stated	0	0.0	
99	Don't know	6	4623.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NO_EXSEXAB: Number of incidents of unwanted sexual activities by ex-spouse/partner (in the past 12 months).				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-15] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=29 / 20336.724] [Invalid=23737 / 25880307.332]			
Universe	Respondents who answered PSX_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question	Number of incidents of unwanted sexual activities by ex-spouse/partner (in the past 12 months).			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q330, PSX_Q335 and PSX_Q336.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No incidents	13	6878.3	100.0%
97	Not asked	23731	25875684.3	

# NO_EXSEXAB: Number of incidents of unwanted sexual activities by ex-spouse/partner (in the past 12 months).				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
98	Not stated	0	0.0	
99	Don't know	6	4623.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q410: Did the(any of the) violence happen while you were living together?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=788 / 655274.643] [Invalid=22978 / 25245369.413]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Did the(any of the) violence happen while you were living together?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	627	511231.6	78.0%
2	No	161	144043.1	22.0%
7	Not asked	22972	25241207.7	
8	Not stated	4	2202.0	
9	Don't know	2	1959.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q430: Did any of the violence happen after you split up?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=* / 7/8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=604 / 498760.877] [Invalid=23162 / 25401883.179]			
Universe	Respondents who answered (PSX_Q310 = (1,8) or PSX_Q410 = (2,8)) or (PSX_Q310 = 7 and PSX_Q410 = 7).			
Literal question	Did any of the violence happen after you split up?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	264	220506.6	44.2%
2	No	335	275398.0	55.2%
3	Did not separate, partner died	5	2856.3	0.6%
7	Not asked	23158	25398162.3	
8	Not stated	4	3720.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q435: How long were you separated when the violence occurred?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=261 / 216583.952] [Invalid=23505 / 25684060.105]			
Universe	Respondents who answered PSX_Q430 = 1.			
Literal question	How long were you separated when the violence occurred?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than 1 week	27	23807.0	11.0%
2	1 week to less than 1 month	27	24196.6	11.2%
3	1 to 6 months	82	62714.2	29.0%
4	More than 6 months	125	105866.2	48.9%

# PSX_Q435: How long were you separated when the violence occurred?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	23502	25680137.5	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	
9	Don't know	2	3301.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# PSX_Q440: Do you think it increased after you split up				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=117 / 93922.512] [Invalid=23649 / 25806721.545]			
Universe	Respondents who answered PSX_Q410 = 1 and PSX_Q430 = 1.			
Literal question	Do you think it increased after you split up (in frequency or intensity)?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	40	28956.2	30.8%
2	No	77	64966.3	69.2%
7	Not asked	23649	25806721.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EXVIOL: Physical or sexual violence by ex-spouse/partner				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3989 / 3443619.992] [Invalid=19777 / 22457024.064]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EFX_Q120 = 1 or EFX_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	Physical or sexual violence by ex-spouse/partner			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q310, PSP_Q330, PSP_Q340A and PSP_Q340M.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Violence in the past 12 months	187	140076.2	4.1%
2	Violence in the past 5 years (not in past 12 months)	581	497986.2	14.5%
3	Violence in the past 5 years (don't know if in past 12 month)	26	21374.0	0.6%
4	No violence in the past 5 years	3195	2784183.6	80.9%
7	Not asked	19718	22405876.9	
8	Not stated	59	51147.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q110: During this (these) incident(s), were you (ever) physically in any way				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=790 / 657474.47] [Invalid=22976 / 25243169.586]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	During this (these) incident(s), were you (ever) physically injured in any way, for example bruises, cuts, broken bones, etc.?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to XAR_D120 Refusal Go to XAR_D120			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			

# XAR_Q110: During this (these) incident(s), were you (ever) physically in any way				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	358	293114.8	44.6%
2	No	432	364359.7	55.4%
7	Not asked	22972	25241207.7	
8	Not stated	4	1961.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q111: What were your injuries? Were they: ... bruises?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 / 292493.554] [Invalid=23409 / 25608150.502]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... bruises?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	324	266401.5	91.1%
2	No	33	26092.0	8.9%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q112: What were your injuries? Were they: ... cuts/scratches/burns, etc.?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=356 / 292026.412] [Invalid=23410 / 25608617.644]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... cuts/scratches/burns, etc.?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	166	135381.4	46.4%
2	No	190	156645.0	53.6%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	2	1088.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q113: What were your injuries? Were they: ... fractures?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 / 292493.554] [Invalid=23409 / 25608150.502]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... fractures?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	31	24651.1	8.4%
2	No	326	267842.4	91.6%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	

# XAR_Q113: What were your injuries? Were they: ... fractures?				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q114: What were your injuries? Were they: ... broken bones?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 / 292493.554] [Invalid=23409 / 25608150.502]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... broken bones?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	28	22165.2	 7.6%
2	No	329	270328.4	 92.4%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q115: What were your injuries? Were they: ... miscarriage?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=269 / 214311.404] [Invalid=23497 / 25686332.652]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... miscarriage?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	23	21263.4	 9.9%
2	No	246	193048.0	 90.1%
7	Not asked	23495	25684279.9	
8	Not stated	2	2052.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q116: What were your injuries? Were they: ... internal injuries?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=356 / 291123.738] [Invalid=23410 / 25609520.319]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... internal injuries?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16	12575.5	 4.3%
2	No	340	278548.2	 95.7%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	2	1991.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q117: What were your injuries? Were they: ... any other type of injury?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 / 292493.554] [Invalid=23409 / 25608150.502]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			

# XAR_Q117: What were your injuries? Were they: ... any other type of injury?				
Literal question	What were your injuries? Were they: ... any other type of injury?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to XAR_D120 Refusal Go to XAR_D120			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	41	29618.8	10.1%
2	No	316	262874.8	89.9%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q120: Did any of these incidents in which you were injured happen in the past 12 months?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=85 / 63110.361] [Invalid=23681 / 25837533.695]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1 and XAR_C120= 1.			
Literal question	Did any of these incidents in which you were injured happen in the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	53	40389.8	64.0%
2	No	32	22720.5	36.0%
7	Not asked	23671	25830321.7	
8	Not stated	10	7212.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q130: During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention at a hospital as a result of the violence?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=357 / 292493.554] [Invalid=23409 / 25608150.502]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q110= 1.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention at a hospital as a result of the violence?			
Post-question	No Go to XAR_Q140 Refusal Go to XAR_Q140			
Interviewer's instructions	Include treatment received at emergency or as an out-patient.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Includes treatment received at emergency or as an out-patient.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	83	65094.8	22.3%
2	No	274	227398.8	77.7%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	1	621.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q132: Did you stay in hospital overnight?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=83 / 65094.76] [Invalid=23683 / 25835549.296]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q130= 1.			

# XAR_Q132: Did you stay in hospital overnight?				
Literal question	Did you stay in hospital overnight?			
Post-question	No Go to XAR_D140 Refusal Go to XAR_D140			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	17	8985.7	13.8%
2	No	66	56109.1	86.2%
7	Not asked	23683	25835549.3	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q135_C: For how many nights?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17 / 8985.703] [Invalid=23749 / 25891658.354]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q132= 1.			
Literal question	For how many nights?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	one night	5	1718.4	19.1%
2	more than one night	12	7267.3	80.9%
7	Not asked	23749	25891658.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q140: During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nurse for your injuries?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=273 / 226578.905] [Invalid=23493 / 25674065.151]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q130= 2.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever receive any medical attention from a doctor or a nurse for your injuries?			
Interviewer's instructions	Include medical attention received immediately after the attack as well as any medical attention received as a result of the injuries.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Includes medical attention received immediately after the attack as well as any medical attention received as a result of the injuries.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	25	20052.6	8.9%
2	No	248	206526.3	91.1%
7	Not asked	23492	25673245.3	
8	Not stated	1	819.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EX5MEDAT: Spousal Violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - received medical attention.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=356 / 291673.665] [Invalid=23410 / 25608970.391]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Spousal Violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - received medical attention.			
Notes				

# EX5MEDAT: Spousal Violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - received medical attention.				
		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from XAR_Q130 and XAR_Q140. Includes medical attention at a hospital or from a doctor or a nurse outside of a hospital setting.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	108	85147.4	29.2%
2	No	248	206526.3	70.8%
7	Not asked	23408	25607529.3	
8	Not stated	2	1441.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q150: did you, during the past 5 years, ever have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=788 / 656033.365] [Invalid=22978 / 25244610.691]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	As a result of the violence (and excluding any time you spent in the hospital), did you, during the past 5 years, ever have to stay in bed for all or most of a day?			
Post-question	No Go to XAR_Q160 Refusal Go to XAR_Q160			
Interviewer's instructions	Include time spent in bed for injuries as well as for stress reasons. Most of a day means at least 6 hours over and above the time the respondent normally spends sleeping.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Includes time spent in bed for injuries as well as for stress reasons.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	122	93859.1	14.3%
2	No	666	562174.2	85.7%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q155_C: For how many days?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=115 / 89091.586] [Invalid=23651 / 25811552.47]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q150= 1.			
Literal question	For how many days?			
Interviewer's instructions	Count each day the respondent spent at least 6 hours in bed over and above the time he/she normally spends sleeping.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One day	31	30276.4	34.0%
2	Two days	29	21707.7	24.4%
3	Three days or more	55	37107.6	41.7%
7	Not asked	23644	25806784.9	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	7	4767.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

XAR_Q160: During the past 5 years, did you ever have to take time off from your everyday activities because of what happened to you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=786 / 654998.641] [Invalid=22980 / 25245645.415]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	(Other than the time you spent in the hospital or at home in bed), during the past 5 years, did you ever have to take time off from your everyday activities because of what happened to you?
Interviewer's instructions	Select "yes" if the respondent's everyday activities were disrupted for at least 6 hours.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Excludes the time you spent in the hospital or at home in bed.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	260	208530.6	31.8%
2	No	526	446468.0	68.2%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	6	3543.2	
9	Don't know	1	273.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q170: During (these) this incident(s) was your ex-partner drinking

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=780 / 650331.496] [Invalid=22986 / 25250312.56]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	During (these) this incident(s) was your ex-partner drinking?
Interviewer's instructions	Select "yes" if the respondent says usually or during more than half of the incidents.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	352	279236.1	42.9%
2	No	421	366863.9	56.4%
3	Does not drink	7	4231.4	0.7%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
9	Don't know	8	5701.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q180: During the past 5 years, was anyone (else) ever harmed or threatened during (these) this incident(s)?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=784 / 651663.811] [Invalid=22982 / 25248980.245]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	During the past 5 years, was anyone (else) ever harmed or threatened during (these) this incident(s)?
Post-question	No Go to XAR_Q210 Don't know Go to XAR_Q210 Refusal Go to XAR_Q210
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	136	115584.5	17.7%
2	No	648	536079.3	82.3%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	

XAR_Q180: During the past 5 years, was anyone (else) ever harmed or threatened during (these) this incident(s)?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
9	Don't know	4	4369.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q185: How many persons?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-25] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=133 / 112636.027] [Invalid=23633 / 25788008.029]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q180= 1.
Literal question	How many persons?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1		71	58241.5	51.7%
2		37	33828.0	30.0%
3		11	8828.3	7.8%
4		3	2318.2	2.1%
5		4	2292.8	2.0%
6		3	3491.3	3.1%
10		3	2956.2	2.6%
25		1	679.7	0.6%
97	Not asked	23630	25785059.6	
98	Not stated	0	0.0	
99	Don't know	3	2948.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q190: Were any of these people who were harmed or threatened under 15 years of age?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=135 / 114297.616] [Invalid=23631 / 25786346.44]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q180= 1.
Literal question	Were any of these people who were harmed or threatened under 15 years of age?
Post-question	No Go to XAR_Q210 Don't know Go to XAR_Q210 Refusal Go to XAR_Q210
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	67	54524.9	47.7%
2	No	68	59772.7	52.3%
7	Not asked	23630	25785059.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	1	1286.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q195: How many persons?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=65 / 51729.169] [Invalid=23701 / 25848914.888]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q190= 1.
Literal question	How many persons?

# XAR_Q195: How many persons?				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1		37	30330.8	58.6%
2		22	17455.6	33.7%
3		6	3942.7	7.6%
97	Not asked	23699	25846119.1	
98	Not stated	0	0.0	
99	Don't know	2	2795.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q210: Did any of your children see or hear (any of these) this incident(s)?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=784 / 653443.112] [Invalid=22982 / 25247200.945]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		Did any of your children see or hear (any of these) this incident(s)?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes/think so	364	289822.4	44.4%
2	No/don't think so	194	148021.5	22.7%
3	No children at the time	226	215599.3	33.0%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
9	Don't know	4	2590.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q220: During the past 5 years, did you ever fear that your life was in danger because of your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=787 / 655289.351] [Invalid=22979 / 25245354.705]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		During the past 5 years, did you ever fear that your life was in danger because of your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	307	242910.6	37.1%
2	No	480	412378.8	62.9%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	6	3525.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q230: During the past 5 years, did you ever attempt to obtain compensation, through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program, because of the violence?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=787 / 655271.998] [Invalid=22979 / 25245372.058]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		

XAR_Q230: During the past 5 years, did you ever attempt to obtain compensation, through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program, because of the violence?

Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever attempt to obtain compensation, through a civil or criminal court or a provincial compensation program, because of the violence?
Post-question	No Go to XAR_Q240 Refusal Go to XAR_Q240
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	37	27394.4	4.2%
2	No	750	627877.6	95.8%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	6	3543.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q235: Did you obtain any compensation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=37 / 27394.427] [Invalid=23729 / 25873249.629]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q230= 1.
Literal question	Did you obtain any compensation?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	11	7763.1	28.3%
2	No	20	15131.1	55.2%
3	Not yet resolved	6	4500.2	16.4%
7	Not asked	23729	25873249.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q240: Did the police ever find out about the violence in any way?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=788 / 656033.365] [Invalid=22978 / 25244610.691]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	Did the police ever find out about the violence in any way?
Interviewer's instructions	If the respondent or the respondent's ex-partner or a member of the household is a police officer, select "yes" only if it was reported to the police.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	305	251635.0	38.4%
2	No	483	404398.3	61.6%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q250: Did they find out about it in the past 12 months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=288 / 235944.876] [Invalid=23478 / 25664699.181]

# XAR_Q250: Did they find out about it in the past 12 months?				
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240= 1 and XAR_C250= 1.			
Literal question	Did they find out about it in the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	68	50126.3	21.2%
2	No	220	185818.6	78.8%
7	Not asked	23478	25664699.2	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EX5RRPRT: Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=788 / 656033.365] [Invalid=22978 / 25244610.691]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by respondent.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from XAR_Q240 and XAR_Q260.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	221	173598.9	26.5%
2	No	567	482434.5	73.5%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EX5ORPRT: Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by some other way.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=788 / 656033.365] [Invalid=22978 / 25244610.691]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Spousal violence (during the past 5 years) by ex-spouse/partner - Incident reported to police by some other way.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from XAR_Q240 and XAR_Q260.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	84	78036.1	11.9%
2	No	704	577997.2	88.1%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	5	2781.8	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q265: How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=279 / 232634.531] [Invalid=23487 / 25668009.525]			

# XAR_Q265: How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?				
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240= 1.			
Literal question	How many times was he/she violent toward you before the police learned about it?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	One	74	65249.5	28.0%
2	Two	39	28878.2	12.4%
3	Three	15	9772.9	4.2%
4	Four	16	12143.1	5.2%
5	Five	11	11068.4	4.8%
6	Six	10	10010.0	4.3%
7	Seven	1	96.8	0.0%
8	Eight	1	234.4	0.1%
9	Nine	0	0.0	
10	Ten	7	7941.4	3.4%
11	More than ten	105	87239.9	37.5%
97	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
98	Not stated	1	1447.7	
99	Don't know	25	17552.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q310: Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... to stop the violence or receive protection?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=220 / 172151.199] [Invalid=23546 / 25728492.858]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260= 1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... to stop the violence or receive protection?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	194	151870.2	88.2%
2	No	26	20281.0	11.8%
7	Not asked	23545	25727045.2	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	1	1447.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q320: Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... to arrest and punish your ex-partner?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=219 / 171714.259] [Invalid=23547 / 25728929.797]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260= 1.			
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... to arrest and punish your ex-partner?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

XAR_Q320: Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... to arrest and punish your ex-partner?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	92	77681.0	45.2%
2	No	127	94033.3	54.8%
7	Not asked	23545	25727045.2	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	2	1884.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q330: Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=219 / 172023.871] [Invalid=23547 / 25728620.185]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260= 1.
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	121	93101.9	54.1%
2	No	98	78922.0	45.9%
7	Not asked	23545	25727045.2	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	2	1575.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q340: Reason for reporting incidents to the police. Was it: ... on the recommendation of someone else?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=221 / 173598.876] [Invalid=23545 / 25727045.18]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260= 1.
Literal question	People have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported the violence? Was it: ... on the recommendation of someone else?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	78	57741.8	33.3%
2	No	143	115857.0	66.7%
7	Not asked	23545	25727045.2	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q350A: What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the scene?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=304 / 251294.282] [Invalid=23462 / 25649349.774]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.
Literal question	What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the scene?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

# XAR_Q350A: What action did the police take? Did they: ... visit the scene?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	247	206221.4	82.1%
2	No	57	45072.9	17.9%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	340.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q350B: What action did the police take? Did they: ... make a report and/or conduct an investigation?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=301 / 246447.271] [Invalid=23465 / 25654196.785]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	What action did the police take? Did they: ... make a report and/or conduct an investigation?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	242	192027.5	77.9%
2	No	59	54419.8	22.1%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	4	5187.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q350C: What action did the police take? Did they:... give a warning to your ex-spouse/ex-partner?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 248564.115] [Invalid=23466 / 25652079.942]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	What action did the police take? Did they: ... give a warning to your ex-spouse/ex-partner?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	194	162867.5	65.5%
2	No	106	85696.6	34.5%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	5	3070.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q350D: What action did the police take? Did they: ... take your ex-spouse/ex-partner away?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=303 / 249551.274] [Invalid=23463 / 25651092.782]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	What action did the police take? Did they: ... take your ex-spouse/ex-partner away?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	142	105265.0	42.2%
2	No	161	144286.2	57.8%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	2	2083.7	

# XAR_Q350D: What action did the police take? Did they: ... take your ex-spouse/ex-partner away?				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q350EF: What action did the police take? Other.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=304 / 250998.951] [Invalid=23462 / 25649645.105]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	What action did the police take? Did they: ... take any other action?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to XAR_Q355 Refusal Go to XAR_Q355			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from XAR_Q350E and XAR_Q350F. Other includes Made arrest/Laid charges.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	149	110084.0	43.9%
2	No	155	140914.9	56.1%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q360: How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=299 / 245183.569] [Invalid=23467 / 25655460.488]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	How satisfied were you with the actions that the police took? Were you:			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very satisfied?	119	91371.9	37.3%
2	...somewhat satisfied?	74	61894.5	25.2%
3	...somewhat dissatisfied?	41	41066.4	16.7%
4	...very dissatisfied?	65	50850.7	20.7%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	3	2767.3	
9	Don't know	3	3684.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C01: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Take the person out of the house				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Take the person out of the house			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	14	14015.7	5.7%
2	No	286	233982.0	94.3%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	

# XAR_Q370_C01: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Take the person out of the house				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C02: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Charge/arrest the person				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Charge/arrest the person			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	33	30982.2	12.5%
2	No	267	217015.5	87.5%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C03: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Respond more quickly				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Respond more quickly			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	12	9742.3	3.9%
2	No	288	238255.4	96.1%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C04: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Refer/take you to a support service				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Refer/take you to a support service			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	12	8703.5	3.5%
2	No	288	239294.2	96.5%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	

# XAR_Q370_C04: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Refer/take you to a support service				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C05: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Relocate you				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Relocate you			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	300	247997.7	100.0%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C06: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Take you to hospital.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Take you to hospital.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	300	247997.7	100.0%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C07: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Be more supportive/sympathetic				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Be more supportive/sympathetic			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	29	28110.4	11.3%
2	No	271	219887.3	88.7%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# XAR_Q370_C08: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? Other			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	61	48655.2	19.6%
2	No	239	199342.5	80.4%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q370_C09: Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? - No/nothing				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=300 / 247997.713] [Invalid=23466 / 25652646.344]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2.			
Literal question	Is there anything (else) they should have done to help you? No/nothing			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	178	143795.1	58.0%
2	No	122	104202.6	42.0%
7	Not asked	23461	25649009.0	
8	Not stated	1	636.1	
9	Don't know	4	3001.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q380: After the police were involved, did your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour towards you:				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=243 / 191248.285] [Invalid=23523 / 25709395.771]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q260 = 1,2 and PSX_Q310 = 1.			
Literal question	After the police were involved, did your ex-partner's violent or threatening behaviour towards you:			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...increase?	29	23487.1	12.3%
2	...decrease or stop?	137	107798.9	56.4%
3	...stay the same?	77	59962.2	31.4%
7	Not asked	23520	25704800.8	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	3	4594.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

XAR_Q410: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=480 / 401994.177] [Invalid=23286 / 25498649.879]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way?
Interviewer's instructions	Examples: left him/her, reported to another official, private matter that took care of myself, etc.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Includes left him/her, reported to another official, private matter that took care of myself, etc.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	355	294656.6	73.3%
2	No	125	107337.5	26.7%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	3	2404.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q420: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because of fear of your ex-partner?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=481 / 402627.677] [Invalid=23285 / 25498016.379]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of your ex-partner?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	87	71406.1	17.7%
2	No	394	331221.5	82.3%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	2	1770.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q430: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because the police couldn't do anything about it?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=480 / 401526.848] [Invalid=23286 / 25499117.208]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police couldn't do anything about it?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	171	135315.2	33.7%
2	No	309	266211.6	66.3%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	3	2871.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# XAR_Q440: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because the police wouldn't help?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=479 / 400870.208] [Invalid=23287 / 25499773.849]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police wouldn't help?			
Interviewer's instructions	Examples: wouldn't think it was important enough, wouldn't believe, wouldn't want to be bothered or get involved, police would be inefficient or ineffective, police would be biased, would harass/insult respondent, offender was police officer, etc.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Includes: wouldn't think it was important enough, wouldn't believe, wouldn't want to be bothered or get involved, police would be inefficient or ineffective, police would be biased, would harass/insult respondent, offender was police officer.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	90	68739.2	17.1%
2	No	389	332131.0	82.9%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	4	3528.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q450: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because you didn't want to get involved with police?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=480 / 400487.632] [Invalid=23286 / 25500156.425]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want to get involved with police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	263	213378.6	53.3%
2	No	217	187109.0	46.7%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	3	3910.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q460: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because you didn't want your ex-partner arrested or jailed?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=481 / 402627.677] [Invalid=23285 / 25498016.379]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want your ex-partner arrested or jailed?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	201	165249.9	41.0%
2	No	280	237377.8	59.0%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	2	1770.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

XAR_Q470: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=480 / 401994.177] [Invalid=23286 / 25498649.879]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	371	311441.8	77.5%
2	No	109	90552.4	22.5%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	3	2404.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q480: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=481 / 402627.677] [Invalid=23285 / 25498016.379]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?
Interviewer's instructions	Examples: shame, embarrassment
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	182	134850.6	33.5%
2	No	299	267777.1	66.5%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	2	1770.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q490: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... because of fear of publicity/news coverage?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=481 / 402627.677] [Invalid=23285 / 25498016.379]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of publicity/news coverage?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	83	58646.6	14.6%
2	No	398	343981.1	85.4%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	2	1770.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q500: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it:... because it was not important enough?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=479 / 401595.353] [Invalid=23287 / 25499048.703]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was not important enough?
Interviewer's instructions	Examples: minor crime, no intended harm, etc.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Minor Crime or no intended harm, etc.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	263	229983.6	57.3%
2	No	216	171611.8	42.7%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	4	2803.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q510: Reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Was it: ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=481 / 402627.677] [Invalid=23285 / 25498016.379]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	67	60759.1	15.1%
2	No	414	341868.6	84.9%
7	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
8	Not stated	2	1770.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

EX_MAIN_REASON: Main reason respondent chose not to contact the police.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=480 / 402043.149] [Invalid=23286 / 25498600.907]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q240 = 2.
Literal question	What was the main reason?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from XAR_Q410 to XAR_Q510 and XAR_Q520.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Dealt with another way	105	90480.2	22.5%
2	Fear	33	28499.1	7.1%
3	Police couldn't do anything	18	16983.7	4.2%
4	Police wouldn't help	12	9421.5	2.3%
5	Did not want to get involved with police	22	16082.3	4.0%
6	Not important enough to respondent	10	8271.0	2.1%
7	A personal matter that did not concern the police	65	55406.6	13.8%

# EX_MAIN_REASON: Main reason respondent chose not to contact the police.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Fear of publicity/media coverage	11	5681.5	1.4%
9	Did not want ex-spouse/partner arrested or jailed	8	4142.4	1.0%
10	Did not want anyone to find out about it	123	106156.2	26.4%
11	Other	73	60918.7	15.2%
97	Not asked	23283	25496245.7	
98	Not stated	3	2355.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q530: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... family?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=786 / 654387.996] [Invalid=22980 / 25246256.061]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... family?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	540	452349.8	69.1%
2	No	246	202038.2	30.9%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	4427.2	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q540: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... friend or neighbour?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=785 / 654039.56] [Invalid=22981 / 25246604.497]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... friend or neighbour?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	528	453723.7	69.4%
2	No	257	200315.9	30.6%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	4427.2	
9	Don't know	1	348.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q550: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... co-worker?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 / 652024.979] [Invalid=22983 / 25248619.078]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... co-worker?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			

XAR_Q550: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... co-worker?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	236	199277.3	30.6%
2	No	547	452747.7	69.4%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	8	4974.8	
9	Don't know	2	1815.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q560: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... doctor or nurse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 / 650959.191] [Invalid=22983 / 25249684.866]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... doctor or nurse?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	242	186814.4	28.7%
2	No	541	464144.8	71.3%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	4427.2	
9	Don't know	3	3428.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q570: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... lawyer?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=785 / 654039.56] [Invalid=22981 / 25246604.497]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... lawyer?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	240	182050.3	27.8%
2	No	545	471989.3	72.2%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	4427.2	
9	Don't know	1	348.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q580: Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=785 / 654039.56] [Invalid=22981 / 25246604.497]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	Other than to the police, did you ever talk to anyone about (these) this incident(s), such as: ... minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	104	75883.2	11.6%
2	No	681	578156.4	88.4%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	4427.2	
9	Don't know	1	348.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q585: Did the police or anyone else provide you with information about services for victims in your community?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=780 / 651052.848] [Invalid=22986 / 25249591.208]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	Did the police or anyone else provide you with information about services for victims in your community?
Post-question	Yes, the police Go to XAR_Q610 3 No Go to XAR_Q610 Don't know Go to XAR_Q610 Refusal Go to XAR_Q610
Interviewer's instructions	Probe respondent to find out who provided them with the information.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, the police	139	117151.9	18.0%
2	Yes, someone else	99	72619.1	11.2%
3	No	542	461281.9	70.9%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	8	5041.2	
9	Don't know	5	2721.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q610: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... crisis centre or crisis line?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=785 / 653445.18] [Invalid=22981 / 25247198.876]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... crisis centre or crisis line?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	122	93540.0	14.3%
2	No	663	559905.2	85.7%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	3995.2	
9	Don't know	1	1374.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q615: Was this in the past 12 months? ... crisis centre or crisis line

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=118 / 90854.936] [Invalid=23648 / 25809789.12]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q610 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	35	21812.7	24.0%
2	No	83	69042.3	76.0%
7	Not asked	23648	25809789.1	

# XAR_Q615: Was this in the past 12 months? ... crisis centre or crisis line				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q620: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... another counsellor or psychologist?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=785 / 653445.18] [Invalid=22981 / 25247198.876]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... another counsellor or psychologist?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	294	240382.3	36.8%
2	No	491	413062.9	63.2%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	3995.2	
9	Don't know	1	1374.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q625: Was this in the past 12 months? ... another counsellor or psychologist				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=279 / 228550.935] [Invalid=23487 / 25672093.122]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q620 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.			
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	110	79330.2	34.7%
2	No	169	149220.8	65.3%
7	Not asked	23486	25671429.7	
8	Not stated	1	663.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q630: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... community centre or family centre?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=784 / 652737.287] [Invalid=22982 / 25247906.77]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... community centre or family centre?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	101	83990.4	12.9%
2	No	683	568746.8	87.1%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	

XAR_Q630: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... community centre or family centre?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	7	3995.2	
9	Don't know	2	2082.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q635: Was this in the past 12 months? ... community centre or family centre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=97 / 80724.129] [Invalid=23669 / 25819919.928]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q630 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	48	34885.2	43.2%
2	No	49	45838.9	56.8%
7	Not asked	23669	25819919.9	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q640: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... shelter or transition house?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=514 / 407216.832] [Invalid=23252 / 25493427.224]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... shelter or transition house?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	75	60523.5	14.9%
2	No	439	346693.4	85.1%
7	Not asked	23246	25489233.0	
8	Not stated	6	4194.2	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q645: Was this in the past 12 months? ... shelter or transition house

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=73 / 59085.343] [Invalid=23693 / 25841558.713]
Universe	Female respondents who answered XAR_Q640 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	20	14840.4	25.1%
2	No	53	44245.0	74.9%
7	Not asked	23693	25841558.7	

# XAR_Q645: Was this in the past 12 months? ... shelter or transition house				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q650: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... women's centre?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=514 / 407216.832] [Invalid=23252 / 25493427.224]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... women's centre?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	54	41744.0	10.3%
2	No	460	365472.9	89.7%
7	Not asked	23246	25489233.0	
8	Not stated	6	4194.2	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q655: Was this in the past 12 months? ... women's centre				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=53 / 41302.578] [Invalid=23713 / 25859341.478]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q650 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.			
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16	11268.5	27.3%
2	No	37	30034.0	72.7%
7	Not asked	23713	25859341.5	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q660: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... men's centre or men's support group?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=270 / 244796.845] [Invalid=23496 / 25655847.211]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... men's centre or men's support group?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16	12004.0	4.9%
2	No	254	232792.9	95.1%
7	Not asked	23493	25653239.9	

XAR_Q660: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... men's centre or men's support group?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	2	1232.5	
9	Don't know	1	1374.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q665: Was this in the past 12 months? ... men's centre or men's support group

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15 / 11015.027] [Invalid=23751 / 25889629.029]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q660 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?
Post-question	Yes Go to XAR_C670 No Go to XAR_C670 Refusal Go to XAR_C670
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8	4910.8	44.6%
2	No	7	6104.2	55.4%
7	Not asked	23751	25889629.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q670: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... seniors' centre?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8 / 5086.085] [Invalid=23758 / 25895557.971]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... seniors' centre?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	8	5086.1	100.0%
7	Not asked	23758	25895558.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q675: Was this in the past 12 months? ... seniors' centre

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=0 / 0] [Invalid=23766 / 25900644.056]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q670 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	0	0.0	

# XAR_Q675: Was this in the past 12 months? ... seniors' centre				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	23766	25900644.1	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q680: During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... victim services or victim witness assistance programs?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=784 / 653232.839] [Invalid=22982 / 25247411.217]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	During the past 5 years, did you ever contact or use any of the following services for help because of the violence, such as: ... victim services or victim witness assistance programs?			
Interviewer's instructions	Victim Services vary depending on which system offers it (police/justice and community). In general, police services help victims while in touch with the police. Justice or police system services help victims as their cases proceed through the justice system (police, courts and corrections). Services include providing general information about the justice system, referrals, assistance with court, help preparing victim impact statements, offering casespecific information, emotional support and providing information to help victims recover financial losses resulting from the crime. Assistance to victims and witnesses can also be available through the prosecution phase. Support is also available in certain provinces to victims who decide not to enter into the criminal justice system. Community-based services provide assistance to victims of crime. See help screen for examples and notes per province.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	59	45794.0	7.0%
2	No	725	607438.9	93.0%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	7	3995.2	
9	Don't know	2	1587.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q685: Was this in the past 12 months? ... victim services or victim witness assistance programs				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=56 / 43866.376] [Invalid=23710 / 25856777.681]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q680 = 1 and XAR_C250 = 1.			
Literal question	Was this in the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16	11395.8	26.0%
2	No	40	32470.6	74.0%
7	Not asked	23710	25856777.7	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C01: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't know of any services				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Didn't know of any services			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			

XAR_Q690_C01: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't know of any services

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	23	26211.1	7.4%
2	No	390	326391.7	92.6%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q690_C02: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - None available

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? None available
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8	4746.8	1.3%
2	No	405	347856.1	98.7%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q690_C03: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Waiting list

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Waiting list
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1	1862.3	0.5%
2	No	412	350740.5	99.5%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q690_C04: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Too minor

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Too minor
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	86	71564.8	20.3%



# XAR_Q690_C04: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Too minor				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	327	281038.0	79.7%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C05: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Shame/embarrassment				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Shame/embarrassment			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16	14147.7	4.0%
2	No	397	338455.1	96.0%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C06: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Wouldn't be believed				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Wouldn't be believed			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4	1552.5	0.4%
2	No	409	351050.3	99.6%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C07: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Offender prevented me				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Offender prevented me			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2	1126.7	0.3%
2	No	411	351476.1	99.7%

# XAR_Q690_C07: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Offender prevented me				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C08: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Distance				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Distance			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	0	0.0	
2	No	413	352602.8	100.0%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C09: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Fear of losing financial support				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Fear of losing financial support			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2	1126.7	0.3%
2	No	411	351476.1	99.7%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C10: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Fear of losing the children				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Fear of losing the children			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1	616.4	0.2%
2	No	412	351986.4	99.8%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	

# XAR_Q690_C10: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Fear of losing the children				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C11: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't want relationship to end				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Didn't want relationship to end			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2	3008.1	0.9%
2	No	411	349594.7	99.1%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C12: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Didn't want/need help				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Didn't want/need help			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	222	194856.0	55.3%
2	No	191	157746.8	44.7%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q690_C13: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=413 / 352602.828] [Invalid=23353 / 25548041.228]			
Universe	Respondents who answered XAR_Q690 = 1.			
Literal question	Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services? Other			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	85	65548.0	18.6%
2	No	328	287054.9	81.4%
7	Not asked	23343	25541600.2	
8	Not stated	1	712.7	


# XAR_Q690_C13: Is there any reason why you didn't use any of these services - Other				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	9	5728.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q710: How interested would you have been(be) in participating in a program where the victim and the offender meet to discuss				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=772 / 641604.409] [Invalid=22994 / 25259039.647]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Pre-question	There are a number of ways to deal with an offence outside the normal police-court process. One way is a meeting between the victim and the offender to discuss an appropriate way the offender should be dealt with.			
Literal question	Thinking about the incident(s), how interested would you have been(be) in participating in a program where the victim and the offender meet to discuss an appropriate way the offender should be dealt with? Would you have been (be):			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very interested?	141	112469.1	17.5%
2	...somewhat interested?	127	108703.9	16.9%
3	...slightly interested?	93	82974.7	12.9%
4	...not at all interested?	411	337456.7	52.6%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	21	17210.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C01: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Angry				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Angry			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	302	235858.3	36.8%
2	No	466	405436.0	63.2%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C02: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Upset/confused/frustrated				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Upset/confused/frustrated			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			

XAR_Q720_C02: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Upset/confused/frustrated

Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	292	235558.4	 36.7%
2	No	476	405735.9	 63.3%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	


Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q720_C03: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Not much

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Not much		
Interviewer's instructions		Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	82	78517.1	 12.2%
2	No	686	562777.2	 87.8%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q720_C04: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Fearful

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Fearful		
Interviewer's instructions		Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	209	161539.4	 25.2%
2	No	559	479754.9	 74.8%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

XAR_Q720_C05: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? More cautious/aware

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? More cautious/aware		

# XAR_Q720_C05: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? More cautious/aware				
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	129	100260.6	15.6%
2	No	639	541033.7	84.4%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C06: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Shock/disbelief				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Shock/disbelief			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	160	120570.9	18.8%
2	No	608	520723.4	81.2%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C07: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Hurt/disappointment				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Hurt/disappointment			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	204	158589.3	24.7%
2	No	564	482705.0	75.3%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C08: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Victimized				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			

# XAR_Q720_C08: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Victimized				
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Victimized			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	117	83773.3	13.1%
2	No	651	557521.0	86.9%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C09: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Sleeping problems				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Sleeping problems			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	130	97520.3	15.2%
2	No	638	543773.9	84.8%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C10: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Depression/anxiety attacks				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Depression/anxiety attacks			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	196	143385.4	22.4%
2	No	572	497908.9	77.6%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C11: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Ashamed/guilty				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			

# XAR_Q720_C11: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Ashamed/guilty				
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Ashamed/guilty			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	94	71886.7	11.2%
2	No	674	569407.6	88.8%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C12: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Afraid for children				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Afraid for children			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	90	67158.3	10.5%
2	No	678	574136.0	89.5%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C13: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Annoyed				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.			
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Annoyed			
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	78	61555.2	9.6%
2	No	690	579739.1	90.4%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C14: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Lowered self esteem				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			

# XAR_Q720_C14: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Lowered self esteem				
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Lowered self esteem		
Interviewer's instructions		Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	146	106541.5	16.6%
2	No	622	534752.8	83.4%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C15: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Increased self-reliance				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Increased self-reliance		
Interviewer's instructions		Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	66	55664.7	8.7%
2	No	702	585629.6	91.3%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# XAR_Q720_C16: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Problems relating to men/women				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]		
Universe		Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.		
Literal question		At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Problems relating to men/women		
Interviewer's instructions		Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	72	62602.2	9.8%
2	No	696	578692.1	90.2%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# XAR_Q720_C17: At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Other	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=768 / 641294.29] [Invalid=22998 / 25259349.766]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of questions PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.
Literal question	At the time of the incident(s), how did this experience affect you? Other
Interviewer's instructions	Do not include physical injury, financial loss or medical treatment.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	104	77032.1	12.0%
2	No	664	564262.2	88.0%
7	Not asked	22973	25241828.9	
8	Not stated	12	7529.7	
9	Don't know	13	9991.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MSVIC: Most serious victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months - excludes spousal and ex-spousal abuse.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-813] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5952 / 6797806.133] [Invalid=17814 / 19102837.923]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Most serious victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months - excludes spousal and ex-spousal abuse.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MSCRIME. 1.Spousal/ex-spousal abuse includes those cases where the spouse or ex-spouse is the offender. 2.The difference between the frequency count for MSVIC = "997" (17,552) and TOTVIC = "0" (17,550) is a valid difference. While MSVIC is derived from MSCRIME in the incident file, TOTVIC is derived from CVS_TOT_INCIDENTS in the main file. Two respondents, who each reported more than 10 incidents via one screening question in the main file, reported ten "out-of-scope" incidents in the incident file. The difference arises because, while the 10 incidents reported on the incident file were determined to be out-of-scope, we do not presume that the incidents beyond 10 (for which we did not ask any details) are out-of-scope. Consequently, these two respondents are counted as having been victimized using TOTVIC and as having reported "no crime" (in the past 12 months) using MSVIC.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
101	Sexual assault	309	310351.3	4.6%
202	Robbery	90	93486.7	1.4%
203	Attempted robbery	81	87876.5	1.3%
304	Assault	673	788542.4	11.6%
405	Break and enter	555	579042.7	8.5%
406	Attempted break and enter	171	185266.0	2.7%
507	Motor vehicle theft	454	575579.0	8.5%
508	Attempted motor vehicle theft	321	384050.2	5.6%
609	Theft of personal property	1110	1321446.8	19.4%
610	Attempted theft of personal property	119	128083.3	1.9%
711	Theft of household property	1176	1331001.3	19.6%
712	Attempted theft of household property	16	16938.2	0.2%
813	Vandalism	877	996141.8	14.7%
997	Not applicable (No crime reported)	17552	18801715.0	
999	Unclassifiable	262	301122.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MSPER: Most serious personal victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months excludes spousal and ex-spousal abuse.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-610] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2559 / 2924045.572] [Invalid=21207 / 22976598.485]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Most serious personal victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months excludes spousal and ex-spousal abuse.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MSCRIME. Spousal/ex-spousal abuse includes those cases where the spouse or ex-spouse is the offender.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
101	Sexual assault	309	310351.3	10.6%
202	Robbery	90	93486.7	3.2%
203	Attempted robbery	81	87876.5	3.0%
304	Assault	673	788542.4	27.0%
609	Theft of personal property	1266	1496750.5	51.2%
610	Attempted theft of personal property	140	147038.2	5.0%
997	Not applicable (No personal crime reported)	20815	22534207.0	
999	Unclassifiable	392	442391.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MSHSD: Most serious household victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 405-813] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4291 / 4918565.632] [Invalid=19475 / 20982078.424]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Most serious household victimization reported by respondent in the past 12 months.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MSCRIME.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
405	Break and enter	617	651199.1	13.2%
406	Attempted break and enter	211	231253.7	4.7%
507	Motor vehicle theft	494	617221.2	12.5%
508	Attempted motor vehicle theft	359	422081.5	8.6%
711	Theft of household property	1475	1692315.9	34.4%
712	Attempted theft of household property	23	22849.8	0.5%
813	Vandalism	1112	1281644.4	26.1%
997	Not applicable (No household crime reported)	19118	20577218.2	
999	Unclassifiable	357	404860.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

TOTVIC: Number of victimizations in the past 12 months - excludes spousal/ex-spousal abuse

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 / 25900644.056] [Invalid=0 / 0]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Number of victimizations in the past 12 months - excludes spousal/ex-spousal abuse.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CVS_TOT_INCIDENTS. 1.Spousal/ex-spousal abuse includes those cases where the spouse or ex-spouse is the offender. 2.The difference between the frequency count for MSVIC = "997" (17,552) and TOTVIC = "0" (17,550) is a valid difference. While MSVIC is derived from MSCRIME in the incident file, TOTVIC is derived from CVS_TOT_INCIDENTS in the main file. Two respondents, who each reported more than 10 incidents via one screening question in the main file, reported ten "out-of-scope" incidents in the incident file. The difference

# TOTVIC: Number of victimizations in the past 12 months - excludes spousal/ex_spousal abuse				
arises because, while the 10 incidents reported on the incident file were determined to be out-of-scope, we do not presume that the incidents beyond 10 (for which we did not ask any details) are out-of-scope. Consequently, these two respondents are counted as having been victimized using TOTVIC and as having reported "no crime" (in the past 12 months) using MSVIC.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	Not victimized	17550	18798340.2	72.6%
1	Victimized one time	3850	4434822.9	17.1%
2	Victimized two times	1165	1337436.8	5.2%
3	Victimized three or more times	1201	1330044.2	5.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STALKING: Respondent has reported stalking incident(s)				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* / 8/9]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23529 / 25630550.896] [Invalid=237 / 270093.16]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Respondent has reported stalking incident(s)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q115,STK_Q125,STK_Q135, STK_Q145,STK_Q152,STK_Q157,STK_Q165,STK_Q175,STK_Q180,STK_Q190.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2200	2329698.8	9.1%
2	No	21329	23300852.1	90.9%
8	Not stated	143	169245.6	
9	Don't know	94	100847.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q110: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23636 / 25748811.947] [Invalid=130 / 151832.109]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q120 Don't know Go to STK_Q120 Refusal Go to STK_Q120			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Includes being stalked or harassed, either by someone they know or by a stranger.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2045	2150341.3	8.4%
2	No	21591	23598470.6	91.6%
8	Not stated	116	136780.2	
9	Don't know	14	15051.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q115: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2032 / 2137529.97] [Invalid=21734 / 23763114.087]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			

# STK_Q115: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1070	1101873.0	51.5%
2	No	962	1035656.9	48.5%
7	Not asked	21721	23750302.8	
8	Not stated	2	2855.0	
9	Don't know	11	9956.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_OBSCENE: Type of stalking - phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23623 / 25736000.61] [Invalid=143 / 164643.446]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - phoned you repeatedly or made silent or obscene phone calls.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q110 and STK_Q115.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1070	1101873.0	4.3%
2	No	22553	24634127.6	95.7%
8	Not stated	118	139635.2	
9	Don't know	25	25008.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q120: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... followed you or spied on you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23586 / 25702887.768] [Invalid=180 / 197756.289]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... followed you or spied on you?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q130 Don't know Go to STK_Q130 Refusal Go to STK_Q130			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	880	897208.5	3.5%
2	No	22706	24805679.3	96.5%
8	Not stated	118	136440.6	
9	Don't know	62	61315.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q125: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=877 / 892638.251] [Invalid=22889 / 25008005.806]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q120 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

# STK_Q125: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	648	651053.7	72.9%
2	No	229	241584.6	27.1%
7	Not asked	22886	25003435.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	3	4570.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_SPIED: Type of stalking - Someone followed you or spied on you.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23583 / 25698317.535] [Invalid=183 / 202326.521]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - Someone followed you or spied on you.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q120 and STK_Q125.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	648	651053.7	2.5%
2	No	22935	25047263.9	97.5%
8	Not stated	118	136440.6	
9	Don't know	65	65886.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q130: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... waited outside your home?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23618 / 25729944.504] [Invalid=148 / 170699.552]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... waited outside your home?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q140 Don't know Go to STK_Q140 Refusal Go to STK_Q140 			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	503	483371.4	1.9%
2	No	23115	25246573.1	98.1%
8	Not stated	119	138352.1	
9	Don't know	29	32347.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q135: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=502 / 481990.768] [Invalid=23264 / 25418653.289]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q130 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	368	351531.7	72.9%

# STK_Q135: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	134	130459.1	27.1%
7	Not asked	23263	25417272.7	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	1	1380.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_HOME: Type of stalking - someone waited outside your home.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23617 / 25728563.908] [Invalid=149 / 172080.148]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone waited outside your home.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q130 and STK_Q135.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	368	351531.7	1.4%
2	No	23249	25377032.2	98.6%
8	Not stated	119	138352.1	
9	Don't know	30	33728.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q140: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23617 / 25731004.753] [Invalid=149 / 169639.304]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q150 Don't know Go to STK_Q150 Refusal Go to STK_Q150			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	574	590667.6	2.3%
2	No	23043	25140337.1	97.7%
8	Not stated	119	139473.5	
9	Don't know	30	30165.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q145: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=572 / 588749.83] [Invalid=23194 / 25311894.226]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q140 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	424	437928.7	74.4%

# STK_Q145: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	148	150821.1	25.6%
7	Not asked	23192	25309976.4	
8	Not stated	1	601.0	
9	Don't know	1	1316.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_SCWORK: Type of stalking - someone waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23615 / 25729086.968] [Invalid=151 / 171557.088]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone waited outside your place of work or school or other places you were, when they had no business being there.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q140 and STK_Q145.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	424	437928.7	1.7%
2	No	23191	25291158.3	98.3%
8	Not stated	120	140074.4	
9	Don't know	31	31482.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q150: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted e-mail messages?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23637 / 25749881.814] [Invalid=129 / 150762.242]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted e-mail messages?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	398	448356.8	1.7%
2	No	23239	25301525.0	98.3%
8	Not stated	122	141771.4	
9	Don't know	7	8990.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q152: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=398 / 448356.799] [Invalid=23368 / 25452287.257]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q150 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	148	149548.0	33.4%
2	No	250	298808.8	66.6%

# STK_Q152: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	23368	25452287.3	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_EMAIL: Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted e-mail messages				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23637 / 25749881.814] [Invalid=129 / 150762.242]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted e-mail messages.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q150 and STK_Q152.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	148	149548.0	0.6%
2	No	23489	25600333.9	99.4%
8	Not stated	122	141771.4	
9	Don't know	7	8990.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q155: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23639 / 25752240.214] [Invalid=127 / 148403.843]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q160 Don't know Go to STK_Q160 Refusal Go to STK_Q160			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	370	369220.0	1.4%
2	No	23269	25383020.2	98.6%
8	Not stated	121	141239.5	
9	Don't know	6	7164.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q157: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=369 / 368902.733] [Invalid=23397 / 25531741.323]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q155 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	213	206611.1	56.0%
2	No	156	162291.6	44.0%
7	Not asked	23396	25531424.1	

# STK_Q157: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	1	317.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_GIFTS: Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23638 / 25751922.955] [Invalid=128 / 148721.101]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone sent you unwanted gifts, letters, or cards.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q155 and STK_Q157.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	213	206611.1	0.8%
2	No	23425	25545311.8	99.2%
8	Not stated	121	141239.5	
9	Don't know	7	7481.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q160: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... persistently asked you for a date and refused to take no for an answer?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23631 / 25743484.832] [Invalid=135 / 157159.224]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... persistently asked you for a date and refused to take no for an answer?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q170 Don't know Go to STK_Q170 Refusal Go to STK_Q170			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	647	659359.2	2.6%
2	No	22984	25084125.6	97.4%
8	Not stated	125	146299.0	
9	Don't know	10	10860.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q165: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=646 / 657755.681] [Invalid=23120 / 25242888.375]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q160 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	293	283691.8	43.1%
2	No	353	374063.8	56.9%
7	Not asked	23119	25241284.8	

# STK_Q165: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	1	1603.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_DATE: Type of stalking - someone persistently asked you for a date				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23630 / 25741881.293] [Invalid=136 / 158762.763]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone persistently asked you for a date and refused to take no for an answer.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q160 and STK_Q165.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	293	283691.8	1.1%
2	No	23337	25458189.4	98.9%
8	Not stated	125	146299.0	
9	Don't know	11	12463.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q170: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ... tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23622 / 25732923.256] [Invalid=144 / 167720.801]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past 5 years, have you been the subject of repeated and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you? By that I mean, has anyone: ... tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_Q180 Don't know Go to STK_Q180 Refusal Go to STK_Q180			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	276	295203.4	1.1%
2	No	23346	25437719.8	98.9%
8	Not stated	129	150224.3	
9	Don't know	15	17496.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q175: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=276 / 295203.406] [Invalid=23490 / 25605440.651]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q170 = 1.			
Literal question	Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	186	189486.0	64.2%
2	No	90	105717.4	35.8%
7	Not asked	23490	25605440.7	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	

STK_Q175: Did you fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	0	0.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_OTHER: Type of stalking - someone tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23622 / 25732923.256] [Invalid=144 / 167720.801]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone tried to communicate with you against your will in any other way.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q170 and STK_Q175.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	186	189486.0	0.7%
2	No	23436	25543437.2	99.3%
8	Not stated	129	150224.3	
9	Don't know	15	17496.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_Q180: In the past 5 years, has anyone: ...tempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23608 / 25712840.817] [Invalid=158 / 187803.239]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In the past five years, has anyone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	899	994824.7	3.9%
2	No	22709	24718016.1	96.1%
8	Not stated	131	154365.5	
9	Don't know	27	33437.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_INTMELSE: Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23608 / 25712840.817] [Invalid=158 / 187803.239]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by threatening or intimidating someone else.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q180.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	899	994824.7	3.9%
2	No	22709	24718016.1	96.1%
8	Not stated	131	154365.5	
9	Don't know	27	33437.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_Q190: In the past 5 years, has anyone: attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23616 / 25731743.857] [Invalid=150 / 168900.199]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past five years, has anyone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	475	472733.6	1.8%
2	No	23141	25259010.2	98.2%
8	Not stated	128	150245.3	
9	Don't know	22	18654.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_INTMDAM: Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23616 / 25731743.857] [Invalid=150 / 168900.199]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Type of stalking - someone attempted to intimidate or threaten you by hurting your pet(s) or damaging your property.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q190.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	475	472733.6	1.8%
2	No	23141	25259010.2	98.2%
8	Not stated	128	150245.3	
9	Don't know	22	18654.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q250: Has more than one person done any of these things to you in the past 5 years?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2126 / 2257678.404] [Invalid=21640 / 23642965.653]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	Has more than one person done any of these things to you in the past 5 years?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	623	655615.8	29.0%
2	No	1503	1602062.6	71.0%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	3249.1	
9	Don't know	70	68771.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q305: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently)				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 / 2298329.4] [Invalid=21594 / 23602314.657]			

# STK_Q305: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently)				
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how long did the repeated and unwanted attention go on in total?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	1 week or less	471	544677.8	23.7%
2	1 to 4 weeks	328	362654.0	15.8%
3	1 to 6 months	574	607093.0	26.4%
4	6 to 12 months	285	296845.6	12.9%
5	More than one year	514	487059.0	21.2%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	6315.2	
9	Don't know	22	25054.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_LSSWK: Length of stalking - 1 week or less.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 / 2298329.4] [Invalid=21594 / 23602314.657]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	Length of stalking - 1 week or less.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q305.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	471	544677.8	23.7%
2	No	1701	1753651.6	76.3%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	6315.2	
9	Don't know	22	25054.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_FORWK: Length of stalking - 1 to 4 weeks.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 / 2298329.4] [Invalid=21594 / 23602314.657]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	Length of stalking - 1 to 4 weeks.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q305.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	328	362654.0	15.8%
2	No	1844	1935675.4	84.2%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	6315.2	
9	Don't know	22	25054.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# STK_SXMNTH: Length of stalking - 1 to 6 months.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 / 2298329.4] [Invalid=21594 / 23602314.657]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Length of stalking - 1 to 6 months.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q305.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	574	607093.0	26.4%
2	No	1598	1691236.4	73.6%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	6315.2	
9	Don't know	22	25054.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_TWLTV: Length of stalking - 6 to 12 months.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 / 2298329.4] [Invalid=21594 / 23602314.657]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Length of stalking - 6 to 12 months.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q305.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	285	296845.6	12.9%
2	No	1887	2001483.8	87.1%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	6315.2	
9	Don't know	22	25054.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_MYEAR: Length of stalking - more than one year.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2172 / 2298329.4] [Invalid=21594 / 23602314.657]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Length of stalking - more than one year.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q305.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	514	487059.0	21.2%
2	No	1658	1811270.4	78.8%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	6315.2	
9	Don't know	22	25054.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_Q310: Since this repeated and unwanted attention started, did this person ever physically intimidate you or threaten you with violence?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2188 / 2319996.746] [Invalid=21578 / 23580647.31]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) since this repeated and unwanted attention started, did this person ever physically intimidate you or threaten you with violence?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	636	684410.8	29.5%
2	No	1552	1635586.0	70.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	4778.9	
9	Don't know	7	4923.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_VIOLNC: Stalking of respondent - violent stalking.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23596 / 25704079.562] [Invalid=170 / 196564.495]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Stalking of respondent - violent stalking.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q180, STK_Q190 and STK_Q310.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1313	1410493.0	5.5%
2	No	22283	24293586.5	94.5%
8	Not stated	133	157386.4	
9	Don't know	37	39178.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_Q320: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - did this person ever grab you or attack you in any way?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2192 / 2322140.33] [Invalid=21574 / 23578503.726]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did this person ever grab you or attack you in any way?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	374	376252.5	16.2%
2	No	1818	1945887.8	83.8%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	4311.7	
9	Don't know	4	3246.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_Q340: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - did any of these occur in the past 12 months?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2192 / 2321936.257] [Invalid=21574 / 23578707.799]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did any of these occur in the past 12 months?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	855	880991.5	37.9%
2	No	1337	1440944.7	62.1%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	4311.7	
9	Don't know	4	3450.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_Q350: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - do you believe it has ended or is it still going on?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2109 / 2240669.704] [Invalid=21657 / 23659974.353]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) do you believe it has ended or is it still going on?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Ended	1764	1905242.0	85.0%
2	Still going on	345	335427.7	15.0%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	7470.6	
9	Don't know	85	81558.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_Q360: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - is this person male or female?	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2053 / 2162322.953] [Invalid=21713 / 23738321.104]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) is this person male or female?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Male	1693	1801469.5	83.3%
2	Female	360	360853.5	16.7%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	9	12642.5	
9	Don't know	138	154733.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_RELAT: Relationship to stalker.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2094 / 2220577.575] [Invalid=21672 / 23680066.482]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) what is the person's relationship to you?
Interviewer's instructions	Record current relationship, not necessarily relationship at the time of incident.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q370.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Stranger	492	549238.7	24.7%
2	Spouse	21	18622.7	0.8%
3	Ex-spouse	165	143610.1	6.5%
4	Boyfriend/girlfriend	24	20562.2	0.9%
5	Ex-boyfriend/girlfriend	199	209229.1	9.4%
6	Other relative	123	119401.0	5.4%
7	Neighbour/friend/acquaintance/co-worker/known by sight only	1070	1159913.8	52.2%
97	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
98	Not stated	18	21192.6	
99	Don't know	88	87928.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_AVODPL: Reaction to stalker - avoiding certain places or people.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2189 / 2317883.835] [Invalid=21577 / 23582760.221]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... avoiding certain places or people?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q410.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1050	1101577.3	47.5%
2	No	1139	1216306.6	52.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	6796.0	
9	Don't know	6	5019.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_OUTLES: Reaction to stalker - going out less than you used to.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2191 / 2320248.44] [Invalid=21575 / 23580395.616]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... going out less than you used to?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q420.

STK_OUTLES: Reaction to stalker - going out less than you used to.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	595	569971.6	24.6%
2	No	1596	1750276.9	75.4%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	6796.0	
9	Don't know	4	2654.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# STK_NOTALNE: Reaction to stalker - not going out alone.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2188 / 2315535.935] [Invalid=21578 / 23585108.121]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... not going out alone?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q430.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	576	580016.9	25.0%
2	No	1612	1735519.0	75.0%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	6796.0	
9	Don't know	7	7366.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_UNLISTD: Reaction to stalker - getting an unlisted phone number, call display, call screening or call blocking				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2189 / 2316772.569] [Invalid=21577 / 23583871.487]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... getting an unlisted phone number, call display, call screening or call blocking			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q450.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	779	763457.4	33.0%
2	No	1410	1553315.2	67.0%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	7520.0	
9	Don't know	5	5406.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_MOVNG: Reaction to stalker - moving.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2191 / 2320100.617] [Invalid=21575 / 23580543.439]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... moving?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q480.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	339	315412.9	13.6%
2	No	1852	2004687.7	86.4%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	7520.0	
9	Don't know	3	2078.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# STK_OTHRACT: Reaction to stalker - some other way.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2189 / 2318786.045] [Invalid=21577 / 23581858.011]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did this experience affect you? Did you change your way of doing things by: ... some other way?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q490.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	495	482173.9	20.8%
2	No	1694	1836612.1	79.2%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	7	8258.4	
9	Don't know	4	2654.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q510: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) - did you ever fear that your life was in danger from this person?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2154 / 2287799.917] [Invalid=21612 / 23612844.139]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever fear that your life was in danger from this person?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	683	696995.6	30.5%
2	No	1471	1590804.3	69.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	6	7460.9	
9	Don't know	40	34438.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# HLP_FAMILY: Help sought - family.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2191 / 2318120.673] [Invalid=21575 / 23582523.384]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	Help sought - family.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q610.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1732	1865495.9	80.5%
2	No	459	452624.8	19.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	
9	Don't know	5	6088.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# HLP_FRND: Help sought - friend or neighbour.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2188 / 2316859.556] [Invalid=21578 / 23583784.501]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - friend or neighbour.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q620.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1719	1795250.6	77.5%
2	No	469	521609.0	22.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	
9	Don't know	8	7349.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# HLP_COWORK: Help sought - co-worker.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/7/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2187 / 2316883.035] [Invalid=21579 / 23583761.021]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - co-worker.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q630.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	960	994018.4	42.9%
2	No	1227	1322864.6	57.1%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	6275.1	
9	Don't know	8	6540.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# HLP_DOCNURS: Help sought - doctor or nurse.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2188 / 2319119.877] [Invalid=21578 / 23581524.18]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - doctor or nurse.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q640.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	350	313764.6	13.5%
2	No	1838	2005355.3	86.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	6275.1	
9	Don't know	7	4303.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# HLP_LAWYR: Help sought - lawyer.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2191 / 2320621.466] [Invalid=21575 / 23580022.59]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - lawyer.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q650.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	331	290256.3	12.5%
2	No	1860	2030365.2	87.5%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	6275.1	
9	Don't know	4	2802.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# HLP_PRIEST: Help sought - minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2192 / 2321407.074] [Invalid=21574 / 23579236.983]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - minister, priest, clergy or another spiritual advisor.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q660.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	183	153183.9	6.6%
2	No	2009	2168223.2	93.4%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	
9	Don't know	4	2802.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# HLP_PSYCH: Help sought - a counsellor or psychologist.	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2192 / 2321407.074] [Invalid=21574 / 23579236.983]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - a counsellor or psychologist.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q670.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	427	373440.8	16.1%
2	No	1765	1947966.3	83.9%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	
9	Don't know	4	2802.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_Q680_1: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... other?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2189 / 2318994.521] [Invalid=21577 / 23581649.535]
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q680 = 1.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... other?
Post-question	No Go to STK_Q690 Don't know Go to STK_Q690 Refusal Go to STK_Q690
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in STK_Q681.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	161	153684.4	6.6%
2	No	2028	2165310.1	93.4%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	
9	Don't know	7	5214.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

STK_Q680_2: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... employer?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2189 / 2318994.521] [Invalid=21577 / 23581649.535]
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q680 = 1.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) did you ever talk to anyone about what happened, such as: ... employer?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. New category created from the written entries in STK_Q681.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	14	17083.7	0.7%
2	No	2175	2301910.8	99.3%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	
9	Don't know	7	5214.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

HLP_HLPOTH: Help sought - other.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2189 / 2318994.521] [Invalid=21577 / 23581649.535]
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.
Literal question	Help sought - other.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q680.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	140	148245.2	6.4%
2	No	2049	2170749.3	93.6%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	4	5489.5	

# HLP_HLPOTH: Help sought - other.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
9	Don't know	7	5214.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q690: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) was it ever reported to the police?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2184 / 2313192.04] [Invalid=21582 / 23587452.016]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "yes" to STK_Q115, STK_Q125, STK_Q135, STK_Q145, STK_Q152, STK_Q157, STK_Q165, STK_Q175, STK_Q180 or STK_Q190.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) was it ever reported to the police?			
Post-question	Yes Go to STK_Q700 Don't know Go to STK_C810 Refusal Go to STK_C810			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	862	857059.1	37.1%
2	No	1322	1456133.0	62.9%
7	Not asked	21566	23570945.2	
8	Not stated	5	5317.9	
9	Don't know	11	11188.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_PDEALT: Reason for not reporting to the police - because it was dealt with another way?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1317 / 1452332.306] [Invalid=22449 / 24448311.75]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was dealt with another way?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695A.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	855	944475.3	65.0%
2	No	462	507857.0	35.0%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	5	3800.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_FEARPERP: Reason for not reporting to the police - because of fear of the perpetrator?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1316 / 1452023.021] [Invalid=22450 / 24448621.036]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of the perpetrator?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695B.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	205	207675.2	14.3%
2	No	1111	1244347.8	85.7%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	

# NP_FEARPERP: Reason for not reporting to the police - because of fear of the perpetrator?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	6	4110.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_PNOTHING: Reason for not reporting to the police - because the police couldn't do anything about it?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1308 / 1445092.492] [Invalid=22458 / 24455551.564]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police couldn't do anything about it?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695C.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	574	628406.6	43.5%
2	No	734	816685.9	56.5%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	14	11040.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_PNOHELP: Reason for not reporting to the police - because the police wouldn't help				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1310 / 1446260.923] [Invalid=22456 / 24454383.133]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the police wouldn't help?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695D.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	270	301529.9	20.8%
2	No	1040	1144731.0	79.2%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	12	9872.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_NOINVL: Reason for not reporting to the police - because you didn't want to get involved with police?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1312 / 1447411.647] [Invalid=22454 / 24453232.409]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want to get involved with police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695E.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	511	588315.1	40.6%
2	No	801	859096.5	59.4%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	10	8721.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# NP_NOAREST: Reason for not reporting to the police - because you didn't want the perpetrator arrested or jailed?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1306 / 1442842.816] [Invalid=22460 / 24457801.241]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want the perpetrator arrested or jailed?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695F.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	326	362755.2	25.1%
2	No	980	1080087.6	74.9%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	16	13290.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_PERSNL: Reason for not reporting to the police - because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1305 / 1443243.032] [Invalid=22461 / 24457401.025]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because the incident was a personal matter that didn't concern the police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695G.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	667	756483.8	52.4%
2	No	638	686759.2	47.6%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	17	12890.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_FINDOUT: Reason for not reporting to the police - because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1309 / 1445596.755] [Invalid=22457 / 24455047.301]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because you didn't want anyone to find out about it?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695H.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	182	193539.6	13.4%
2	No	1127	1252057.2	86.6%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	13	10536.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_PUBLCTY: Reason for not reporting to the police - because of fear of publicity/news coverage?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1305 / 1443333.922] [Invalid=22461 / 24457310.134]			

# NP_PUBLCTY: Reason for not reporting to the police - because of fear of publicity/news coverage?				
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because of fear of publicity/news coverage?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695I.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	129	128638.2	8.9%
2	No	1176	1314695.7	91.1%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	17	12799.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_NOTIMPORT: Reason for not reporting to the police - because it was not important enough?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1304 / 1438840.498] [Invalid=22462 / 24461803.558]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... because it was not important enough?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695J.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	635	746880.6	51.9%
2	No	669	691959.9	48.1%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	18	17292.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NP_POLOTER: Reason for not reporting to the police - for some other reason, not already mentioned?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1308 / 1444545.898] [Invalid=22458 / 24456098.158]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 2.			
Literal question	I'm going to read a list of reasons why some people choose not to contact the police. Please tell me which ones apply to your experience. Was it: ... for some other reason, not already mentioned?			
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to STK_C810 Refusal Go to STK_C810			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q695K.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	172	165393.0	11.4%
2	No	1136	1279152.9	88.6%
7	Not asked	22444	24444511.1	
8	Not stated	14	11587.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# STK_Q700: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=859 / 853429.998] [Invalid=22907 / 25047214.059]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 1.			

STK_Q700: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?

Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) how did they learn about it? Was it from you or some other way?
Post-question	Some other way Go to STK_Q760 Don't know Go to STK_Q760 Refusal Go to STK_Q760
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent answers both himself/herself and some other way - enter <Respondent>.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Respondent	677	659272.1	77.2%
2	Some other way	182	194157.9	22.8%
7	Not asked	22904	25043585.0	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	3	3629.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

POLSTP: Reason for reporting to the police - to stop it from continuing

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=674 / 656244.933] [Invalid=23092 / 25244399.123]
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q700 = 1.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... to stop it from continuing?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q710.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	643	616592.4	94.0%
2	No	31	39652.5	6.0%
7	Not asked	23089	25241372.0	
8	Not stated	2	2446.9	
9	Don't know	1	580.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

POLPROTCT: Reason for reporting to the police - to receive protection.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=673 / 655936.151] [Invalid=23093 / 25244707.906]
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q700 = 1.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... to receive protection?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q720.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	421	393726.0	60.0%
2	No	252	262210.1	40.0%
7	Not asked	23089	25241372.0	
8	Not stated	2	2446.9	
9	Don't know	2	889.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# POLPUNSH: Reason for reporting to the police - to arrest or punish the offender				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=671 / 654420.374] [Invalid=23095 / 25246223.682]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q700 = 1.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... to arrest or punish the offender?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q730.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	374	358522.0	54.8%
2	No	297	295898.4	45.2%
7	Not asked	23089	25241372.0	
8	Not stated	2	2446.9	
9	Don't know	4	2404.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# POLDUTY: Reason for reporting to the police - because you felt it was your duty to notify police				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=670 / 654868.9] [Invalid=23096 / 25245775.156]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q700 = 1.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... because you felt it was your duty to notify police?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q740.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	478	463167.0	70.7%
2	No	192	191701.9	29.3%
7	Not asked	23089	25241372.0	
8	Not stated	2	2446.9	
9	Don't know	5	1956.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# POLRECCOMEND: Reason for reporting to the police - on the recommendation of someone else				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=671 / 653311.994] [Invalid=23095 / 25247332.062]			
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q700 = 1.			
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) people have different reasons for reporting incidents to the police. Did any of the following have anything to do with why you reported this? Was it: ... on the recommendation of someone else?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from STK_Q750.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	211	198813.8	30.4%
2	No	460	454498.2	69.6%
7	Not asked	23089	25241372.0	
8	Not stated	2	2446.9	
9	Don't know	4	3513.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

STK_Q910: (Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) overall, how satisfied were you with the way the case was handled by the justice system?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2152 / 2271909.599] [Invalid=21614 / 23628734.457]
Universe	Respondents who answered STK_Q690 = 1,2.
Literal question	(Thinking about the person who has done this most recently) overall, how satisfied were you with the way the case was handled by the justice system? Were you:
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	very satisfied?	213	200618.7	8.8%
2	somewhat satisfied?	223	235834.4	10.4%
3	somewhat dissatisfied?	140	145610.4	6.4%
4	very dissatisfied?	226	219444.7	9.7%
5	did not use the justice system.	1350	1470401.4	64.7%
7	Not asked	21582	23587452.0	
8	Not stated	9	11311.2	
9	Don't know	23	29971.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

OCE_Q110: Has anything else happened to you in your lifetime that could be considered a crime?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8/9]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23547 / 25654466.886] [Invalid=219 / 246177.171]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Aside from what you have already mentioned, has anything else happened to you in your lifetime that could be considered a crime? Please remember that crime includes vandalism, theft, fraud, break and enter, assault and sexual assault. Please include acts committed by both family and non-family members.
Interviewer's instructions	No Go to end of module Don't know Go to end of module Refusal Go to end of module
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. Crime includes vandalism, theft, fraud, break and enter, assault and sexual assault. Include acts committed by both family and non-family members.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	10711	11672031.2	45.5%
2	No	12836	13982435.6	54.5%
8	Not stated	203	230202.9	
9	Don't know	16	15974.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LIFEVICT: Victim of crime - lifetime

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23516 / 25598402.382] [Invalid=250 / 302241.675]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Victim of crime - lifetime
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from CVS_TOT_INCIDENTS, OCE_Q110, PSP_Q110 to PSP_Q200, PSX_Q110 to PSX_Q200.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	13779	15150049.3	59.2%

# LIFEVICT: Victim of crime - lifetime				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	9737	10448353.1	40.8%
8	Not stated	241	296542.1	
9	Don't know	9	5699.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C01: What happened? Sexual assault (unwanted sexual touching, fondling, rape, and attempted rape)				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Sexual assault (unwanted sexual touching, fondling, rape, and attempted rape)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1398	1359465.0	11.7%
2	No	9258	10252088.9	88.3%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C02: What happened? Robbery/Attempted robbery				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Robbery/Attempted robbery (theft with a face-to-face threat, an assault or a weapon. If no threat, assault or weapon, classify elsewhere.)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	747	830834.0	7.2%
2	No	9909	10780719.9	92.8%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C03: What happened? Assault				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Assault (face-to-face threat or assault with or without a weapon but neither theft nor attempted theft of property)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2452	2675404.5	23.0%
2	No	8204	8936149.4	77.0%

# OCE_Q120_C03: What happened? Assault				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C04: What happened? Stalking				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Stalking (Being the subject of persistent and unwanted attention that caused you to fear for your safety or the safety of someone known to you)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	754	755010.4	6.5%
2	No	9902	10856543.5	93.5%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C05: What happened? Break and enter/attempt				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Break and enter/Attempt (illegal entry or attempted illegal entry into your residence or any other building on your property)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3780	4075562.8	35.1%
2	No	6876	7535991.1	64.9%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C06: What happened? Motor vehicle theft/attempt				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Motor vehicle theft/Attempt (theft or attempted theft of motor vehicle or parts)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2669	2959181.7	25.5%
2	No	7987	8652372.2	74.5%

# OCE_Q120_C06: What happened? Motor vehicle theft/attempt				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C07: What happened? Theft of personal property/attempt				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Theft of personal property/Attempt (money or other personal property was taken or an attempt was made to take it)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3934	4422873.7	38.1%
2	No	6722	7188680.2	61.9%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C08: What happened? Fraud.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Fraud			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	465	521938.0	4.5%
2	No	10191	11089615.9	95.5%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C09: What happened? Theft of household property/attempted theft.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Theft of household property/Attempt			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1863	2090828.2	18.0%
2	No	8793	9520725.7	82.0%

# OCE_Q120_C09: What happened? Theft of household property/attempted theft.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C10: What happened? Vandalism (something was damaged).				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Vandalism (something was damaged)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2294	2537557.8	21.9%
2	No	8362	9073996.1	78.1%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# OCE_Q120_C11: What happened? Other.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10656 / 11611553.91] [Invalid=13110 / 14289090.147]			
Universe	Respondents who answered OCE_Q110 = 1.			
Literal question	What happened? Other			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	634	637861.7	5.5%
2	No	10022	10973692.2	94.5%
7	Not asked	13055	14228612.8	
8	Not stated	41	43793.5	
9	Don't know	14	16683.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# ACMYR: Main activity of the respondent in the last 12 months.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23514 / 25628042.963] [Invalid=252 / 272601.093]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Main activity of the respondent in the last 12 months.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q110.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Working at a paid job or business	13354	14958150.5	58.4%
2	Looking for paid work	382	417424.6	1.6%
3	Going to school	2232	3191865.0	12.5%

ACMYR: Main activity of the respondent in the last 12 months.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Caring for children	921	951693.6	3.7%
5	Household work	924	923587.6	3.6%
6	Retired	4902	4484786.3	17.5%
7	Maternity / paternity leave	87	98637.2	0.4%
8	Long term illness	520	440063.9	1.7%
9	Other	150	125476.3	0.5%
10	Volunteer work	42	36358.1	0.1%
98	Not stated	243	264082.3	
99	Don't know	9	8518.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

EDUSTAT: Full-time or part-time education status for the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2234 / 3190374.269] [Invalid=21532 / 22710269.788]
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 3.
Literal question	Full-time or part-time education status for the respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q120.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Studying full-time	2102	3030423.3	95.0%
2	Studying part-time	132	159951.0	5.0%
7	Not asked	21528	22703649.4	
8	Not stated	4	6620.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

MAR_Q125: Are you looking for paid work?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=9782 / 10252910.423] [Invalid=13984 / 15647733.633]
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 03-09,98,99.
Literal question	Are you looking for paid work?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1162	1459219.9	14.2%
2	No	8620	8793690.5	85.8%
7	Not asked	13730	15368295.8	
8	Not stated	254	279437.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# MAR_Q130: Did you have a job or were you self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=10156 / 10656535.738] [Invalid=13610 / 15244108.318]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 =02-09,98,99.			
Literal question	Did you have a job or were you self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3015	3784110.0	35.5%
2	No	7141	6872425.7	64.5%
7	Not asked	13350	14951955.6	
8	Not stated	260	292152.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# AGE_LSTPDWKC: Age of the respondent when they last did paid work.				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 15-65] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6182 / 5884645.775] [Invalid=17584 / 20015998.282]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 98, 99.			
Literal question	Age of the respondent when they last did paid work.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q135,RESPYR and RESPMTH.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
65	65 and more	705	690335.8	100.0%
99.5	Never worked	537	619769.1	
99.7	Not asked	16365	18736065.6	
99.8	Not stated	330	347662.5	
99.9	Don't know	352	312501.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# WKWE: Number of weeks during the past 12 months the respondent was employed.				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-52] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16240 / 18608774.872] [Invalid=7526 / 7291869.184] [Mean=44.927 / 44.776] [StdDev=13.887 / 14.083]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q150 = 1,2,3,8,9.			
Literal question	Number of weeks during the past 12 months the respondent was employed.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q170. Includes vacation, illness, strikes, lockouts and maternity/paternity leave.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	Not asked	7401	7164578.4	
98	Not stated	23	21959.7	
99	Don't know	102	105331.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# WKWEHR_C: Number of hours usually worked at all jobs in a week.				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-75] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16135 / 18504184.645] [Invalid=7631 / 7396459.411]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 01 or MAR_Q130 = 1.			
Literal question	Number of hours usually worked at all jobs in a week.			

# WKWEHR_C: Number of hours usually worked at all jobs in a week.				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q180. Includes vacation, illness, strikes, lockouts and maternity/paternity leave.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
75	75 and more hours	266	254746.6	100.0%
97	Not asked	7401	7164578.4	
98	Not stated	33	35045.3	
99	Don't know	197	196835.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAR_Q190: Do you usually work any of your scheduled hours at home?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=16323 / 18685748.879] [Invalid=7443 / 7214895.178]		
Universe		Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 01 or MAR_Q130 = 1.		
Literal question		Some people do all or some of their paid work at home. Excluding overtime, do you usually work any of your scheduled hours at home?		
Post-question		No Go to MAR_Q310 Refusal Go to MAR_Q310		
Interviewer's instructions		Exclude respondents who occasionally perform some overtime work in their homes.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3089	3404367.2	18.2%
2	No	13234	15281381.7	81.8%
7	Not asked	7401	7164578.4	
8	Not stated	42	50316.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# WKWEHOHR_C: Number of paid hours per week usually worked at home.				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-75] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=2937 / 3246772.001] [Invalid=20829 / 22653872.056]		
Universe		Respondents who answered MAR_Q190 = 1.		
Literal question		Number of paid hours per week usually worked at home.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q191.Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q191.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
75	75 and more hours	34	32370.1	100.0%
97	Not asked	20677	22496276.8	
98	Not stated	10	9453.2	
99	Don't know	142	148142.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# NAICS16: North American Industrial Classification System of the respondent - 16 categories.				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=16151 / 18491162.396] [Invalid=7615 / 7409481.66]		
Universe		Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 01 or MAR_Q130 = 1.		
Literal question		North American Industrial Classification System of the respondent - 16 categories.		
Notes				

NAICS16: North American Industrial Classification System of the respondent - 16 categories.

Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q310, MAR_Q311, MAR_Q312, MAR_Q313. Statistics Canada, 1997 North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS).

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Agriculture	398	387404.0	2.1%
2	Forestry, fishing, mining, oil and gas	392	370921.6	2.0%
3	Utilities	126	145065.4	0.8%
4	Construction	885	1065471.5	5.8%
5	Manufacturing	1788	2354163.4	12.7%
6	Trade	2298	2810756.6	15.2%
7	Transportation and warehousing	743	892367.5	4.8%
8	Finance, insurance, real estate and leasing	910	1044829.5	5.7%
9	Professional, scientific and technical services	994	1146221.5	6.2%
10	Management, administrative and other support	696	789003.6	4.3%
11	Educational services	1248	1337450.9	7.2%
12	Health care and social assistance	1965	1939986.2	10.5%
13	Information, culture and recreation	804	996527.6	5.4%
14	Accommodation and food services	1053	1289322.2	7.0%
15	Other services	747	823039.0	4.5%
16	Public administration	1104	1098632.0	5.9%
97	Not asked	7401	7164578.4	
98	Not stated	213	242614.7	
99	Don't know	1	2288.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SOC91C10: Standard Occupational Classification (1991) of the respondent - 10 categories.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16146 / 18483895.29] [Invalid=7620 / 7416748.766]
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 01 or MAR_Q130 = 1.
Literal question	Standard Occupational Classification (1991) of the respondent - 10 categories.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAR_Q310, MAR_Q311, MAR_Q312, MAR_Q313. Statistics Canada, 1991 Standard Occupational Classification manual.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Management occupations	1194	1378106.5	7.5%
2	Business, finance and administrative occupations	2892	3111135.6	16.8%
3	Natural and applied sciences	1011	1203843.6	6.5%
4	Health occupations	998	991328.1	5.4%
5	Occupations in social science, education	1380	1480419.7	8.0%
6	Artistic/culture/recreation/sport	582	672081.4	3.6%
7	Sales and services occupations	4325	5125491.8	27.7%
8	Trades, transport and equipment	2038	2475978.8	13.4%
9	Occupations unique to primary industry	765	765710.8	4.1%
10	Occupations unique to processing and manufacturing	961	1279799.0	6.9%
97	Not asked	7401	7164578.4	
98	Not stated	218	249881.8	

# SOC91C10: Standard Occupational Classification (1991) of the respondent - 10 categories.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
99	Don't know	1	2288.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAR_Q410: Which of the following best describes the hours you usually work at this job?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16284 / 18640149.739] [Invalid=7482 / 7260494.317]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAR_Q110 = 01 or MAR_Q130 = 1.			
Literal question	Which of the following best describes the hours you usually work at this job? Is it:			
Interviewer's instructions	For respondent's main job: 'On call' means no prearranged schedules, but called as need arises (for example, a substitute teacher). 'Irregular schedule' is usually prearranged one week or more in advance (for example, pilots).			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	a regular daytime schedule or shift?	10950	12394602.5	66.5%
2	a regular evening shift?	993	1261956.3	6.8%
3	a regular night shift?	366	445928.1	2.4%
4	a rotating shift? (one that changes periodically from days t	1831	2115380.3	11.3%
5	a split shift? (one consisting of two or more distinct perio	144	155024.7	0.8%
6	on call or casual?	365	416795.3	2.2%
7	an irregular schedule?	1513	1731225.6	9.3%
8	other	122	119236.8	0.6%
97	Not asked	7401	7164578.4	
98	Not stated	64	75687.3	
99	Don't know	17	20228.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDUYR: Number of years of elementary and high school education completed successfully by the respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-13] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23289 / 25382250.189] [Invalid=477 / 518393.867]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Excluding kindergarten, how many years of elementary and high school education have you successfully completed?			
Post-question	No schooling Go to end of module 1 One to five years Go to EOR_Q150 6 Six Go to EOR_Q150 7 Seven Go to EOR_Q150 8 Eight Go to EOR_Q150 9 Nine Go to EOR_Q150 10 Ten Go to EOR_Q150			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EOR_Q100. Excludes kindergarten.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No schooling	39	34193.6	0.1%
1	One to five years	377	370440.3	1.5%
6	Six	271	268553.0	1.1%
7	Seven	409	394378.0	1.6%
8	Eight	924	855734.2	3.4%
9	Nine	1136	1182243.2	4.7%
10	Ten	1840	1970489.5	7.8%
11	Eleven	4378	5060486.6	19.9%

# EDUYR: Number of years of elementary and high school education completed successfully by the respondent.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
12	Twelve	11661	12071264.0	47.6%
13	Thirteen	2254	3174467.8	12.5%
98	Not stated	362	391210.2	
99	Don't know	115	127183.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EOR_Q110: Have you graduated from high school?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18397 / 20416135.757] [Invalid=5369 / 5484508.3]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EOR_Q100 = 11,12,13,98 or 99.			
Literal question	Have you graduated from high school?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16667	18564881.0	90.9%
2	No	1730	1851254.7	9.1%
7	Not asked	4996	5076031.8	
8	Not stated	346	383373.4	
9	Don't know	27	25103.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EOR_Q150: Have you had any further schooling beyond elementary/high school?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23374 / 25473180.812] [Invalid=392 / 427463.245]			
Universe	Respondents who answered EOR_Q100 =01,06,07-13,98,99			
Literal question	Have you had any further schooling beyond elementary/high school?			
Post-question	No Go to end of module Refusal Go to end of module			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	14573	16136955.0	63.3%
2	No	8801	9336225.8	36.7%
7	Not asked	39	34193.6	
8	Not stated	353	393269.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDU5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23316 / 25411759.25] [Invalid=450 / 488884.806]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have attained?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EOR_Q100, EOR_Q110, EOR_Q200 and EOR_Q201.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Doctorate/masters/bachelor's degree	4852	5342751.0	21.0%
2		6026	6414811.8	25.2%

# EDU5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
	Diploma/certificate from community college or trade/technica			
3	Some university/community college	3579	4264441.0	16.8%
4	High school diploma	3626	3968826.6	15.6%
5	Some secondary/elementary/no schooling	5233	5420928.9	21.3%
8	Not stated	362	392964.6	
9	Don't know	88	95920.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDU10: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent - 10 groups.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23316 / 25411759.25] [Invalid=450 / 488884.806]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that you have attained?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EOR_Q100, EOR_Q110, EOR_Q200 and EOR_Q201.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Doctorate/masters/some graduate	1290	1418208.1	5.6%
2	Bachelor's degree	3562	3924542.9	15.4%
3	Diploma/certificate from community college	3158	3422879.7	13.5%
4	Diploma/certificate from trade/technical	2868	2991932.1	11.8%
5	Some university	1498	1791206.5	7.0%
6	Some community college/CEGEP/nursing	1183	1519236.1	6.0%
7	Some trade/technical	900	955864.2	3.8%
8	High school diploma	3626	3968826.6	15.6%
9	Some secondary/high school	4173	4400994.4	17.3%
10	Elementary school/no schooling	1058	1018068.6	4.0%
98	Not stated	362	392964.6	
99	Don't know	88	95920.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDUPR5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 5 groups.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12464 / 15130876.603] [Invalid=11302 / 10769767.454]			
Universe	Respondents who are married or living in common-law.			
Literal question	Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 5 groups.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2005, derived from EOP_Q200 and EOP_Q201.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Doctorate/masters/bachelor's degree	2911	3663857.5	24.2%
2	Diploma/certificate from community college or trade/technica	2538	3170544.4	21.0%
3	Some university/community college	1057	1269119.4	8.4%
4	High school diploma	3406	4172484.0	27.6%
5	Some secondary/elementary/no schooling	2552	2854871.3	18.9%
7	Not asked	10765	10070549.7	

# EDUPR5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 5 groups.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
8	Not stated	264	339848.2	
9	Don't know	273	359369.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDUPR10: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's spouse/partner - 10 groups.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12464 / 15130876.603] [Invalid=11302 / 10769767.454]			
Universe	Respondents who are married or living in common-law.			
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that he/she has attained?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EOP_Q200 and EOP_Q201.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Doctorate/masters/some graduate	788	952101.1	6.3%
2	Bachelor's degree	2123	2711756.3	17.9%
3	Diploma/certificate from community college	1372	1816407.2	12.0%
4	Diploma/certificate from trade/technical	1166	1354137.2	8.9%
5	Some university	467	547518.0	3.6%
6	Some community college/CEGEP/nursing	324	423613.3	2.8%
7	Some trade/technical	266	297988.0	2.0%
8	High school diploma	3406	4172484.0	27.6%
9	Some secondary/high school	1862	2054576.9	13.6%
10	Elementary school/no schooling	690	800294.5	5.3%
97	Not asked	10765	10070549.7	
98	Not stated	264	339848.2	
99	Don't know	273	359369.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDUM5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's mother - 5 groups.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18511 / 20299105.36] [Invalid=5255 / 5601538.696]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that your mother has attained?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EOM_Q200 and EOM_Q201.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Doctorate/masters/bachelor's degree	2223	2655718.4	13.1%
2	Diploma/certificate from community college or trade/technica	2060	2326679.5	11.5%
3	Some university/community college	613	699141.8	3.4%
4	High school diploma	5225	6036403.6	29.7%
5	Some secondary/elementary/no schooling	8390	8581162.0	42.3%
8	Not stated	541	621556.6	
9	Don't know	4714	4979982.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# EDUF5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's father - 5 groups.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			

# EDUF5: Highest level of education obtained by the respondent's father - 5 groups.				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18091 / 19950653.942] [Invalid=5675 / 5949990.114]			
Universe	All respondents			
Literal question	What is the highest level of education that your father has attained?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from EOF_Q200 and EOF_Q201.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Doctorate/masters/bachelor's degree	2699	3361236.9	16.8%
2	Diploma/certificate from community college or trade/technica	1729	2070561.7	10.4%
3	Some university/community college	696	826283.5	4.1%
4	High school diploma	4030	4646973.6	23.3%
5	Some secondary/elementary/no schooling	8937	9045598.2	45.3%
8	Not stated	536	619881.1	
9	Don't know	5139	5330109.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# ACMPRYR: During the past 12 months, what was your spouse's/partner's main activity?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12739 / 15491987.822] [Invalid=11027 / 10408656.234]			
Universe	Respondents who are married or living in common-law.			
Literal question	During the past 12 months, was your spouse's/partner's main activity working at a paid job or business, looking for paid work, going to school, caring for children, household work, retired or something else?			
Interviewer's instructions	If sickness or short-term illness is reported, ask for usual major activity.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MAP_Q10.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Working at a paid job or business	8226	10145329.8	65.5%
2	Looking for paid work	178	217872.8	1.4%
3	Going to school	216	269853.5	1.7%
4	Caring for children	647	866778.5	5.6%
5	Household work	689	863798.2	5.6%
6	Retired	2343	2629302.6	17.0%
7	Maternity/paternity leave	82	110730.3	0.7%
8	Long term illness	288	306393.7	2.0%
9	Other	57	66030.8	0.4%
10	Volunteer work	13	15897.5	0.1%
97	Not asked	10765	10070549.7	
98	Not stated	253	327394.4	
99	Don't know	9	10712.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAP_Q20: Was he/she studying full-time or part-time?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=217 / 271767.404] [Invalid=23549 / 25628876.653]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAP_Q10 = 03.			
Literal question	Was he/she studying full-time or part-time?			

# MAP_Q20: Was he/she studying full-time or part-time?				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Full-time	183	226744.5	83.4%
2	Part-time	34	45022.9	16.6%
7	Not asked	23549	25628876.7	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
9	Don't know	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAP_Q30: Did he/she have a job or was he/she self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4500 / 5328469.692] [Invalid=19266 / 20572174.364]		
Universe		Respondents who answered MAP_Q10 = 02,03,04,05,06,07,08,09, 98 or 99.		
Literal question		Did he/she have a job or was he/she self-employed at any time during the past 12 months?		
Interviewer's instructions		Include vacation, illness, strikes, lock-outs and maternity/paternity leave.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	953	1151487.4	21.6%
2	No	3547	4176982.3	78.4%
7	Not asked	19004	20231777.0	
8	Not stated	252	327078.1	
9	Don't know	10	13319.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAP_Q31: How many weeks did he/she work during the past 12 months?				
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-52] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9029 / 11117737.372] [Invalid=14737 / 14782906.685] [Mean=46.996 / 47.259] [StdDev=11.638 / 11.413]		
Universe		Respondents who answered MAP_Q10 = 01 or MAP_Q30 = 1.		
Literal question		How many weeks did he/she work during the past 12 months?		
Interviewer's instructions		Include vacation, illness, strikes, lock-outs and maternity/paternity leave.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	Not asked	14587	14603826.9	
98	Not stated	15	17580.7	
99	Don't know	135	161499.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAP_Q32: Was he/she working full-time or part-time?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=9149 / 11261708.295] [Invalid=14617 / 14638935.762]		
Universe		Respondents who answered MAP_Q10 = 01 or MAP_Q30 = 1.		
Literal question		Was he/she working full-time or part-time?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		

# MAP_Q32: Was he/she working full-time or part-time?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Full-time	7725	9429314.0	83.7%
2	Part-time	1424	1832394.3	16.3%
7	Not asked	14587	14603826.9	
8	Not stated	11	12694.0	
9	Don't know	19	22414.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAP_Q35_C: How many hours a week did he/she work?				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-75] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8867 / 10929595.526] [Invalid=14899 / 14971048.53]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAP_Q10 = 01 or MAP_Q30 = 1.			
Literal question	How many hours a week did he/she work? (Include all jobs)			
Interviewer's instructions	Include vacation, illness, strikes, lockouts and maternity/paternity leave. Round to the nearest whole hour.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
75	75 and more hours	175	194413.8	100.0%
97	Not asked	14587	14603826.9	
98	Not stated	28	35971.8	
99	Don't know	284	331249.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MAP_Q40: During the past 12 months, was he/she ever without a job and looking for work?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5440 / 6395960.783] [Invalid=18326 / 19504683.273]			
Universe	Respondents who answered MAP_Q30 = 2,8,9 or MAP_Q31 =01-51,98,99.			
Literal question	During the past 12 months, was he/she ever without a job and looking for work?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	896	1078830.3	16.9%
2	No	4544	5317130.5	83.1%
7	Not asked	18062	19162784.6	
8	Not stated	264	341898.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DRP_Q110: In the past month, how often did your spouse/partner drink alcoholic beverages?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12514 / 15219197.743] [Invalid=11252 / 10681446.314]			
Universe	Respondents who are married or living in common-law and answered SAR_Q170=1,2,7,8,9.			
Literal question	In the past month, how often did your spouse/partner drink alcoholic beverages? Was it:			
Interviewer's instructions	Use of alcohol is a measure of a person's health. Read categories to respondent.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)

DRP_Q110: In the past month, how often did your spouse/partner drink alcoholic beverages?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...every day	728	921296.7	6.1%
2	...4-6 times a week	607	778996.0	5.1%
3	...2-3 times a week	1916	2342733.0	15.4%
4	...once a week	1878	2289790.3	15.0%
5	...once or twice in the past month	3118	3679097.1	24.2%
6	...never in the past month	1038	1215571.7	8.0%
7	...never drinks	3229	3991713.0	26.2%
97	Not asked	10781	10089106.4	
98	Not stated	370	483826.2	
99	Don't know	101	108513.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DRP_Q120: How many times in the past month has your spouse/partner had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8028 / 9757716.106] [Invalid=15738 / 16142927.951]
Universe	Respondents who answered DRP_Q110 = 01,02,03,04,05.
Literal question	How many times in the past month has your spouse/partner had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?
Interviewer's instructions	Consider a drink to be one beer, one small glass of wine or 1½ oz. of liquor.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	None	5653	7000340.5	71.7%
1		1089	1267139.9	13.0%
2		589	684252.6	7.0%
3		183	217268.9	2.2%
4		240	261939.8	2.7%
5		69	85684.6	0.9%
6		35	40858.9	0.4%
7		3	5686.6	0.1%
8		54	69962.8	0.7%
9		2	1050.3	0.0%
10		32	39848.4	0.4%
11		1	1522.0	0.0%
12		17	16481.6	0.2%
14		3	1623.4	0.0%
15		15	13610.2	0.1%
16		2	2792.9	0.0%
20		14	16300.8	0.2%
21		1	1808.5	0.0%
24		3	1799.0	0.0%
25		4	5268.7	0.1%
30		18	21332.9	0.2%
31		1	1142.8	0.0%

DRP_Q120: How many times in the past month has your spouse/partner had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	Not asked	15519	15888731.0	
98	Not stated	18	23899.2	
99	Don't know	201	230297.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DRR_Q110: In the past month, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23142 / 25198592.278] [Invalid=624 / 702051.778]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In the past month, how often did you drink alcoholic beverages? Was it:
Interviewer's instructions	Use of alcohol is a measure of a person's health. Read categories to respondent.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...every day	1087	1205780.4	4.8%
2	...4-6 times a week	1052	1213145.8	4.8%
3	...2-3 times a week	3545	4118110.0	16.3%
4	...once a week	3419	3933297.4	15.6%
5	...once or twice in the past month	5903	6338371.9	25.2%
6	...never in the past month	2231	2193685.6	8.7%
7	...never drinks	5905	6196201.2	24.6%
98	Not stated	526	605955.4	
99	Don't know	98	96096.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DRR_Q120: How many times in the past month have you had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-31] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14891 / 16685636.766] [Invalid=8875 / 9215007.291] [Mean=1.119 / 1.171] [StdDev=2.686 / 2.727]
Universe	Respondents who answered DRR_Q110 = 01,02,03,04,05.
Literal question	How many times in the past month have you had 5 or more drinks on the same occasion?
Interviewer's instructions	Consider a drink to be one beer, one small glass of wine or 1½ oz. of liquor.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
97	Not asked	8760	9091938.7	
98	Not stated	11	12610.5	
99	Don't know	104	110458.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DWELC: Dwelling type of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=* / 8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23374 / 25459274.45] [Invalid=392 / 441369.607]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In what type of dwelling are you now living? Is it a:
Interviewer's instructions	

# DWELC: Dwelling type of the respondent.				
	If respondent answers condominium or seniors' housing, ask whether the building is a townhouse or high-rise or low-rise apartment or other. Read categories to respondent.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from DOR_Q110. Other includes semi-detached, garden/town/row house, duplex, trailer or mobile home.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Single detached house	15095	16999273.1	66.8%
2	Low-rise apartment (< 5 stories)	3205	2905416.5	11.4%
3	High-rise apartment (5 + stories)	1452	1500728.4	5.9%
4	Other	3622	4053856.5	15.9%
8	Not stated	392	441369.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DWELLOWN: Dwelling owned by a member of the household?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23371 / 25455884.829] [Invalid=395 / 444759.227]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Is this dwelling owned by a member of this household?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from DOR_Q120.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	16804	19108064.6	75.1%
2	No	6567	6347820.2	24.9%
8	Not stated	395	444759.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DOR_Q210: How long have you lived in this dwelling?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23343 / 25421312.767] [Invalid=423 / 479331.289]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	How long have you lived in this dwelling?			
Post-question	10 years and over Go to DOR_Q240			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than 6 months	1537	1611259.6	6.3%
2	6 months to less than 1 year	1567	1689003.3	6.6%
3	1 year to less than 3 years	3955	4350385.0	17.1%
4	3 years to less than 5 years	2782	2989161.2	11.8%
5	5 years to less than 10 years	4008	4341471.8	17.1%
6	10 years and over	9494	10440032.0	41.1%
8	Not stated	403	460133.4	
9	Don't know	20	19197.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DOR_Q222: Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your more immediate neighbourhood.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13843 / 14965423.487] [Invalid=9923 / 10935220.57]			

# DOR_Q222: Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your more immediate neighbourhood.				
Universe	Respondents who answered DOR_Q210 = 1,2,3,4,5,8,9.			
Literal question	Now I would like to ask you a few questions about your more immediate neighbourhood. Would you say that you know:			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. This question was not asked of respondents who have lived "10 years and over" in their current dwelling (DOR_Q210=6).			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...most of the people in your neighbourhood?	3276	3322154.8	22.2%
2	...many of the people in your neighbourhood?	1946	2133961.1	14.3%
3	...a few of the people in your neighbourhood?	7339	8086431.0	54.0%
4	...nobody else in your neighbourhood?	1282	1422876.7	9.5%
7	..Respondents who have lived 10 years and over in their cur	9494	10440032.0	
8	Not stated	393	453162.3	
9	Don't know	36	42026.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DOR_Q227: Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours help each other?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13149 / 14245656.531] [Invalid=10617 / 11654987.525]			
Universe	Respondents who answered DOR_Q210 = 1,2,3,4,5,8,9.			
Literal question	Would you say this neighbourhood is a place where neighbours help each other?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. This question was not asked of respondents who have lived "10 years and over" in their current dwelling (DOR_Q210=6).			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	11076	11918257.5	83.7%
2	No	2073	2327399.1	16.3%
7	Respondents who have lived 10 years and over in their curren	9494	10440032.0	
8	Not stated	401	461598.5	
9	Don't know	722	753357.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DOR_Q228: In the past month, have you done a favour for a neighbour?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13820 / 14935607.258] [Invalid=9946 / 10965036.799]			
Universe	Respondents who answered DOR_Q210 = 1,2,3,4,5,8,9.			
Literal question	In the past month, have you done a favour for a neighbour? (Examples of favours are: picking up the mail, watering plants, shoveling, lending tools or garden equipment, carrying things upstairs, feeding pets when neighbours go on holiday, and shopping)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. This question was not asked of respondents who have lived "10 years and over" in their current dwelling (DOR_Q210=6).			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8968	9532728.2	63.8%
2	No	4480	4982558.1	33.4%
3	Just moved into the area	372	420320.9	2.8%
7		9494	10440032.0	

DOR_Q228: In the past month, have you done a favour for a neighbour?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
	Respondents who have lived 10 years and over in their curren			
8	Not stated	388	445227.0	
9	Don't know	64	79777.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DOR_Q229: In the past month, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13806 / 14909032.103] [Invalid=9960 / 10991611.953]
Universe	Respondents who answered DOR_Q210 = 1,2,3,4,5,8,9.
Literal question	In the past month, have any of your neighbours done a favour for you?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004. This question was not asked of respondents who have lived "10 years and over" in their current dwelling (DOR_Q210=6).

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	8532	9098401.4	61.0%
2	No	4920	5417697.6	36.3%
3	Just moved into the area	354	392933.1	2.6%
7	Respondents who have lived 10 years and over in their curren	9494	10440032.0	
8	Not stated	389	447673.5	
9	Don't know	77	103906.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LIVE_NEIGH: Length of time respondent has lived in current neighbourhood

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23327 / 25395626.261] [Invalid=439 / 505017.796]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	How long have you lived in this neighbourhood?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from DOR_Q210 and DOR_Q230.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than 6 months	1201	1284883.5	5.1%
2	6 months to less than 1 year	1292	1418605.6	5.6%
3	1 year to less than 3 years	3440	3809581.3	15.0%
4	3 years to less than 5 years	2529	2777135.4	10.9%
5	5 years to less than 10 years	3725	4100132.6	16.1%
6	10 years and over	11140	12005287.8	47.3%
8	Not stated	408	472302.6	
9	Don't know	31	32715.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LIVE_LOCAL: Length of time respondent has lived in current city or ocal community

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23314 / 25378257.802] [Invalid=452 / 522386.255]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	How long have you lived in this city or local community?

# LIVE_LOCAL: Length of time respondent has lived in current city or ocal community				
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from DOR_Q210, DOR_Q230 and DOR_Q231.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Less than 6 months	461	515719.1	2.0%
2	6 months to less than 1 year	565	600401.5	2.4%
3	1 year to less than 3 years	1727	2001486.8	7.9%
4	3 years to less than 5 years	1559	1782991.2	7.0%
5	5 years to less than 10 years	2551	2934584.2	11.6%
6	10 years and over	16451	17543075.1	69.1%
8	Not stated	412	476699.5	
9	Don't know	40	45686.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SDQ_Q110: How much of a problem are: noisy neighbours or loud parties?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23242 / 25290866.799] [Invalid=524 / 609777.258]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Pre-question		Could you please tell me if the following situations are a very big problem, a fairly big problem, not a very big problem or not a problem at all in your neighbourhood.		
Literal question		How much of a problem are: ... noisy neighbours or loud parties?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	505	524823.2	2.1%
2	A fairly big problem	843	908561.5	3.6%
3	Not a very big problem	3842	4292514.1	17.0%
4	Not a problem at all	18052	19564968.0	77.4%
8	Not stated	444	525582.6	
9	Don't know	80	84194.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SDQ_Q120: How much of a problem are: ... people hanging around on the streets?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23177 / 25225117.803] [Invalid=589 / 675526.254]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		How much of a problem are: ... people hanging around on the streets?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey, 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	598	637370.0	2.5%
2	A fairly big problem	1035	1120686.5	4.4%
3	Not a very big problem	3734	4250836.1	16.9%
4	Not a problem at all	17810	19216225.2	76.2%
8	Not stated	449	533443.2	
9	Don't know	140	142083.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

# SDQ_Q130: How much of a problem are: ... people sleeping on the streets or in other public places?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23175 / 25232543.756] [Invalid=591 / 668100.3]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	How much of a problem are: ... people sleeping on the streets or in other public places?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	208	227596.8	0.9%
2	A fairly big problem	302	342346.8	1.4%
3	Not a very big problem	945	1043323.3	4.1%
4	Not a problem at all	21720	23619276.9	93.6%
8	Not stated	453	534980.1	
9	Don't know	138	133120.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SDQ_Q140: How much of a problem are: ... garbage or litter lying around?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23242 / 25300585.581] [Invalid=524 / 600058.475]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	How much of a problem are ... garbage or litter lying around?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	641	710157.9	2.8%
2	A fairly big problem	1094	1180089.6	4.7%
3	Not a very big problem	3913	4308831.0	17.0%
4	Not a problem at all	17594	19101507.0	75.5%
8	Not stated	454	537160.2	
9	Don't know	70	62898.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SDQ_Q150: How much of a problem are: ... vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage to property or vehicles?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23146 / 25196478.306] [Invalid=620 / 704165.75]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	How much of a problem are: ... vandalism, graffiti and other deliberate damage to property or vehicles?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	652	707935.1	2.8%
2	A fairly big problem	1417	1506284.0	6.0%
3	Not a very big problem	4710	5146228.4	20.4%
4	Not a problem at all	16367	17836030.7	70.8%
8	Not stated	459	541467.1	
9	Don't know	161	162698.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				

SDQ_Q160: How much of a problem are: ... people being attacked or harassed because of their skin colour, ethnic origin or religion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22758 / 24783812.233] [Invalid=1008 / 1116831.824]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	How much of a problem are: ... people being attacked or harassed because of their skin colour, ethnic origin or religion?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	270	354847.0	1.4%
2	A fairly big problem	340	376914.2	1.5%
3	Not a very big problem	1549	1745656.8	7.0%
4	Not a problem at all	20599	22306394.3	90.0%
8	Not stated	464	548983.4	
9	Don't know	544	567848.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SDQ_Q170: How much of a problem are: ... people using or dealing drugs?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21876 / 23909740.889] [Invalid=1890 / 1990903.167]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	How much of a problem are: ... people using or dealing drugs?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	1167	1294278.7	5.4%
2	A fairly big problem	1651	1826173.4	7.6%
3	Not a very big problem	2704	3083709.9	12.9%
4	Not a problem at all	16354	17705578.9	74.1%
8	Not stated	485	570657.2	
9	Don't know	1405	1420245.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

SDQ_Q180: How much of a problem are: ... people being drunk or rowdy in public places?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22927 / 24997703] [Invalid=839 / 902941.057]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	How much of a problem are: ... people being drunk or rowdy in public places?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	641	704717.1	2.8%
2	A fairly big problem	1039	1105579.9	4.4%
3	Not a very big problem	3263	3645102.1	14.6%
4	Not a problem at all	17984	19542303.9	78.2%
8	Not stated	475	557769.6	
9	Don't know	364	345171.4	

# SDQ_Q180: How much of a problem are: ... people being drunk or rowdy in public places?				
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SDQ_Q190: How much of a problem are: ... prostitution?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22773 / 24825569.944] [Invalid=993 / 1075074.112]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	How much of a problem are: ... prostitution?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	A very big problem	326	384018.7	1.5%
2	A fairly big problem	301	357091.7	1.4%
3	Not a very big problem	925	1052068.2	4.2%
4	Not a problem at all	21221	23032391.4	92.8%
8	Not stated	476	561697.7	
9	Don't know	517	513376.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# BRTHCAN: Country of birth of the respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23328 / 25394048.91] [Invalid=438 / 506595.146]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Pre-question	Now, I'd like to ask you a few general questions.			
Literal question	In what country were you born?			
Post-question	Country outside Canada Go to BPR_Q30 Don't know Go to end of module Refusal Go to end of module			
Interviewer's instructions	Report place of birth according to current boundaries.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPR_Q10.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Canada	19458	20145516.5	79.3%
2	Country outside Canada	3870	5248532.5	20.7%
8	Not stated	429	500398.7	
9	Don't know	9	6196.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# BRTHPRVC: Province of birth of respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23274 / 25341915.258] [Invalid=492 / 558728.798]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In which province or territory?			
Interviewer's instructions	Report place of birth according to current boundaries.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPR_Q10 and BPR_Q20.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Newfoundland and Labrador	1221	596536.6	2.4%
2	Prince Edward Island	441	123621.8	0.5%
3	Nova Scotia	1284	862899.3	3.4%

BRTHPRVC: Province of birth of respondent.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	New Brunswick	1291	715093.1	2.8%
5	Quebec	4487	5770047.4	22.8%
6	Ontario	4714	6480133.7	25.6%
7	Manitoba	1728	1028395.5	4.1%
8	Saskatchewan	1585	1152847.4	4.5%
9	Alberta	1425	1663460.1	6.6%
10	British Columbia	1228	1700347.9	6.7%
12	Countries outside of Canada	3870	5248532.5	20.7%
98	Not stated	472	543221.6	
99	Don't know	20	15507.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

BRTHREGC: Country or region of birth of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23314 / 25376285.283] [Invalid=452 / 524358.773]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In which country?
Interviewer's instructions	Report place of birth according to current boundaries.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPR_Q10, BPR_Q20 and BPR_Q30.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Province of birth = Residence	15822	16476137.1	64.9%
2	Province of birth not same as Residence	3605	3642093.2	14.4%
3	Born in Canada/Province Not stated	31	27286.1	0.1%
4	Born outside of Canada - North America/Europe	2076	2529100.0	10.0%
5	Born outside of Canada - Other country	1715	2596865.7	10.2%
6	Country uncoded	65	104803.1	0.4%
8	Not stated	442	515919.9	
9	Don't know	10	8438.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# YRARRI: Range of years when the respondent came to live permanently				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-10] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3819 / 5185083.386] [Invalid=19947 / 20715560.671]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Range of years when the respondent came to live permanently in Canada.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPR_Q40.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Before 1946	116	108184.9	2.1%
2	1946 to 1959	657	748808.1	14.4%
3	1960 to 1964	174	205189.8	4.0%
4	1965 to 1969	375	439327.9	8.5%
5	1970 to 1974	340	454648.6	8.8%
6	1975 to 1979	262	342835.3	6.6%
7	1980 to 1984	264	409487.5	7.9%
8	1985 to 1989	347	527169.8	10.2%
9	1990 to 1994	396	629036.1	12.1%
10	1995 to 2004	888	1320395.4	25.5%
97	Not asked - Born in Canada/Canadian citizen by birth	19900	20657314.8	
98	Not stated	31	40370.5	
99	Don't know	16	17875.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# BRTHMCAN: Country of birth of the respondent's mother.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23176 / 25244567.173] [Invalid=590 / 656076.883]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In what country was your mother born?			
Post-question	Country outside Canada Go to BPM_Q30 Don't know Go to end of module Refusal Go to end of module			
Interviewer's instructions	Report place of birth according to current boundaries.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPM_Q10.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Canada	16786	17007387.6	67.4%
2	Country outside Canada	6390	8237179.6	32.6%
8	Not stated	492	571241.0	
9	Don't know	98	84835.9	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# BRTHMREGC: Country or region of birth of the respondent's mother.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23147 / 25214772.842] [Invalid=619 / 685871.214]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Country or region of birth of the respondent's mother.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPM_Q10, BPM_Q20 and BPM_Q30.			

# BRTHMREGC: Country or region of birth of the respondent's mother.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Born in Canada	16786	17007387.6	67.5%
2	Born outside of Canada - North America/Europe	4294	5001151.7	19.8%
3	Born outside of Canada - Other country	1996	3102759.7	12.3%
4	Country uncodeable	71	103473.9	0.4%
8	Not stated	504	585844.1	
9	Don't know	115	100027.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# BRTHFCAN: Country of birth of the respondent's father.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23077 / 25138356.421] [Invalid=689 / 762287.635]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In what country was your father born?			
Post-question	Country outside Canada Go to BPF_Q30 Don't know Go to end of module Refusal Go to end of module			
Interviewer's instructions	Report place of birth according to current boundaries.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPF_Q10.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Canada	16365	16523773.5	65.7%
2	Country outside Canada	6712	8614582.9	34.3%
8	Not stated	497	578639.3	
9	Don't know	192	183648.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# BRTHFREGC: Country or region of birth of the respondent's father.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23041 / 25098287.686] [Invalid=725 / 802356.37]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Country or region of birth of the respondent's father.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from BPF_Q10, BPF_Q20 and BPF_Q30.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Born in Canada	16365	16523773.5	65.8%
2	Born outside of Canada - North America/Europe	4581	5332711.2	21.2%
3	Born outside of Canada - Other country	2019	3127979.7	12.5%
4	Country uncodeable	76	113823.2	0.5%
8	Not stated	513	598912.9	
9	Don't know	212	203443.5	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# HLTHSTAT: State of health of the respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23223 / 25277947.586] [Invalid=543 / 622696.47]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In general, would you say your health is:			

# HLTHSTAT: State of health of the respondent.				
Interviewer's instructions		This question refers to long term health, i.e., a condition lasting or expected to last more than 6 months. If the respondent suffers from a temporary injury, ask about his/her usual condition. Read categories to respondent.		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from HAL_Q110.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Excellent?	6012	7008539.9	27.7%
2	Very good?	7761	8428297.3	33.3%
3	Good?	6320	6895953.3	27.3%
4	Fair?	2328	2245237.0	8.9%
5	Poor?	802	699920.0	2.8%
8	Not stated	483	562025.4	
9	Don't know	60	60671.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# HAL_Q120: Do you have any difficulty hearing, seeing, communicating, ommunicating, walking, climbing stairs, bending, learning or doing any similar activities?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=23248 / 25308121.859] [Invalid=518 / 592522.198]		
Universe		All respondents.		
Literal question		Do you have any difficulty hearing, seeing, communicating, walking, climbing stairs, bending, learning or doing any similar activities?		
Post-question		No Go to HAL_Q210 Don't know Go to HAL_Q210 Refusal Go to HAL_Q210		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, sometimes	2095	2060733.8	8.1%
2	Yes, often	2720	2561990.5	10.1%
3	No	18433	20685397.6	81.7%
8	Not stated	489	571578.9	
9	Don't know	29	20943.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# HAL_Q150: Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at home?				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=4793 / 4599495.93] [Invalid=18973 / 21301148.126]		
Universe		Respondents who answered HAL_Q120 = 1,2.		
Literal question		Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at home?		
Notes		Source: General Social Survey 2004.		
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, sometimes	1142	1030833.3	22.4%
2	Yes, often	1584	1403879.7	30.5%
3	No	2067	2164782.9	47.1%
7	Not asked	18951	21277919.8	
8	Not stated	9	8915.8	
9	Don't know	13	14312.6	

HAL_Q150: Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at home?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

HAL_Q160: Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at work or at school?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4600 / 4442899.418] [Invalid=19166 / 21457744.638]
Universe	Respondents who answered HAL_Q120 = 1,2.
Literal question	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... at work or at school?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, sometimes	670	667725.5	15.0%
2	Yes, often	1064	938488.8	21.1%
3	No	2866	2836685.2	63.8%
7	Not asked	18951	21277919.8	
8	Not stated	59	55704.9	
9	Don't know	156	124119.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

HAL_Q170: Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... in other activities, for example, transportation or leisure?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4781 / 4588939.713] [Invalid=18985 / 21311704.343]
Universe	Respondents who answered HAL_Q120 = 1,2.
Literal question	Does a physical condition or mental condition or health problem reduce the amount or the kind of activity you can do: ... in other activities, for example, transportation or leisure?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, sometimes	1092	1041233.9	22.7%
2	Yes, often	1474	1309522.3	28.5%
3	No	2215	2238183.5	48.8%
7	Not asked	18951	21277919.8	
8	Not stated	10	9448.4	
9	Don't know	24	24336.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

ACTLIMIT: Are you limited in the amount or kind of activity you can do

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23195 / 25258422.308] [Invalid=571 / 642221.748]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Are you limited in the amount or kind of activity you can do at home, at work, or at school or in other activities because of a long-term physical or mental condition or health problem?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from HAL_Q120,HAL_Q150,HAL_Q160,HAL_Q170.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3273	3006674.7	11.9%

# ACTLIMIT: Are you limited in the amount or kind of activity you can do				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
2	No	19922	22251747.7	88.1%
8	Not stated	508	594676.7	
9	Don't know	63	47545.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# SLEEPROB: Do you regularly have trouble going to sleep?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23232 / 25283997.944] [Invalid=534 / 616646.112]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Do you regularly have trouble going to sleep?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from HAL_Q210.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	4895	4968354.2	19.7%
2	No	18337	20315643.7	80.3%
8	Not stated	534	616646.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MED_SLEEP: Medication of respondent - to help you sleep.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23211 / 25268094.036] [Invalid=555 / 632550.02]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	During the past month, have you used medication or drugs, (prescription or over the counter) to: ... help you sleep?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MED_Q110.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	2593	2524532.2	10.0%
2	No	20618	22743561.9	90.0%
8	Not stated	555	632550.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MED_CALM: Medication of respondent - to help you calm down.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23204 / 25260668.682] [Invalid=562 / 639975.375]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	During the past month, have you used medication or drugs, (prescription or over the counter) to: ... help you calm down?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MED_Q120.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1674	1588289.4	6.3%
2	No	21530	23672379.3	93.7%
8	Not stated	562	639975.4	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# MED_DEPRESS: Medication of respondent - to help you get out of depression				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]			

# MED_DEPRESS: Medication of respondent - to help you get out of depression				
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23194 / 25249859.831] [Invalid=572 / 650784.225]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	During the past month, have you used medication or drugs, (prescription or over the counter) to: ... help you get out of depression?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from MED_Q130.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1514	1379049.9	5.5%
2	No	21680	23870809.9	94.5%
8	Not stated	572	650784.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# VISMIN: Visible minority status				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22995 / 24981354.427] [Invalid=771 / 919289.63]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	Visible minority status			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived using VMS_Q110_C01 to VMS_Q110_C13. Non-visible minority includes single origin White, single origin Aboriginal, and multiple origin White/Latin American and White/Arab-West Asian, as per Census definition.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Visible minority	1877	2899735.2	11.6%
2	Non-visible minority	21118	22081619.3	88.4%
8	Not stated	559	644218.6	
9	Don't know	212	275071.1	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DIS_SEX: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... sex?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23233 / 25278887.757] [Invalid=533 / 621756.3]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... sex?			
Interviewer's instructions	Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q110.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1194	1198540.3	4.7%
2	No	22039	24080347.4	95.3%
8	Not stated	533	621756.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DIS_ETH: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... ethnicity or culture?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23218 / 25261614.967] [Invalid=548 / 639029.089]			
Universe	All respondents.			

DIS_ETH: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... ethnicity or culture?

Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... ethnicity or culture?
Interviewer's instructions	Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q115.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1129	1385273.3	5.5%
2	No	22089	23876341.7	94.5%
8	Not stated	548	639029.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_RAC: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... race or colour?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23216 / 25259261.105] [Invalid=550 / 641382.952]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... race or colour?
Interviewer's instructions	Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q120.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1070	1321321.3	5.2%
2	No	22146	23937939.8	94.8%
8	Not stated	550	641383.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_REL: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... religion?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23212 / 25257645.641] [Invalid=554 / 642998.416]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... religion?
Interviewer's instructions	Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q140.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	419	488149.2	1.9%
2	No	22793	24769496.5	98.1%
8	Not stated	554	642998.4	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_SXO: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... sexual orientation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23203 / 25246088.255] [Invalid=563 / 654555.802]
Universe	All respondents.

DIS_SXO: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... sexual orientation?

Literal question In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:
 ... sexual orientation?

Interviewer's instructions Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.

Notes Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q150.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	191	175205.5	0.7%
2	No	23012	25070882.8	99.3%
8	Not stated	563	654555.8	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_AGE: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... age?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=23206 / 25248486.952] [Invalid=560 / 652157.104]

Universe All respondents.

Literal question In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:
 ... age?

Interviewer's instructions Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.

Notes Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q160.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	816	889404.9	3.5%
2	No	22390	24359082.0	96.5%
8	Not stated	560	652157.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_INC: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... disability?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=23205 / 25249822.525] [Invalid=561 / 650821.532]

Universe All respondents.

Literal question In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:
 ... disability?

Interviewer's instructions Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.

Notes Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q170.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	344	306103.4	1.2%
2	No	22861	24943719.2	98.8%
8	Not stated	561	650821.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_LNG: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... language?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*/8]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=23210 / 25255406.065] [Invalid=556 / 645237.991]

Universe All respondents.

DIS_LNG: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... language?

Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... language?
Interviewer's instructions	Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q180.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	638	798791.0	3.2%
2	No	22572	24456615.1	96.8%
8	Not stated	556	645238.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_OTH: In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your:... for some other reason?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23210 / 25254691.716] [Invalid=556 / 645952.34]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	In the past five years, have you experienced discrimination or been treated unfairly by others in Canada because of your: ... for some other reason?
Post-question	No Go to DIS_C210 Refusal Go to DIS_C210
Interviewer's instructions	Discrimination happens when people are treated unfairly because they are seen as being different from others.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q190.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	251	229622.3	0.9%
2	No	22959	25025069.4	99.1%
8	Not stated	556	645952.3	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_RIND: Discrimination or unfair treatment - Reasons - Single/multiple indicator

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-2] [Missing=* /8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23182 / 25228775.073] [Invalid=584 / 671868.984]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Discrimination or unfair treatment - Reasons - Single/multiple indicator
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q110, DIS_Q115, DIS_Q120, DIS_Q140, DIS_Q150, DIS_Q160, DIS_Q170, DIS_Q180, DIS_Q190.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No discrimination experienced	19891	21562024.3	85.5%
1	Single response	1712	1876134.3	7.4%
2	Multiple responses	1579	1790616.5	7.1%
8	Not stated	584	671869.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DISCRIM: Respondent has been a victim of discrimination In the past five years.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=* /8]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23189 / 25234063.722] [Invalid=577 / 666580.334]
Universe	All respondents.

# DISCRIM: Respondent has been a victim of discrimination In the past five years.				
Literal question	Respondent has been a victim of discrimination In the past five years.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	3298	3672039.4	14.6%
2	No	19891	21562024.3	85.4%
8	Not stated	577	666580.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DIS_STRE: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... on the street?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3221 / 3600306.593] [Invalid=20545 / 22300337.463]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.			
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... on the street?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q210.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	941	1090136.8	30.3%
2	No	2280	2510169.8	69.7%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	77	71732.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DIS_STOR: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... in a store, bank or restaurant?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3220 / 3600015.771] [Invalid=20546 / 22300628.286]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.			
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... in a store, bank or restaurant?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q220.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1087	1244007.4	34.6%
2	No	2133	2356008.4	65.4%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	78	72023.7	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# DIS_WORK: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)?... at work or when applying for a job or promotion?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3218 / 3596950.191] [Invalid=20548 / 22303693.865]			
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.			
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... at work or when applying for a job or promotion?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q230.			

DIS_WORK: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)?... at work or when applying for a job or promotion?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	1706	1888046.0	52.5%
2	No	1512	1708904.2	47.5%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	80	75089.2	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_POLC: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when dealing with the police or courts?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3216 / 3591315.388] [Invalid=20550 / 22309328.668]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... when dealing with the police or courts?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q240.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	305	338461.3	9.4%
2	No	2911	3252854.1	90.6%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	82	80724.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_TRNS: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... on a bus, train, airplane, subway, light rapid transit, ferry, etc.?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3219 / 3597934.368] [Invalid=20547 / 22302709.688]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... on a bus, train, airplane, subway, light rapid transit, ferry, etc.?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q250.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	403	502920.0	14.0%
2	No	2816	3095014.4	86.0%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	79	74105.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_SCHL: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... attending school or classes?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3217 / 3596979.34] [Invalid=20549 / 22303664.716]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... attending school or classes?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q260.

DIS_SCHL: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... attending school or classes?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	441	577149.1	16.0%
2	No	2776	3019830.2	84.0%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	81	75060.1	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_HOUS: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when looking for a place to live or when renting or buying a home?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3217 / 3595252.946] [Invalid=20549 / 22305391.11]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... when looking for a place to live or when renting or buying a home?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q270.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	320	341025.3	9.5%
2	No	2897	3254227.6	90.5%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	81	76786.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_SPRT: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? when participating in sports or getting involved in a sports organization?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3214 / 3593148.718] [Invalid=20552 / 22307495.338]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: when participating in sports or getting involved in a sports organization?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q280.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	219	271627.8	7.6%
2	No	2995	3321520.9	92.4%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	84	78890.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_HLTH: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when dealing with public hospitals or health care workers?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3213 / 3593541.853] [Invalid=20553 / 22307102.204]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... when dealing with public hospitals or health care workers?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DIS_Q290.

DIS_HLTH: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... when dealing with public hospitals or health care workers?

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	359	348709.4	9.7%
2	No	2854	3244832.5	90.3%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	85	78497.6	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_Q300: In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? ... any other situation?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3216 / 3596099.563] [Invalid=20550 / 22304544.493]
Universe	Respondents who answered "Yes" to any of the questions DIS_Q110 to DIS_Q190.
Literal question	In what types of situations have you experienced discrimination (in the past 5 years)? Was it: ... any other situation?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes	392	407017.1	11.3%
2	No	2824	3189082.4	88.7%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	82	75939.9	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

DIS_PNUM: Discrimination or unfair treatment - Places or situations - Number

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3214 / 3594418.751] [Invalid=20552 / 22306225.305]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Discrimination or unfair treatment - Places or situations - Number
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from DISP_Q210, DISP_Q220, DISP_Q230, DISP_Q240, DISP_Q250, DISP_Q260, DISP_Q270, DISP_Q280, DISP_Q290 and DISP_Q300.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Single place or situation	1708	1871540.8	52.1%
2	Multiple places or situations	1506	1722878.0	47.9%
7	Not asked	20468	22228604.6	
8	Not stated	84	77620.7	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

LANCH: First childhood language of the respondent.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*98]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23215 / 25259815.032] [Invalid=551 / 640829.025]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	What language did you first speak in childhood?
Interviewer's instructions	Accept multiple responses only if languages were learned at the same time.
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from LN_Q100, LN_Q101.

# LANCH: First childhood language of the respondent.				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	English only	14469	14327476.8	56.7%
2	French only	5010	5964533.3	23.6%
3	Other language only	3400	4551341.2	18.0%
4	English and French equally	124	134641.4	0.5%
5	English and Other equally	175	222804.1	0.9%
6	French and Other equally	25	41379.3	0.2%
7	English, French and Other equally	12	17638.8	0.1%
98	Not stated	551	640829.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# LANCHSUE: Respondent still understands first childhood language - English				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=14780 / 14702561.148] [Invalid=8986 / 11198082.908]			
Universe	Respondents who answered LN_Q100 = 11.			
Literal question	Do you still understand that language (i.e., English)?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from LN_Q100, LN_Q111.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, English still understood	14779	14701639.0	100.0%
2	No	1	922.1	0.0%
7	Not asked	8986	11198082.9	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# LANCHSUF: Respondent still understands first childhood language - French				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=5171 / 6158192.914] [Invalid=18595 / 19742451.142]			
Universe	Respondents who answered LN_Q100 = 12.			
Literal question	Do you still understand that language (i.e., French)?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from LN_Q100, LN_Q112.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, French still understood	5103	6097441.8	99.0%
2	No	68	60751.1	1.0%
7	Not asked	18595	19742451.1	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# LANCHSUO: Respondent still understands first childhood language - Other				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3612 / 4833163.424] [Invalid=20154 / 21067480.632]			
Universe	Respondents who answered LN_Q100 = 13,14,15,16,17,18, 19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26.			
Literal question	Do you still understand that language (i.e., other language)?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from LN_Q100, LN_Q101, LN_Q113 to LN_Q126.			

# LANCHSUO: Respondent still understands first childhood language - Other				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	Yes, Other language still understood	3481	4693229.9	97.1%
2	No	131	139933.5	2.9%
7	Not asked	20154	21067480.6	
8	Not stated	0	0.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# LANHSDC: Respondent's household language.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=* /8]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23228 / 25270839.247] [Invalid=538 / 629804.809]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	What language do you speak most often at home?			
Interviewer's instructions	Accept multiple responses only if languages are used equally.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from LN_Q140, LN_Q141. Other includes other language only, English and French equally, English and other equally, French and other equally, and English, French and other equally.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	English only	16851	16956038.1	67.1%
2	French only	4491	5520409.8	21.8%
3	Other language	1886	2794391.3	11.1%
8	Not stated	538	629804.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# RELIG6: Religion of respondent. In six categories.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22856 / 24880556.676] [Invalid=910 / 1020087.381]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	What, if any, is your religion?			
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent answers 'Protestant', determine which denomination. (Categories 3 to 8, 15 and 16.)			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from RL_Q100, RL_Q101. See 2001 Census Code List for religion.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No religion	3890	4582446.3	18.4%
2	Roman Catholic	9344	10432712.5	41.9%
3	United Church	2419	2167265.1	8.7%
4	Protestant	5785	5742772.2	23.1%
5	Other	1384	1916636.1	7.7%
6	Para-religious groups or unknown	34	38724.6	0.2%
8	Not stated	764	856329.8	
9	Don't know	146	163757.6	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# RL_Q105: How important are your religious or spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22871 / 24912572.07] [Invalid=895 / 988071.986]			
Universe	All respondents.			

# RL_Q105: How important are your religious or spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life?				
Literal question	How important are your (religious or) spiritual beliefs to the way you live your life? Would you say they are:			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	...very important?	8645	8858746.6	35.6%
2	...somewhat important?	7943	8590348.2	34.5%
3	...not very important?	3531	4173852.3	16.8%
4	...not at all important?	2752	3289625.0	13.2%
7	Not asked	0	0.0	
8	Not stated	699	802302.7	
9	Don't know	196	185769.3	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# RELIGATT: Religious attendance of the respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17687 / 18788401.283] [Invalid=6079 / 7112242.774]			
Universe	Respondents who answered RL_Q100 = 01-16,98,99.			
Literal question	Other than on special occasions, (such as weddings, funerals or baptisms) how often did you attend religious services or meetings in the last 12 months? Was it:			
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from RL_Q110.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	At least once a week	4913	5043682.5	26.8%
2	At least once a month	2726	2858876.1	15.2%
3	A few times a year	4128	4435827.9	23.6%
4	At least once a year	1673	1868624.1	9.9%
5	Not at all	4247	4581390.7	24.4%
7	Not asked	5293	6237265.6	
8	Not stated	697	798328.2	
9	Don't know	89	76649.0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# IN_Q0050: What was YOUR MAIN SOURCE of income during the past 12 months?				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=22662 / 24700741.102] [Invalid=1104 / 1199902.954]			
Universe	All respondents.			
Literal question	What was your main source of income during the past 12 months?			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No income	1145	1478277.8	6.0%
1	Employment or self-employment (wages, salaries, commissions)	14832	17117534.3	69.3%
2	Employment insurance	330	311353.6	1.3%
3	Worker's compensation	76	77815.1	0.3%

# IN_Q0050: What was YOUR MAIN SOURCE of income during the past 12 months?				
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
4	Benefits from Canada or Quebec Pension Plan	1424	1325801.0	5.4%
5	Retirement pensions, superannuation and annuities	1972	1855179.2	7.5%
6	Basic Old Age Security	1144	893854.7	3.6%
7	Guaranteed Income Supplement or Survivor's Allowance	25	15984.5	0.1%
8	Child Tax Benefit	103	113383.6	0.5%
9	Provincial or municipal social assistance or welfare	557	423726.7	1.7%
10	Child Support/Alimony	57	41689.4	0.2%
11	and savings, stocks, mutual funds, etc.)	997	1046141.3	4.2%
98	Not stated	951	1048223.7	
99	Don't know	153	151679.2	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# INCM: Annual personal income of the respondent.				
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18871 / 20727721.384] [Invalid=4895 / 5172922.672]			
Universe	Respondents who answered IN_Q0050 = 01-11,98,99.			
Pre-question	(Various measures of income are needed to study the relationship between an individual's overall economic situation and their family life.)			
Literal question	What is your best estimate of your total personal income, before deductions, from all sources during the past 12 months?			
Interviewer's instructions	If respondent reports no income or loss, please enter 0.			
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from IN_Q0120.			
Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No income	1206	1547991.1	7.5%
2	Less than \$5,000	939	1215549.4	5.9%
3	\$5,000 to \$9,999	1356	1515723.8	7.3%
4	\$10,000 to \$14,999	1889	1863740.2	9.0%
5	\$15,000 to \$19,999	1327	1320724.3	6.4%
6	\$20,000 to \$29,999	2891	2972685.9	14.3%
7	\$30,000 to \$39,999	2751	2905908.8	14.0%
8	\$40,000 to \$49,999	2014	2230276.1	10.8%
9	\$50,000 to \$59,999	1542	1705257.1	8.2%
10	\$60,000 to \$79,999	1685	1921926.0	9.3%
11	\$80,000 to \$99,999	557	667926.4	3.2%
12	\$100,000 or more	714	860012.2	4.1%
98	Not stated	2619	2769296.9	
99	Don't know	2276	2403625.8	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>				
# INCMMEMC: Not including the respondent, number of household members received income from any source, during the past 12 months?				
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-3] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16914 / 21191131.631] [Invalid=6852 / 4709512.426]			

INCMMEMC: Not including the respondent, number of household members received income from any source, during the past 12 months?

Universe	Respondents where HSDSIZE >= 1.
Literal question	Not including yourself, how many other household members received income from any source, during the past 12 months?
Notes	Source: General Social Survey, 2004, derived from IN_Q0200.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
0	No other member	1913	1838024.7	8.7%
1	One member	11608	13329815.5	62.9%
2	Two members	2251	3546881.4	16.7%
3	Three members or more	1142	2476410.0	11.7%
7	Not asked	5901	3422927.3	
8	Not stated	751	1009674.6	
9	Don't know	200	276910.5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

INCMHSD: Total household income.

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=18504 / 19941408.562] [Invalid=5262 / 5959235.494]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	What is your best estimate of the total income, before deductions, of all household members from all sources during the past 12 months? Was the total household income:
Interviewer's instructions	Read categories to respondent
Notes	Source: General Social Survey 2004, derived from IN_Q0120, IN_Q1100-IN_Q2000.

Value	Label	Cases	Weighted	Percentage (Weighted)
1	No income or loss	75	64437.0	0.3%
2	Less than \$5,000	81	55962.5	0.3%
3	\$5,000 to \$9,999	430	278426.2	1.4%
4	\$10,000 to \$14,999	1042	724881.5	3.6%
5	\$15,000 to \$19,999	977	802949.1	4.0%
6	\$20,000 to \$29,999	2097	1856347.6	9.3%
7	\$30,000 to \$39,999	2308	2254140.7	11.3%
8	\$40,000 to \$49,999	2071	2152783.8	10.8%
9	\$50,000 to \$59,999	2104	2307801.4	11.6%
10	\$60,000 to \$79,999	2807	3320076.0	16.6%
11	\$80,000 to \$99,999	1789	2362580.6	11.8%
12	\$100,000 or more	2723	3761022.0	18.9%
98	Not stated	2515	2656433.5	
99	Don't know	2747	3302802.0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# WTBS_001: Bootstrap weight # 1 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.1197-6724.2776] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.822 /-] [StdDev=764.229 /-]
# WTBS_002: Bootstrap weight # 2 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.7719-7741.6381] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.811 /-] [StdDev=761.562 /-]
# WTBS_003: Bootstrap weight # 3 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.0172-6810.259] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.808 /-] [StdDev=763.278 /-]
# WTBS_004: Bootstrap weight # 4 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.0953-7552.6686] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=764.332 /-]
# WTBS_005: Bootstrap weight # 5 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.3395-7047.6643] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.856 /-] [StdDev=760.648 /-]
# WTBS_006: Bootstrap weight # 6 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.2529-7437.6956] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.852 /-] [StdDev=763.081 /-]
# WTBS_007: Bootstrap weight # 7 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.4818-6902.0464] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.846 /-] [StdDev=762.714 /-]
# WTBS_008: Bootstrap weight # 8 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.1423-6283.3889] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.83 /-] [StdDev=762.599 /-]
# WTBS_009: Bootstrap weight # 9 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 41.6338-6924.7277] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.798 /-] [StdDev=762.834 /-]
# WTBS_010: Bootstrap weight # 10 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.747-7745.6857] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.838 /-] [StdDev=764.221 /-]
# WTBS_011: Bootstrap weight # 11 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.2663-7550.53] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.825 /-] [StdDev=763.602 /-]
# WTBS_012: Bootstrap weight # 12 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.0828-7024.9473] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.78 /-] [StdDev=761.756 /-]
# WTBS_013: Bootstrap weight # 13 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.8825-6828.5041] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_013: Bootstrap weight # 13 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.825 /-] [StdDev=762.559 /-]
# WTBS_014: Bootstrap weight # 14 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.2546-7665.8247] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.833 /-] [StdDev=762.318 /-]
# WTBS_015: Bootstrap weight # 15 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.7038-6751.5341] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.836 /-] [StdDev=760.344 /-]
# WTBS_016: Bootstrap weight # 16 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.3011-6891.7688] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.84 /-] [StdDev=761.639 /-]
# WTBS_017: Bootstrap weight # 17 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8998-7468.2875] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.827 /-] [StdDev=763.591 /-]
# WTBS_018: Bootstrap weight # 18 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.954-6651.0166] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.88 /-] [StdDev=765.544 /-]
# WTBS_019: Bootstrap weight # 19 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.5058-7524.1243] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.805 /-] [StdDev=763.925 /-]
# WTBS_020: Bootstrap weight # 20 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.4784-7210.2653] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.793 /-] [StdDev=765.698 /-]
# WTBS_021: Bootstrap weight # 21 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.4587-7757.9113] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.808 /-] [StdDev=761.886 /-]
# WTBS_022: Bootstrap weight # 22 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5433-7416.7727] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.851 /-] [StdDev=767.381 /-]
# WTBS_023: Bootstrap weight # 23 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.1543-6563.3754] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.766 /-] [StdDev=760.719 /-]
# WTBS_024: Bootstrap weight # 24 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.7898-6908.6962] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.855 /-] [StdDev=765.063 /-]
# WTBS_025: Bootstrap weight # 25 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.9228-7132.1107] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.815 /-] [StdDev=763.719 /-]

# WTBS_026: Bootstrap weight # 26 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.0813-8671.9327] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.813 /-] [StdDev=763.955 /-]
# WTBS_027: Bootstrap weight # 27 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.6931-7735.3948] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.82 /-] [StdDev=760.984 /-]
# WTBS_028: Bootstrap weight # 28 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.7464-6698.8632] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.857 /-] [StdDev=764.992 /-]
# WTBS_029: Bootstrap weight # 29 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.9763-6488.1537] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.832 /-] [StdDev=761.106 /-]
# WTBS_030: Bootstrap weight # 30 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.5532-6037.9868] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.798 /-] [StdDev=762.647 /-]
# WTBS_031: Bootstrap weight # 31 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.9462-7017.2957] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.844 /-] [StdDev=760.205 /-]
# WTBS_032: Bootstrap weight # 32 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.3137-7049.9515] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.777 /-] [StdDev=760.887 /-]
# WTBS_033: Bootstrap weight # 33 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.6222-7070.3462] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.826 /-] [StdDev=761.045 /-]
# WTBS_034: Bootstrap weight # 34 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.672-6808.2621] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.848 /-] [StdDev=761.434 /-]
# WTBS_035: Bootstrap weight # 35 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.8664-8790.173] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.833 /-] [StdDev=762.694 /-]
# WTBS_036: Bootstrap weight # 36 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.3829-6460.3705] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.846 /-] [StdDev=762.153 /-]
# WTBS_037: Bootstrap weight # 37 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.9934-7358.7554] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.802 /-] [StdDev=764.731 /-]
# WTBS_038: Bootstrap weight # 38 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5604-6454.2751] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_038: Bootstrap weight # 38 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.821 /-] [StdDev=762.959 /-]
# WTBS_039: Bootstrap weight # 39 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.0332-7730.9717] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.834 /-] [StdDev=764.187 /-]
# WTBS_040: Bootstrap weight # 40 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.5309-8199.3225] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.776 /-] [StdDev=764.702 /-]
# WTBS_041: Bootstrap weight # 41 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.9364-6615.0551] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.805 /-] [StdDev=762.251 /-]
# WTBS_042: Bootstrap weight # 42 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.875-8400.0392] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.815 /-] [StdDev=764.957 /-]
# WTBS_043: Bootstrap weight # 43 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.8651-7303.4235] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.829 /-] [StdDev=763.637 /-]
# WTBS_044: Bootstrap weight # 44 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.8909-6451.3211] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.834 /-] [StdDev=759.559 /-]
# WTBS_045: Bootstrap weight # 45 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.7485-7246.0406] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.816 /-] [StdDev=766.694 /-]
# WTBS_046: Bootstrap weight # 46 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.6625-8344.4899] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=763.722 /-]
# WTBS_047: Bootstrap weight # 47 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.009-6986.9727] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.805 /-] [StdDev=765.779 /-]
# WTBS_048: Bootstrap weight # 48 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40.4896-7532.4886] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=765.447 /-]
# WTBS_049: Bootstrap weight # 49 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5146-6519.2327] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.805 /-] [StdDev=759.345 /-]
# WTBS_050: Bootstrap weight # 50 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.4591-6353.9393] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.767 /-] [StdDev=764.256 /-]

# WTBS_051: Bootstrap weight # 51 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.8112-7192.62] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.814 /-] [StdDev=769.042 /-]
# WTBS_052: Bootstrap weight # 52 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.1148-7644.651] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.82 /-] [StdDev=763.48 /-]
# WTBS_053: Bootstrap weight # 53 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 43.5804-7944.8807] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.765 /-] [StdDev=764.348 /-]
# WTBS_054: Bootstrap weight # 54 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.0573-7462.9368] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.807 /-] [StdDev=765.033 /-]
# WTBS_055: Bootstrap weight # 55 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.5736-7024.1068] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.815 /-] [StdDev=764.501 /-]
# WTBS_056: Bootstrap weight # 56 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.5327-7001.3348] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.836 /-] [StdDev=764.258 /-]
# WTBS_057: Bootstrap weight # 57 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 42.0685-6962.6655] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.836 /-] [StdDev=762.555 /-]
# WTBS_058: Bootstrap weight # 58 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.731-6679.2455] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.864 /-] [StdDev=759.5 /-]
# WTBS_059: Bootstrap weight # 59 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.6273-8577.2897] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.832 /-] [StdDev=764.876 /-]
# WTBS_060: Bootstrap weight # 60 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.4104-6602.805] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.83 /-] [StdDev=763.385 /-]
# WTBS_061: Bootstrap weight # 61 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.6284-7701.2992] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.834 /-] [StdDev=765.792 /-]
# WTBS_062: Bootstrap weight # 62 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 18.9611-7995.1123] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.841 /-] [StdDev=764.045 /-]
# WTBS_063: Bootstrap weight # 63 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5092-7454.0058] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_063: Bootstrap weight # 63 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.789 /-] [StdDev=762.789 /-]
# WTBS_064: Bootstrap weight # 64 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.3805-7027.104] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.797 /-] [StdDev=761.729 /-]
# WTBS_065: Bootstrap weight # 65 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.3831-6268.1846] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.84 /-] [StdDev=760.053 /-]
# WTBS_066: Bootstrap weight # 66 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.5139-6645.06] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.811 /-] [StdDev=759.939 /-]
# WTBS_067: Bootstrap weight # 67 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.575-7046.7928] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.802 /-] [StdDev=764.11 /-]
# WTBS_068: Bootstrap weight # 68 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.2691-6564.3336] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.816 /-] [StdDev=761.913 /-]
# WTBS_069: Bootstrap weight # 69 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.8003-6959.7593] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.817 /-] [StdDev=763.392 /-]
# WTBS_070: Bootstrap weight # 70 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.044-7547.6164] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.818 /-] [StdDev=764.406 /-]
# WTBS_071: Bootstrap weight # 71 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 17.667-7787.3989] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.838 /-] [StdDev=763.874 /-]
# WTBS_072: Bootstrap weight # 72 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.0911-6991.9212] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.787 /-] [StdDev=764.196 /-]
# WTBS_073: Bootstrap weight # 73 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.0987-8126.2525] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.8 /-] [StdDev=766.685 /-]
# WTBS_074: Bootstrap weight # 74 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.2401-8049.7829] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.818 /-] [StdDev=763.241 /-]
# WTBS_075: Bootstrap weight # 75 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.1297-7443.898] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.813 /-] [StdDev=762.174 /-]

# WTBS_076: Bootstrap weight # 76 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.5239-7168.2021] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.808 /-] [StdDev=761.388 /-]
# WTBS_077: Bootstrap weight # 77 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.6707-6563.7259] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.852 /-] [StdDev=761.148 /-]
# WTBS_078: Bootstrap weight # 78 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.5656-6699.2956] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.842 /-] [StdDev=762.451 /-]
# WTBS_079: Bootstrap weight # 79 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.5227-7271.8829] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.826 /-] [StdDev=762 /-]
# WTBS_080: Bootstrap weight # 80 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21.7364-6907.7039] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.839 /-] [StdDev=763.843 /-]
# WTBS_081: Bootstrap weight # 81 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.2128-7211.3742] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.85 /-] [StdDev=762.895 /-]
# WTBS_082: Bootstrap weight # 82 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.4713-6747.7342] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.776 /-] [StdDev=763.544 /-]
# WTBS_083: Bootstrap weight # 83 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.1069-8178.2244] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.787 /-] [StdDev=758.413 /-]
# WTBS_084: Bootstrap weight # 84 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.0981-7603.2689] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.814 /-] [StdDev=763.464 /-]
# WTBS_085: Bootstrap weight # 85 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.3237-7954.0437] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.809 /-] [StdDev=765.287 /-]
# WTBS_086: Bootstrap weight # 86 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.4287-8185.87] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.791 /-] [StdDev=763.175 /-]
# WTBS_087: Bootstrap weight # 87 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.7573-6927.6041] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.827 /-] [StdDev=760.371 /-]
# WTBS_088: Bootstrap weight # 88 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.7672-7503.5731] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_088: Bootstrap weight # 88 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.847 /-] [StdDev=764.027 /-]
# WTBS_089: Bootstrap weight # 89 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.7956-7107.5037] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.847 /-] [StdDev=761.54 /-]
# WTBS_090: Bootstrap weight # 90 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.2581-7220.693] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.833 /-] [StdDev=764.981 /-]
# WTBS_091: Bootstrap weight # 91 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.4872-6665.6639] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.852 /-] [StdDev=763.879 /-]
# WTBS_092: Bootstrap weight # 92 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.0238-7134.987] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.828 /-] [StdDev=762.586 /-]
# WTBS_093: Bootstrap weight # 93 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.5114-7101.0687] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.82 /-] [StdDev=764.368 /-]
# WTBS_094: Bootstrap weight # 94 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.9656-7293.7586] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.82 /-] [StdDev=763.726 /-]
# WTBS_095: Bootstrap weight # 95 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.639-7308.086] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.839 /-] [StdDev=764.549 /-]
# WTBS_096: Bootstrap weight # 96 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.6844-7875.9384] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.848 /-] [StdDev=763.037 /-]
# WTBS_097: Bootstrap weight # 97 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.8066-6519.6024] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.789 /-] [StdDev=760.134 /-]
# WTBS_098: Bootstrap weight # 98 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 42.0632-8380.1112] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.795 /-] [StdDev=768.005 /-]
# WTBS_099: Bootstrap weight # 99 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.4568-7382.0092] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.87 /-] [StdDev=761.434 /-]
# WTBS_100: Bootstrap weight # 100 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.6453-6245.9147] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.848 /-] [StdDev=758.679 /-]

# WTBS_101: Bootstrap weight # 101 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.1815-7870.5731] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.793 /-] [StdDev=762.089 /-]
# WTBS_102: Bootstrap weight # 102 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.9641-7736.6342] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.833 /-] [StdDev=763.511 /-]
# WTBS_103: Bootstrap weight # 103 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.3249-7226.1577] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.782 /-] [StdDev=765.731 /-]
# WTBS_104: Bootstrap weight # 104 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.7781-7177.2058] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.825 /-] [StdDev=762.387 /-]
# WTBS_105: Bootstrap weight # 105 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.9399-7369.7275] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.794 /-] [StdDev=763.047 /-]
# WTBS_106: Bootstrap weight # 106 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.5012-7039.1615] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.821 /-] [StdDev=762.135 /-]
# WTBS_107: Bootstrap weight # 107 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.9931-6889.9849] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.807 /-] [StdDev=763.088 /-]
# WTBS_108: Bootstrap weight # 108 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.9625-7194.3107] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.823 /-] [StdDev=763.834 /-]
# WTBS_109: Bootstrap weight # 109 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.0651-7912.485] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.768 /-] [StdDev=763.428 /-]
# WTBS_110: Bootstrap weight # 110 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.6162-6972.8694] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.855 /-] [StdDev=763.599 /-]
# WTBS_111: Bootstrap weight # 111 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 20.5236-6968.1549] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.857 /-] [StdDev=764.688 /-]
# WTBS_112: Bootstrap weight # 112 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.315-6422.5787] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.765 /-] [StdDev=763.79 /-]
# WTBS_113: Bootstrap weight # 113 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.6393-6818.9352] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_113: Bootstrap weight # 113 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.82 /-] [StdDev=764.621 /-]
# WTBS_114: Bootstrap weight # 114 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.386-6313.6001] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.826 /-] [StdDev=764.277 /-]
# WTBS_115: Bootstrap weight # 115 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19.2689-7925.9389] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=762.727 /-]
# WTBS_116: Bootstrap weight # 116 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.5809-6956.0387] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.82 /-] [StdDev=766.618 /-]
# WTBS_117: Bootstrap weight # 117 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.7076-8245.4845] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.814 /-] [StdDev=761.702 /-]
# WTBS_118: Bootstrap weight # 118 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.3154-6414.0766] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.834 /-] [StdDev=763.429 /-]
# WTBS_119: Bootstrap weight # 119 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.6138-7410.0282] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.793 /-] [StdDev=762.11 /-]
# WTBS_120: Bootstrap weight # 120 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 28.3015-7500.6866] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=763.206 /-]
# WTBS_121: Bootstrap weight # 121 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.1349-6849.1654] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.798 /-] [StdDev=761.606 /-]
# WTBS_122: Bootstrap weight # 122 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.3372-7036.854] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.764 /-] [StdDev=765.003 /-]
# WTBS_123: Bootstrap weight # 123 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.0402-7528.9207] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.861 /-] [StdDev=760.747 /-]
# WTBS_124: Bootstrap weight # 124 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.9779-7561.813] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.828 /-] [StdDev=763.757 /-]
# WTBS_125: Bootstrap weight # 125 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.1372-7789.3571] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.784 /-] [StdDev=766.309 /-]

# WTBS_126: Bootstrap weight # 126 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.0813-7979.7806] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.835 /-] [StdDev=764.205 /-]
# WTBS_127: Bootstrap weight # 127 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.7905-8469.7683] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.806 /-] [StdDev=763.382 /-]
# WTBS_128: Bootstrap weight # 128 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.9009-6757.52] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.844 /-] [StdDev=761.961 /-]
# WTBS_129: Bootstrap weight # 129 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 26.5454-6969.1151] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.84 /-] [StdDev=765.068 /-]
# WTBS_130: Bootstrap weight # 130 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.1621-6910.1488] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.786 /-] [StdDev=764.107 /-]
# WTBS_131: Bootstrap weight # 131 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.0413-7188.5161] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.829 /-] [StdDev=765.927 /-]
# WTBS_132: Bootstrap weight # 132 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.3941-7093.7682] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.809 /-] [StdDev=760.06 /-]
# WTBS_133: Bootstrap weight # 133 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.6062-7183.3984] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.84 /-] [StdDev=763.881 /-]
# WTBS_134: Bootstrap weight # 134 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.5358-7546.0511] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.851 /-] [StdDev=764.767 /-]
# WTBS_135: Bootstrap weight # 135 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.1445-7207.7675] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.826 /-] [StdDev=761.655 /-]
# WTBS_136: Bootstrap weight # 136 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40.2174-6782.7473] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.79 /-] [StdDev=760.913 /-]
# WTBS_137: Bootstrap weight # 137 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.7011-9303.4791] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.844 /-] [StdDev=768.578 /-]
# WTBS_138: Bootstrap weight # 138 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.9686-6932.0164] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_138: Bootstrap weight # 138 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.775 /-] [StdDev=762.191 /-]
# WTBS_139: Bootstrap weight # 139 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.3776-6319.0741] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.841 /-] [StdDev=761.96 /-]
# WTBS_140: Bootstrap weight # 140 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.8336-7427.1536] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.83 /-] [StdDev=763.244 /-]
# WTBS_141: Bootstrap weight # 141 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 42.0925-6878.2463] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=764.524 /-]
# WTBS_142: Bootstrap weight # 142 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.9095-6311.4844] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.817 /-] [StdDev=762.81 /-]
# WTBS_143: Bootstrap weight # 143 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.064-6865.9421] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.832 /-] [StdDev=760.785 /-]
# WTBS_144: Bootstrap weight # 144 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8255-7535.1326] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.846 /-] [StdDev=766.079 /-]
# WTBS_145: Bootstrap weight # 145 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19.9078-6947.9895] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.817 /-] [StdDev=760.321 /-]
# WTBS_146: Bootstrap weight # 146 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.0811-7289.8217] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.823 /-] [StdDev=765.272 /-]
# WTBS_147: Bootstrap weight # 147 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.256-6756.9902] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.884 /-] [StdDev=761.097 /-]
# WTBS_148: Bootstrap weight # 148 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.4939-6380.2419] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.814 /-] [StdDev=760.95 /-]
# WTBS_149: Bootstrap weight # 149 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.3061-7375.7173] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.806 /-] [StdDev=763.621 /-]
# WTBS_150: Bootstrap weight # 150 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.5939-6587.196] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.833 /-] [StdDev=758.291 /-]

# WTBS_151: Bootstrap weight # 151 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8477-7856.4138] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.812 /-] [StdDev=765.177 /-]
# WTBS_152: Bootstrap weight # 152 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.7336-7822.1201] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.848 /-] [StdDev=763.617 /-]
# WTBS_153: Bootstrap weight # 153 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.2134-7321.2115] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.786 /-] [StdDev=761.767 /-]
# WTBS_154: Bootstrap weight # 154 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 19.6672-7297.3478] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.844 /-] [StdDev=760.583 /-]
# WTBS_155: Bootstrap weight # 155 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.1084-6707.817] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.83 /-] [StdDev=763.36 /-]
# WTBS_156: Bootstrap weight # 156 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.5963-6870.9481] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.798 /-] [StdDev=763.809 /-]
# WTBS_157: Bootstrap weight # 157 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.307-7491.2845] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.786 /-] [StdDev=766.523 /-]
# WTBS_158: Bootstrap weight # 158 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.6676-6060.8681] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.862 /-] [StdDev=760.709 /-]
# WTBS_159: Bootstrap weight # 159 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.4296-7223.5616] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.81 /-] [StdDev=762.531 /-]
# WTBS_160: Bootstrap weight # 160 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 23.7745-7078.318] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.834 /-] [StdDev=767.053 /-]
# WTBS_161: Bootstrap weight # 161 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 24.3478-6164.7053] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.847 /-] [StdDev=760.461 /-]
# WTBS_162: Bootstrap weight # 162 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.0999-6368.1851] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.843 /-] [StdDev=758.28 /-]
# WTBS_163: Bootstrap weight # 163 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.1393-7551.8195] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_163: Bootstrap weight # 163 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.809 /-] [StdDev=762.521 /-]
# WTBS_164: Bootstrap weight # 164 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.9096-8609.4379] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.811 /-] [StdDev=762.213 /-]
# WTBS_165: Bootstrap weight # 165 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40.4058-6307.8283] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.789 /-] [StdDev=762.837 /-]
# WTBS_166: Bootstrap weight # 166 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.2195-7012.6853] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.813 /-] [StdDev=763.332 /-]
# WTBS_167: Bootstrap weight # 167 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.0475-6698.4422] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.79 /-] [StdDev=763.713 /-]
# WTBS_168: Bootstrap weight # 168 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 35.1777-6870.4338] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.794 /-] [StdDev=762.01 /-]
# WTBS_169: Bootstrap weight # 169 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.4454-6371.011] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.835 /-] [StdDev=763.15 /-]
# WTBS_170: Bootstrap weight # 170 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 42.8544-6997.8037] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.822 /-] [StdDev=762.106 /-]
# WTBS_171: Bootstrap weight # 171 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.6011-7272.9751] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.853 /-] [StdDev=764.237 /-]
# WTBS_172: Bootstrap weight # 172 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 21.329-7192.4692] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.839 /-] [StdDev=764.096 /-]
# WTBS_173: Bootstrap weight # 173 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 36.7057-7214.9306] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.813 /-] [StdDev=762.266 /-]
# WTBS_174: Bootstrap weight # 174 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.8926-6351.5631] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.858 /-] [StdDev=762.48 /-]
# WTBS_175: Bootstrap weight # 175 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 29.7923-7704.3215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.811 /-] [StdDev=762.686 /-]

# WTBS_176: Bootstrap weight # 176 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.4379-6906.478] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.79 /-] [StdDev=764.675 /-]
# WTBS_177: Bootstrap weight # 177 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.4-6687.9102] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.778 /-] [StdDev=764.797 /-]
# WTBS_178: Bootstrap weight # 178 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 31.8101-7442.9229] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.792 /-] [StdDev=760.88 /-]
# WTBS_179: Bootstrap weight # 179 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.1827-7392.4796] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.777 /-] [StdDev=762.851 /-]
# WTBS_180: Bootstrap weight # 180 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.888-7629.0926] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.859 /-] [StdDev=765.722 /-]
# WTBS_181: Bootstrap weight # 181 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.2827-8454.3829] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.796 /-] [StdDev=764.97 /-]
# WTBS_182: Bootstrap weight # 182 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 37.3638-7676.5181] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.811 /-] [StdDev=764.986 /-]
# WTBS_183: Bootstrap weight # 183 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 41.0254-7663.762] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.879 /-] [StdDev=762.541 /-]
# WTBS_184: Bootstrap weight # 184 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.9493-8973.9248] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.841 /-] [StdDev=762.086 /-]
# WTBS_185: Bootstrap weight # 185 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.4031-7030.997] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.816 /-] [StdDev=764.184 /-]
# WTBS_186: Bootstrap weight # 186 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.02-6491.3434] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.856 /-] [StdDev=760.541 /-]
# WTBS_187: Bootstrap weight # 187 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.7467-6891.5781] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.81 /-] [StdDev=762.73 /-]
# WTBS_188: Bootstrap weight # 188 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25.8851-7355.7666] [Missing=*]

# WTBS_188: Bootstrap weight # 188 for personal weight.	
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.822 /-] [StdDev=764.45 /-]
# WTBS_189: Bootstrap weight # 189 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.2294-7416.0605] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.83 /-] [StdDev=763.796 /-]
# WTBS_190: Bootstrap weight # 190 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 27.8304-7388.5241] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.828 /-] [StdDev=761.92 /-]
# WTBS_191: Bootstrap weight # 191 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.0485-6221.4776] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.824 /-] [StdDev=759.21 /-]
# WTBS_192: Bootstrap weight # 192 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 40.3907-7174.1935] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.791 /-] [StdDev=764.186 /-]
# WTBS_193: Bootstrap weight # 193 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 38.0224-8542.6972] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.84 /-] [StdDev=765.403 /-]
# WTBS_194: Bootstrap weight # 194 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.7987-6643.1132] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.81 /-] [StdDev=763.403 /-]
# WTBS_195: Bootstrap weight # 195 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 34.8982-6855.2917] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.78 /-] [StdDev=762.539 /-]
# WTBS_196: Bootstrap weight # 196 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 22.658-6831.4598] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.79 /-] [StdDev=759.136 /-]
# WTBS_197: Bootstrap weight # 197 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 30.2914-7690.1896] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.811 /-] [StdDev=766.041 /-]
# WTBS_198: Bootstrap weight # 198 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 32.1756-7022.1703] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.788 /-] [StdDev=762.411 /-]
# WTBS_199: Bootstrap weight # 199 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 39.6766-6708.0759] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.829 /-] [StdDev=760.684 /-]
# WTBS_200: Bootstrap weight # 200 for personal weight.	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 33.7795-7319.2538] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=23766 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1089.807 /-] [StdDev=763.04 /-]